A LITERATURE REVIEW ON STUDY OF EFFECT OF CONFINEMENT ON CURVATURE DUCTILITY OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS

Rishav Kumar[1] Afzal Khan[2]

[1]Research Scholar [2]Asst. Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Millennium Institute of Technology, Bhopal(M.P.)

ABSTRACT

It's obviously true that the strength and pliability of the substantial is exceptionally reliant upon the control level given by the parallel support. In the ongoing plan codes plan of solidarity is isolated with deformability. Assessment of deformability is free of a few critical boundaries of cement and steel. In the current review curve pliability of a RCC radiates with various degree of repressions are determined logically following contrasted and trial results. Six rectangular RCC radiates having same cross segment and principal fortifications are examined by utilizing OPENSEES programming. Different degree of parallel constrainment in radiates is prompted by two legged and three legged stirrups gave three different dividing. For exploratory review six RCC radiates are projected with stirrups gave at dispersing of 100 mm, 150 mm and 250 mm. Three pillars are projected with two legged and three shafts are projected with three legged stirrups. Logical perception is that the arch pliability increments with decline in dispersing of stirrups and expansion in number of legs of stirrups for example horizontal restriction builds the arch malleability of pillar. The variety as for dividing is more contrasted with number of legs of stirrups. It is demonstrated by utilizing the two models.

Keywords: *RCC building, IDR, PCF, OPENSEES*

1. INTRODUCTION

It is notable that the strength and malleability of cement are exceptionally subject to the degree of repression given by level of the horizontal support. In the flexural plan of built up concrete (RC) radiates, the strength and deformability, which are interrelated, should be thought about all the while. Nonetheless, in current plan codes, plan of solidarity is isolated with deformability, and assessment of deformability is autonomous of a few key boundaries, similar to substantial strength, steel yield strength and repression content. Consequently, arrangements in current plan codes may not give adequate deformability to radiates. In this proposal a definite report is introduced on malleability conduct of RC radiates with imprisonment by tentatively and scientifically. To examine the impact of the cross over supporting proportion on the shaft pliability, a trial program is directed. Six no's of shafts are projected with shifting c/c dividing between stirrups of two legged and three legged. In the seismic plan of supported cement footers of designs, the potential plastic pivot areas should be painstakingly definite for pliability to guarantee that the shaking from enormous tremors won't cause breakdown. Sufficient pliability of individuals from supported substantial edges is likewise important to guarantee that second rearrangement can happen. Past tests have shown that the restriction of cement by reasonable game plans of cross over support brings about a critical expansion in both the strength and the flexibility of the part. Specifically, the strength improvement from constrainment and the slant of the slipping part of the substantial pressure strain bend impact the flexural strength and flexibility of built up cement footers.

2. REVIEWS OF ARTICLES

- **Omar K. Alghazaw[2022] T**he purpose of this work is to investigate the influence of confinement on the curvature ductility factor of reinforced concrete beams at low and high strain rates of loading. The curvature ductility of beams is affected by the tension reinforcement ratio, the compression-reinforced ratio, the compression strength of concrete $f'c$, and the yield strength of steel fy. The degree of transverse reinforcement is another component that determines beam flexural behavior. A model of steel and restricted concrete under varying strain rates of loading was utilized to compute the curvature ductility factor. The reinforced concrete section is studied in this research to determine the confinement of the beam and the different strain rates of loading. The ratio of the volume of rectangular steel hoops to the volume of the concrete core, ρs, represents the confinement. Six values of ρs are investigated to ensure an acceptable degree of ductility capacity. It is concluded that the ACI-Code balanced reinforcement ratio is impacted by confinement, and that it is lower than the ratio achieved when confinement is present. In this work, specific values of the curvature ductility factor for beam sections constructed with the ACI code were reported for fy = 60 ksi (414 MPa), f'c = 4 ksi (27.6 MPa). Furthermore, the maximum quantity of tension reinforcement max influences the curvature ductility factor

- **Saeid foroughi, s. Bahadir yuksel[2022]** The flexural ductility of different parameters of concrete beams has been studied extensively by parametric studies according to the ductility factor relation suggested by various researchers and using a nonlinear moment-curvature analysis. They have proposed different relations for the ductility factor, which were discussed in detail in the Research Findings and Discussion Section, where the results obtained from these relations differ from each other. The results are different because the various researchers use factors such as different regulations, different material properties, different parameters, and different limit values for the tensile and compression reinforcement ratios. Based on the results of the numerical analyses, a simple equation is proposed for the prediction of the curvature ductility considering λ and the concrete's compressive strength. The proposed predictive Equation (12) is derived based on the results of sections with the concrete's strength (fck) from 25MPa to 50MPa, the steel's yield strength fy =420 MPa, the tensile reinforcement ratio from $\rho = 0.85\rho b$, and the compression reinforcement ratio from $\rho' = 0$ to $\rho' = \rho$. The proposed predictions show excellent agreement as evident from the correlative coefficients R2 , which is well above 0.99
- **Koet al. [2019]** In this context, ductility can be defined as the ability to support large plastic deformations before failure without significant resistance loss. The main reasons to consider ductility as a mandatory characteristic in the modern structural design are: ductility prevents brittle ruptures, which is a failure mode that must always be avoided elements with ductile behavior have higher plastic rotation capacities when compared to brittle elements and contribute to large deformations/displacements before a physic rupture ductility of cross sections are essential to provide bending moment redistribution along the beam as longitudinal reinforcement steel yields ensuring the redundant behavior of hyperstatic structures.
- **Kara and Ashour [2018]** Another important application in which the ductility is essential to guarantee safe behaviors of RC structural systems is related to dynamic loads generated by seismic tremors. In such cases, the ductility of the structural elements must be predicted and quantified in a detailed way to avoid severe damage and brittle failures of the buildings.
- **Lopes et. al [2018]** However, the prediction and the assessment of ductility as a single value to describe how ductile or brittle is an element or some cross section is not an easy task. There are several parameters interacting each other that influence the ductility at ultimate limit states. Moreover, it is impossible to dissociates ductility from rigidity of a RC element because they are function of almost the same parameters as: longitudinal reinforcement ratio, concrete strength, concrete softening branch in compression, crack pattern development, tension stiffening, bond-slip relationship.
- **Oehlers et al. [2017]** The curvature depends on the material strain levels and their limits. On the other hand, these strain values are function of the neutral axis position, which influences the effective depth and longitudinal reinforcement ratio. In order to at least guarantee a ductile behavior for RC structural elements, the design codes x) on the cross section determinations. EUROCODE 2 (2004) and ABNT NBR 6118 (2014) recommend for ensure ductility in RCβimpose some restrictions to the relative neutral axis position.
- **Demir et al. [2016]** Although this form to solve the problem of ductility is simple and intuitive, it does not quantify the ductility of the designed RC cross sections. Moreover, several important mechanisms that interfere on the overall behavior of the RC beams are not take into account, such as the evolution of damage along time as the loading conditions change; confinement effect of the compressed concrete provided by stirrups and tension stiffening.

3. CONCLUSION

Stresses in concrete increase because of confinement and the corresponding strains are increases because of confinement. Model is giving higher stresses and strains. Curvature ductility increases as the stirrup spacing decreases following both the confinement models. There is no significant increase in Curvature ductility if the stirrup's vertical legs increase. Experimental results are showing that the Curvature ductility increases as the stirrup spacing decreases. The model-2 is giving higher Curvature ductility values than the experimental findings. Based on the parametric study performed, a simple equation is recommended for predicting the curvature ductility of high strength concrete beams. The formula proposed in this paper generally includes the parameters affecting the local ductility of a reinforced concrete beam such as, the concrete strength, the yield strength of steel and the tension and compression reinforcements.

REFERENCES

1. Omar K. Alghazawi "Curvature Ductility Factor of Reinforced Concrete Beams with Confinement under Different Strain

Rates of Loading," Civil Engineering and Architecture, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 847 - 862, 2023. DOI: 10.13189/cea.2023.110223

2. Saeid FOROUGHI1*, S. Bahadir YUKSEL1 a new approach for determining the curvature ductility of reinforced concrete beams Vol. 30, 2022, No. 1, 8 – 20 DOI: 10.2478/sjce-2022-0002.
3. Mander, J. B., Priestley, M., J., N. and Park. R., “Theoretical Stress-Strain Model for Confined Concrete,” Journal of Structural Engineering, ASCE, Vol.114, No.8, pp.1804-1826, (1988).
4. Shin, S., Ghosh, S.K. & Moreno, J. “Flexural ductility of ultra high strength concrete members” *ACI Structural Journal* 86: 394-400. (1989)
5. Murat Saatcioglu, 1 Member, ASCE, and Salim R. Razvi.J. “Strength and Ductility of confined concrete” Struct. Eng. .118:1590-1607. (1992)
6. Saatcioglu, M., and Razvi, S. R. ‘‘Strength and ductility of confinedconcrete.’’ *J.Struct. Engg.*, ASCE, 118(6), 1590–1607. (1992)
7. Mandal, P., “Curvature ductility of reinforced concrete sections with and without confinement”, Master Thesis Department of Civil Engineering, Kanpur: Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur. (1993)
8. Razvi, S., and Saatcioglu, M. ‘‘Confinement model for normalstrength and high strength concretes.’’ *Rep. No. OCEERC 96-04*, Ottawa Carleton Earthquake Engineering Research Centre, Ottawa, ON,Canada. (1996)
9. Razvi, S. and Saatcioglu, M., “ Confinement Model for High-Strength Concrete,” Journal of Structural Engineering, ASCE, Vol.125, No.3, pp.281-289, (1999.)
10. IS 456:2000 “Indian Standard “PLAIN AND REINFORCED CONCRETE CODE OF PRACTICE”, fourth revision.
11. Li Bing, Park, R. and Tanaka, H., “Stress-Strain Behavior of High-Strength ConcreteConfined byUltra-High- and Normal-Strength Transverse Reinforcements,” ACI Structural Journal, Vol. 98, No.3,pp.395-406, (2001)
12. Ki-Nam Hong and Sang Hoon Han. “Uniaxial Compression Behavior of high strength concrete confined by low volumetric ratio lateral ties” Journal of Korea Concrete Institute, Vol 17 No.5, pp843-352, October, (2005)
13. Monita Olivia, ParthasarathiMandal. “Curvature Ductility of Reinforced Concrete Beam”. (2006)
14. S Unnikrishna Pillai and Devadas Menon, book “Reinforced Concrete Design” third edition.
15. Nonlinear Analysis by Dr. Devadas Menon.