# ALGORITHMIC EMOTION ENGINEERING: HOW AI CURATES EMOTIONAL STATES THROUGH SHORT-FORM MEDIA

**Authors:** Aaron Oommen Abraham, Pratham Srivastava, Writ Ray
**Affiliation: CMS, JAIN (Deemed to be University), Bangalore**
**Email:** aaronoommenabraham@gmail.com

## Abstract

*Short-form media platforms powered by artificial intelligence have changed how people encounter and emotionally react to digital content. Recommendation systems no longer only match users with relevant material; they also shape feeling and attention. This paper examines the idea of algorithmic emotion engineering, understood here as the process through which AI-based recommendation systems organize emotional experiences by repeatedly promoting affective content. Drawing from media studies, psychology, and AI research, the study explains how short-form media environments influence emotional states and shape perception through personalization. Watch time, rewatch behavior, likes, comments, and shares help algorithms learn what emotional content keeps users engaged. Over time, these signals contribute to feeds that are emotionally optimized for retention and interaction. The paper shows how emotional intensity becomes central to recommendation logic. It also considers ethical concerns related to manipulation, user autonomy, and digital well-being. The paper closes by suggesting responsible design and policy responses.*

## KEYWORDS

Algorithmic emotion engineering, short-form media, AI recommendation systems, affective computing, emotional exposure, digital behavior, media psychology

## INTRODUCTION

The growth of short-form video platforms has reshaped digital culture globally. People now spend increasing time inside feeds curated by recommendation systems that learn from behavioral traces. These systems are often described as tools of personalization and convenience, but their influence goes beyond predicting relevance. They also affect what emotions users encounter and how long those states are sustained. Every pause, swipe, replay, like, or comment becomes data. Such signals indicate preference and emotional reaction. As a result, recommendation algorithms identify which emotional tones hold attention and increase participation. Over time, this produces a feedback loop in which emotionally stimulating material rises to prominence because it performs well within platform metrics.

This paper uses the term algorithmic emotion engineering for that process. It argues that emotional reinforcement is not merely an accidental by-product of platform design but a likely outcome of engagement-centered AI systems. By combining psychology, media theory, and AI research, the discussion explains how short-form media platforms organize emotional experience. In contemporary digital environments, users do not just consume isolated pieces of content. They move through emotional atmospheres arranged by automated systems. For that reason, recommendation algorithms should be studied as technical mechanisms and cultural forces shaping mood, thought, and behavior.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Research in psychology has long shown that emotion strongly influences attention, memory, and decision-making. Content that produces excitement, fear, anger, amusement, or empathy is often processed more deeply than neutral information. Media studies have similarly shown that emotionally charged material is more likely to retain viewers and encourage sharing. In AI scholarship, affective computing explores how systems identify and respond to emotional cues through behavior and interaction. Recommendation engines depend heavily on implicit feedback signals, many of which are closely tied to emotional engagement. Researchers have observed that emotionally provocative content often performs better in ranking systems because it generates stronger responses.

Even with these insights, fewer studies directly describe these developments as a system of emotional curation. In many discussions, emotional amplification appears as a side effect rather than a structural feature of digital recommendation systems. This paper addresses that gap by connecting emotional psychology with algorithmic optimization in short-form media spaces. It extends earlier scholarship by arguing that recommendation systems do not simply reflect user preference; they also stabilize emotional preference over time. In this sense, existing literature suggests that platforms influence not only what users watch, but also how they feel and how long they remain emotionally invested in digital environments.

## THEORETHICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is grounded in three major perspectives: affective psychology, reinforcement learning, and media dependency theory. Affective psychology helps explain how repeated emotional exposure can shape mood and judgment. Reinforcement learning shows how AI systems improve outputs based on user feedback and repeated behavioral signals. Media dependency theory suggests that as people rely more heavily on mediated environments, those environments gain greater influence over perception and interpretation. Taken together, these approaches explain how recommendation systems privilege emotionally engaging content while influencing how users experience digital media.

From this perspective, short-form feeds are dynamic emotional environments rather than neutral streams of information. They are adaptive systems that respond to user behavior and become increasingly effective at intensifying emotional responsiveness. This framework helps explain why emotions such as humor, outrage, fear, aspiration, and sentimentality often dominate highly visible content. Because these emotional categories tend to produce stronger reactions, they become especially valuable to systems designed around retention and interaction. The result is a media structure in which technical optimization and emotional stimulation operate in close relationship.

## METHODOLOGY

This paper follows a conceptual analytical approach supported by visible engagement patterns. It brings together interdisciplinary scholarship and applies that body of knowledge to explain how emotional amplification works within recommendation-based media systems. Instead of collecting primary data, the study focuses on structural patterns that connect emotion, engagement, and algorithmic selection. This method is appropriate because the goal is not to evaluate a single platform or audience. Rather, it is to build a broad explanatory model for understanding affective curation across short-form media environments.

The method also makes it possible to connect theory with recognizable platform behavior. By examining relationships among emotional stimuli, engagement indicators, and recommendation processes, the analysis highlights repeated patterns across digital ecosystems. Although conceptual, this approach provides a strong base for future empirical work. It also identifies central variables, including watch time, rewatches, likes, comments, and shares, that may later be tested through experiments, surveys, or platform-level studies.

## DATA ANALYSIS

Patterns of engagement suggest sustained growth in short-form media consumption. Watch time, replay frequency, likes, comments, and shares appear closely linked with content that evokes strong emotional reactions. The distribution of affective material also suggests that both positive and negative emotional content often receives more visibility than neutral material. This matters because algorithms may do more than mirror existing interests. They may also intensify emotional categories that align with the performance logic of the platform.

In practical terms, when a user spends more time on dramatic, funny, sentimental, or alarming videos, the system reads that behavior as a sign of relevance. It then supplies more content with similar emotional patterns. Over time, the feed can become increasingly shaped by the kinds of feelings most likely to hold attention. This process may narrow emotional range and produce repetitive cycles of outrage, excitement, anxiety, aspiration, or comfort depending on past interaction. Such patterns show how emotional conditioning and digital engagement reinforce each other inside recommendation systems.

## RESULTS

Three key findings emerge from this analysis. First, rising engagement appears closely tied to recommendation cycles built around emotional optimization. Second, interaction metrics function as emotional feedback signals, allowing systems to infer which styles generate the strongest responses. Third, emotionally charged content tends to receive more amplification than emotionally neutral content. Together, these findings support the argument that emotional reinforcement is embedded in short-form recommendation systems.

The results also suggest that emotional intensity is not random in these environments. Instead, it emerges as a consistent product of optimization strategies focused on attention and retention. This observation matters because it shifts the debate away from blaming individual users alone. If emotional amplification is structurally rewarded, then concerns about manipulation, compulsive use, and digital well-being must be examined as platform-level issues rather than isolated personal failures.

## DISCUSSION

Recommendation systems increasingly act as emotional curators that learn from behavior and respond by shaping future exposure. This can influence mood, perception, and cognitive attention in ways that are not always obvious to users themselves. People may feel that they are freely choosing what to watch, yet the feed constantly narrows and arranges their emotional options. Understanding these systems as affective environments is therefore essential for thinking seriously about ethical AI design.

The issue also has wider cultural consequences. Emotional amplification can affect public debate, political polarization, consumer desire, body image, social comparison, and broader forms of collective perception. In highly personalized media spaces, different users may end up living inside very different emotional realities because their feeds are shaped by distinct pathways of algorithmic selection. This weakens the possibility of a shared media experience and raises difficult questions about accountability in digital communication. For this reason, the emotional design of platforms deserves attention from technologists, educators, policymakers, and media researchers.

## IMPLICATION

The study points to broad and urgent implications for AI ethics, media literacy, and platform governance worldwide today. Designers should consider how to balance engagement goals with emotional well-being rather than treating retention as the only meaningful outcome. Users also need greater awareness of how feeds are personalized and how their interactions help train recommendation systems. Policymakers may eventually need standards that require clearer emotional transparency in algorithmic design.

Such measures could include disclosure about ranking criteria, user controls that shape feed preferences, or safeguards against repeated exposure to intense emotional material. Media literacy must also move beyond the narrow concern of misinformation. People need practical understanding of how emotional triggers, engagement loops, and recommendation patterns operate together. At the same time, platforms should experiment with designs that encourage meaningful interaction without rewarding emotional escalation. A more responsible system would aim not only to keep users active but also to support healthier and more reflective media habits.

## LIMITATION AND FUTURE RESEARCH

This study remains conceptual and depends on synthesized research rather than original empirical testing. As a result, it cannot establish direct causal claims for specific platforms or demographic groups. Future empirical work involving user studies, platform data, and cross-cultural comparison would strengthen the argument considerably. Researchers should also investigate whether emotional amplification works differently across age groups, languages, and types of platform design.

Further studies could explore exposure to emotionally ranked content, compare platforms, and test ethical recommendation models that prioritize user well-being. Long-term research would be especially useful for understanding how repeated contact with algorithmically curated emotional material shapes attention, mood regulation, and social attitudes over time. Another important question is whether users can be given meaningful control over the emotional texture of their feeds without making platforms less usable. Addressing such questions would help build a more human-centered approach to AI design in digital media.

## CONCLUSION

Algorithmic emotion engineering has become an important feature of AI-driven media systems. Recommendation algorithms do not simply distribute content; they shape emotional realities by organizing affective exposure and reinforcing behavioral response. In short-form media environments, emotional intensity functions as a major driver of visibility, retention, and interaction. Recognizing this influence is essential for creating more human-centered digital ecosystems.

As AI systems grow more sophisticated, the need for ethical reflection becomes increasingly urgent. Platforms should not be viewed only as neutral channels for entertainment or communication. They are active participants in organizing emotional experience at scale. A meaningful response will require sustained cooperation across design, regulation, education, research, public policy, institutional accountability, and critical media pedagogy. Only by confronting the emotional power of recommendation systems can societies build digital environments that better protect autonomy, well-being, and democratic culture.