A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON CUSTOMER PREFERENCE TOWARDS HOUSING LOAN OFFERED BY BANKS IN UDUMALPET

Dr.Mrs.K.Umamageswari

Associate Professor in Department of Commerce, Sri G.V.G Visalakshi College for Women, Udumalpet

Miss.S.Subhashree

Assistant Professor in Department of B.Com (e-Commerce), Sri G.V.G Visalakshi College for Women, Udumalpet

INTRODUCTION

Every human being aspires to have his own house to live it. After food and Clothing, housing is the third most important human requirement. On an average a person spends almost two-thirds of his life in a house which is his sanctuary in his old age. Adequate housing is therefore a fundamental need of human beings and an essential prerequisite for physical, intellectual and mental development. The term "Housing Loan" loan of finance for buying or modifying the house. Blessed are those who live in their own house and fortune are those who have the money to buy one. But all are not privileged to buy a house of their own. For many such privileged, buying a house has become possible in modern times through housing loans. The various types of housing loan such as Home Extension Loan, Home Improvement Loan, Plot plus Construction Loan, Construction Loan and Conversion Loan are offered by Commercial banks and private banks. Hence, the "Housing Loan" may be defined as the financial resources for an individual or a group of persons used especially for the purpose of housing.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

In recent times, banks via with one another in organizing loan melas with prior arrangement with the ultimate aim of reaching new heights in the area of disbursal of loans. In the falling interest rate scenario it is quite understandable that every bank in its pursit of capturing the market in exploring all avenues to offer a competitive rate to bring more and more customers in its fold. The housing loan segment has received much impetus and is balanced for stupendous growth. Streamling of housing loans through lead banks have become a source of support to pursue housing facilities and materialize the dream of future. Housing loan is one of the fastest growing retail banking products. Almost all banks are offering housing loan at attractive interest rates for meritorious and needy customers. The recent budget offers welcome initiatives towards infrastructure development. Though both the public and private sector banks are offering housing loan as per the same guidelines of RBI there may be same difference in a some of the factors such as insurance coverage, concession for preclosure cash back offer etc.,

In this context it is important to study the insight about the level of customer preference with respect to housing loan and to identify the problems faced by the customer.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The objectives of the study are as follows:

- To study the demographic profile of the respondents.
- To identify the factors preferred of choice of bank for Housing Loan.
- > To identify the problems faced by the customers with obtaining the Housing Loan.

HYPOTHES ES

On the basis of the above objectives, the following hypotheses were formulated:

H0₁: There is no significant relationship between educational qualification of the respondents and factors preferred to avail housing loan.

H0₂: There is no significant relationship between annual income of the respondents and factors preferred to avail housing loan.

METHODOLOGY

A Comparative Study On Customer Preference Towards Housing Loan Offered By Banks In Udumalpet Taluk has been carried out with the following steps

Area of Study: Udumalpet Taluk **Sample Size:** N=100 respondents

Sampling Process: Purposive Sampling technique was used for the purpose of the study.

Data Type: For the purpose of the study both primary data and secondary data was used.

Data Collection Tools: For the purpose of collection of primary data Structured Questionnaire was used for collecting data. Assistance was given to the questionnaire. Before Survey, the prepared questionnaire was discussed with the experts and finalized after conducting a pilot study, questionnaire was revised and restructured.

Statistical Tools Used: Percentage Analysis, Chi-Square and Rank Analysis was applied for the purpose of data analysis.

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Table.1.Total No.of.Respondents

Bank	Public Bank	Private Bank
No.of.Respondents	60	40

The above table shows that total number of respondents in public bank 60 respondents in case private bank 40 respondents are consider total 100 respondents.

Table.2. Characterstics of the respondents

Factors	Classification	Public Sector Bank	Private Sector Bank
Gender	Male	31	23
		(51.67%)	(57.50%)
	Female	29	17
		(48.33%)	(42.50%)
	Below 30	32	30
		(53.44%)	(75%)
	31-40	18	9
Age		(30.06%)	(22.50%)
	41-50	8	1
		(13.36%)	(2.50%)
	Above 50	2	0
		(3.34%)	(0%)
	Married	41	27
Marital Status		(68.33%)	(67.50%)
	Unmarried	19	13
		(31.67%)	(32.50%)
	School Level	9	1
		(14.94%)	(2.50%)
	Graduation	24	20
Educational Qualification		(40.00%)	(50.00%)
	Post Graduation	22	16
		(36.66%)	(40.00%)
	Profession	3	3
		(5.00%)	(7.50%)
	Others	2	0
		(3.00%)	(0%)
	Business	13	4
		(21.67%)	(10.00%)
	Profession	2	2
		(3.33%)	(5.00%)
	Government Employee	13	2
Occupation		(21.67%)	(5.00%)

	Private Employee	29	29
		(48.33%)	(72.50%)
	Agriculture	3	1
		(5.00%)	(2.50%)
	Others	0	2
		(0%)	(5.00%)
	Rs.2,00,000-Rs.4,00,000	40	36
		(66.67%)	(90.00%)
	Rs.4,00,001-Rs.6,00,000	14	2
Annual Income		(23.33%)	(5.00%)
	Rs.6,00,001-Rs.8,00,000	3	1
		(5.00%)	(2.50%)
	Above Rs.8,00,000	3	1
		(5.00%)	(2.50%)

Source: Primary Data

The above analysis states that Majority of respondents (51.67% and 57.50%) were male in both the banks, (53.44% and 75%) in both the banks were in the age group of below 30 Years, majority of the respondents (68.33% and 67.50%) were married in both banks respectively, (40% and 50%) were graduates, majority (48.33% and 72.50%) of the respondents belong to the occupation of Private employee, Annual income of the respondents in both banks were belong to Rs.2,00,000 - Rs.4,00,000 (66.67% and 90%) respectively.

Table.3.Factors Preferred for avail housing loan

Factors Preferred	1) Procedure	2) Rate of Interest	3) Repayment period	4) Flexibility in Interest payment	5) Processing Period	6) Document & Processing Fees	7) Insurance Coverage	8) Amount Sanctioned	9) EMI Option	10) Flexibility in Repayment	11) Penalty Procedure	12) Concession for preclosure	13) Periodical Information	14) Correctness of Status of A/C
Public Bank	628	519	458	447	529	515	432	607	571	448	354	331	249	212
	(I)	(V)	(VII)	(IX)	(IV)	(VI)	(X)	(II)	(III)	(VIII)	(XI)	(XII)	(XIII)	(XIV)
Private Bank	452	397	313	307	333	327	321	373	364	211	247	215	187	153
	(I)	(II)	(VIII)	(IX)	(V)	(VI)	(VII)	(III)	(IV)	(XII)	(X)	(XI)	(XIII)	(XIV)

The above table presents the rank for factors preferred by the respondents for availing housing loan. In case of public sector bank it is studied that procedure to get loan was the first factor preferred by borrowers with the score of (628), followed by amount sanctioned got second rank with the score of (607), the third rank was given to the EMI option with the score of (571), followed by the factor processing period with the score of (529) in the fourth place, the fifth rank was given to rate of interest with the score of (519), followed by the factor document charges and processing period with the score of (515) in the sixth place, factor called repayment period ranked as seventh with the score of (458), followed by the factor flexibility in repayment ranked as eighth with the score of (448), ninth rank was given by flexibility in interest option with the score of (447), followed by insurance coverage got tenth rank with the score of (432), the eleventh rank was given to penalty procedure with the score of (354),

concession for pre closure was ranked as tweleth with the score of (331), followed by periodical information about statement of accounts ranked as thirteenth rank with the score of (249) and last rank was given to correctness of status of accounts with the score of (212).

Similarly in case of private sector bank it is studied that procedure to get loan was the first factor preferred by borrowers with the score of (452), followed by rate of interest got second rank with the score of (397), the third rank was given to factor was amount sanctioned with the score of (373), followed by fourth rank was given to the EMI Option with the score of (364), the fifth rank was given to factor called processing period with the score of (333), followed by document charges and processing period got sixth rank with the score of (327), insurance coverage ranked as seventh factor with the score of (321), followed by repayment period ranked as eighth with the score of (313), ninth rank was given by concession for pre closure with the score of (215), followed by penalty procedure got tenth rank with the score of (247), the eleventh rank was given to concession for pre closure with the score of (215), flexibility in repayment was ranked as tweleth with the score of (211), followed by factor called periodical information about statement of accounts ranked as thirteenth rank with the score of (187) and last rank was given to correctness of status of accounts with the score of (153).

Hence it is cleared that the respondents of both the bank applied procedure to get loan was the respondents factor preferred for availing housing loan.

Table.4.Ranking the problems faced by respondents

Problems	1) Procedure difficulty	2) High rate of interest	3) Shorter repayment period	4) Non-flexibility in interest option	5) Long processing period	6) Higher processing & documentation	7) Higher Insurance Coverage	8) Delay in Amount Sanctioned	9) Non Flexibility in Repayment	10) Difficulties in penalty procedure	11) No Concession for preclosure	12) Non Availability of periodical information
Public	415	456	463	434	548	353	233	519	182	413	282	382
Bank	(VI)	(IV)	(III)	(V)	(I)	(IX)	(XI)	(II)	(XII)	(VII)	(X)	(VIII)
Private	180	325	281	274	188	293	363	254	119	323	219	301
Bank	(XI)	(II)	(VI)	(VII)	(X)	(V)	(I)	(VIII)	(XII)	(III)	(IX)	(IV)

The above table presents the ranks for the problems faced by the respondents in availing housing loan. In case of public sector bank it is studied that long processing period was the first problem faced by borrowers with the score of (548) followed by delay in amount sanctioned the score of (519), the third rank was given to the shorter repayment period with the score of (463) followed by higher rate of interest with the score of (456). The fifth rank was given to non–flexibility in interest option with the score of (434), followed by procedure difficulty to get loan with the score of (415). Difficulties in penalty procedure ranked as seventh problem with the score of (413), next comes non availability of periodical information with the score of (382), ninth rank was given to higher processing fees and documentation charges with the score of (353), followed by no concession for pre-closure with the score of (282). the eleventh rank was given to higher insurance charges with the score of (233) and last ranked problem was non-flexibility in repayment with the score of (182).

In the case of private sector bank high insurance coverage was the first problem faced by borrowers with the score of (363) followed by higher interest rate with the score of (325), the third rank was given to the difficulties in penalty procudure with the score of (323) followed by non-availability of periodical information with the score of (301). The fifth rank was given to higher processing and documentation charges with the score of (293) followed by shorter repayment period sixth rank with the score of (281). Non-flexibility in interest option ranked as seventh problem with the score of (413), next comes delayed in amount sanctioned with the score of (254), ninth rank was given to no concession for pre-closure with the score of (219), followed by long processing period with the score of (188), the eleventh rank was given to procedure difficulty with the score of (180) and last ranked problem was non-flexibility in repayment was ranked with the score of (119).

The analysis shows that in case of public sector bank majority of the respondents expressed that long processing period was a major problem faced by the respondents whereas in case of private sector bank higher insurance coverage was a major problem faced by respondents.

Table.5.Education qualification and Factors Preferred for avail housing loan

	Factors Preferred														
Educational	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Qualification															Total
School Level	112	86	77	73	63	84	53	90	106	75	71	55	56	55	1056
Graduation	475	438	348	396	390	387	304	427	387	293	227	249	185	141	4620
Post	403	324	294	250	345	304	335	372	362	240	259	197	163	142	3990
Graduation															
Profession	71	56	44	43	55	41	45	66	54	39	30	35	31	20	630
Others	19	12	8	19	9	26	16	25	26	12	14	10	7	7	210
Total	1080	916	771	754	862	842	753	980	935	659	601	546	442	365	10500

H0₁: There is no significant relationship between educational qualification and factors preferred for availing housing

Loan

Calculated value: 101.2246

Table value:69.83

Degree of freedom= 52

Level of Significance: 5%

The calculated chi-square value (101.2246) is greater than table value (69.83) at 5% level of Significance. Hence hypothesis is rejected. Therefore there is significant relationship between educational qualification and factors preferred for availing housing loan.

Table.6.Annual Income and Factors Preferred for avail housing loan

	Factors Preferred														
Annual Income	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
)			/ 4								Total
Rs.2,00,000-	800	645	556	598	610	621	535	700	636	482	406	398	296	268	7560
Rs.4,00,000															
Rs.4,00,001-	186	184	149	102	199	159	158	204	211	134	149	100	97	68	2100
Rs.6,00,000															
Rs.6,00,001-	46	37	35	34	23	35	28	42	42	18	17	24	23	16	420
Rs.8,00,000							-71								
Above	48	41	31	20	30	27	32	34	46	25	29	24	20	13	420
Rs.8,00,000															
Total	1080	916	771	754	862	842	753	980	935	659	601	546	442	365	10500

HO₂: There is no significant relationship between annual Income of respondents and factors preferred for availing

housing loan.

Calculated value: 73.55718

Table value: 54.57 Degree of freedom= 39 Level of Significance: 5%

The calculated chi-square value (73.55718) is greater than table value (54.57) at 5% level of Significance. Hence hypothesis is rejected. Therefore there is significant relationship between annual income of the respondents and factors preferred for availing housing loan.

FINDINGS

- > Majority of the respondents (51.67% and 57.50%) were male in both public sector bank and private sector
- Majority of the respondents (53.44% and 75%) were below 30 years of age in both public sector and private sector bank.
- Majority of the respondents (68.33% and 67.50%) were married in both public sector bank and private sector bank.

- The analysis shows that majority of the respondents (40% and 50%) were graduates in both the banks.
- ➤ Majority of the respondents (48.33% and 72.50%) were working as private employees in both type of banks.
- ➤ It is clear from the analysis that majority of the respondents (66.67% and 90%) belonged to annual income category of Rs.2,00,000-Rs.4,00,000 per annum in both the banks.
- > Procedure to get loan is important factor preferred by respondents of both the banks.
- In case of public sector bank majority of the respondents expressed that long processing period was a major problem faced by the respondents whereas in case of private sector bank higher insurance coverage was a major problem faced by respondents.

HYPOTHESES TESTING RESULT

- ➤ H0₁: There is significant relationship between educational qualification and factors preferred for availing housing loan.
- ➤ H0₂: There is significant relationship between annual income of the respondents and factors preferred for housing loan.

SUGGESTIONS

- It is suggested that banker has to make it very easy procedure to get loan.
- > It also suggested that they have to provide housing loan facilities for all categories of occupation people such as agriculture etc.,
- It is suggested that bank has to reduce the interest rate incurred for housing loan so that people does not get he sitate to avail housing loan.

CONCLUSION

A Comparative Study On Customer Preference Towards Housing Loan Offered By Banks In Udumalpet Taluk concluded that major factor which is motivated the customer for availing a housing loan was easy procedure to get loan and amount sanctioned which plays a vital role in public sector bank whereas in case of private sector bank it had easy procedure to get loan and rate of interest plays a vital role in it. But, the major problem faced by respondents in public sector bank was long processing period, where as in case of private sector bank it was higher insurance coverage. In this context the researcher suggested that it would be beneficial for the interest of the borrowers if RBI would take proper measure to avail housing loan easily.

REFERENCES

- 1) P.Vimala (2007), "Housing Advances And Commercial Banks: Review", Southern Economist, Vol., No., P.65.
- 2) K.K.Ammannaya (2008), "Inclusive Housing And Housing Micro Finance", Southern Economist, Vol., No., 2008, P.5.
- 3) Dr.S.Hasanbanu & U.Jeyashree (2008), "A Comparative Study on Factors Influencing Housing Loan Borrowers of Public & Private Sector Banks in Uthamapalayam Taluk", Indian Journal Of Marketing, Vol.XXXVI, No:6,P.3
- 4) P.R.Jaishankar (2009), "Residential Mortgage Backed Securitization: The Market oriented Alternative for Funding Housing in India", Indian Journal Of Marketing, No., p.11
- 5) Rashmi chudhury (2011), "customer perception and satisfaction towards Home loan", International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management, vol.2, issue: 9.
- 6) Inderbir Kaur (2013), "Comparative Study of Housing Loan Of HDFC and ICICI Bank", IOSR Journal of Business and Management, Vol:10, Issue:3, 57-59.