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A cryptographic algorithm is very important in securing the confidential data while transmitting over the network. Modern cryptography is suggesting a variety of encryption schemes for protecting \& securing the data. This paper focuses on developing a new method to generate the key \& algorithm by using cryptographic techniques which will all together make an encryption scheme which is secure for generating the key. The proposed scheme does not require any specialized hardware or software, so basically it is low-cost \& flexible for peer to peer networks \& entity communication, which is based on the matrix. Finally, the paper concludes that simulation results are important for the feasibility of the algorithm.
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## 1.Introduction

Security of the data to be transferred is the crucial between the sender and receiver while communication. Information security is all times have set a fundamental part in each area associated with the communication of any confidential data. As the time is changing there is continuous requirement for a robust and powerful complex encryption technique. Presently, the superfluity for enciphering any plain text information subsists as well as encompasses accomplishment of the information protection to an immense level.

To maintain the equilibrium among the flexibility and robustness has always been a significant constraint of sustaining the altitude of security \& encryption. Securing the data is the need for encryption. Generally the algorithm used to encrypt the data deals and aims at preserving the privacy of the data concealment \& discretion of the data. Still while choosing any enciphering technique to be applied to the data the factors needs to be considered are how it performs, robustness, rapidity, dimension protection and complexity are the important aspects which need to be considered.

For enciphering any data there is a need for the key which can be generally classified as the" private key (symmetric)" and "public key (asymmetric)". symmetric as the name suggest is the algorithm where both the parties exchanging the information shares the same key where as asymmetric key there are different keys by the two parties. If any intruder any how can capture the information being send, this could lead to catastrophic bang for the
organization"s chaos. Consequently, proficient scheme which is emplaning the characteristic of marmalade the "Confidentiality, Integrity and Authenticity" are of more importance.

### 1.1 Confidentiality

The confidentiality is one of the essential parameter in security. Creating the documents or transaction transform when it is in the shipment state or stored in data canter is considered to as preserving the data-confidentiality.

### 1.2 Integrity

Integrity promises that the data being kept in the data-base or being transferred in the network is unchanged. Integrity can be reflected as the mixtures of two services as completeness plus correctness.

### 1.3Authenticity

Authenticity can be considers to the trust-worthiness of data-bases, communication via transmission-links, transactions, clients, data owners and the service provider. All the persons must be authorized for safeguarding the authenticity.

## 2. Proposed work

The new idea incorporates of the 3 steps which is form plain text key 1 is generated key 2 is generated from key 1 below is the mathematical proof of the system decipting the working of algorithm.

## Encryption

1) Key 2 creation From Key 1:
a. select $\mathrm{K} 1=$ key 1
convert K 1 to $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{K} 1)$ which is binary
b. matrix $\mathrm{A}=$ binary counterpart by writing alongs ide the rows.
c. Transpose A to AT
d. $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{AT})=$ binary of AT

The resultant binary number to hexadecimal.
$\operatorname{HEX}(\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{AT}))=$ key 2
Key 2 is in the hexadecimal form
e. K1 todecimal number HEX(add decimal number )
f. Result $=$ HEX(PT)-HEX(add decimal number)
g. $S($ txt $)=$ Swap result digit among themselves
h. binary ( $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{txt})$ ).
i. output=Inverse(2"s complement(binaryS(txt)))
2.Generation of key 3:
a. hex(output)
b. key $3=$ first and the last part hex(output) $\times 2$
3. Cipher Text Formulation
a. split (hex code) as follows.
b. output $1=$ The first block is Private Key shared with the receiver.
c. output $2=$ the second block is key with cipher text

Decryption
We get Cipher text as input.
Step1 partial cipher text extraction
a. Output 2 received $=$ The block is Transport Cipher Key.
b. Output 1 received = The block is Private key shared .
c. Join (output 1 ,output 2) convert to hex which is Key3.

Step 2.partial extraction of key 3:
d. We remove transport cipher key and append former 2 and the final 2 hex codes of cipher text.
e. We divide this new value from Key 3 to get Key 2.
f. Convert Key2 into it"s binary equivalent.
g. We do the Inversion of this binary equivalent.
h. We do $2^{\text {ces }}$ complement of above Inversion.

Step 3. Plaintext Extraction
i. Convert the binary equivalent code into Hex code.
j. We swap the digits of above Hex code.
k. We add Hexadecimal equivalent to above Hex code.

1. Convert above Hex code into original Plaintext.

## 3. Mathematical proof of the proposed work

## Plaintext: HELLO

Key 1 = "SK"
Hexadecimal equivalent: 53 4B
Binary (SK)= "0101 $001101001011 "$
Matrix A = 0101
0011
0100
1011
Transpose AT=0001
1010
0101
1101
Row form
0001101001011101
Hexadecimal form
Key 21 A 5 D
Step 2 : After Key generation
Plaintext: HELLO
Hexadecimal Form: 4845 4C 4C 4F
Key 1 S K
Decimal Equivalent: 31223
$3+1+2+2+3=11$
Hexadecimal of $11=0 B$
Hexadecimal code after substracting 11
4845 4C 4C 4F

- $11-11-11-11-11$

3734414144
Hex code after swapping digits of each code:
7343141444
Binary equivalent of each hexadecimal value
0111001101000011000101000001010001000100
2 "s complement
1"s complement : 1000110010111100111010111110101110111011
$2^{\text {ces }}$ complement: 1001110111001101111111001111110011001100
Inversion

0110001100110010000000110000001100110011
Hex Code: 6232030333

Step 3: Key3 generation
Key2 $=1 \mathrm{~A} 5 \mathrm{D}$
The first and last part of Hex code sequence are
62320333
6233
Key2 1 A 5 D
Decimal 110513
Ascii 62320333
Key3 63420846
Pre-shared private key: 6342
Transport cipher key : 0846
Cipher Text: 08466232030333

## DECRYPTION

To convert the cipher text into original plain text,

## Decryption

We get Cipher text as input.
private key shared : 6342
The received cipher text:
Cipher Text: 08466232030333

1. Extracting key 3 from cipher text:

Key: 0846
63 and 42 are previously shared the first two cipher text join them
key $3=63420846$
2. Extracting Key2:

Cipher text after removing first 2 values:
62320333
key $3 \div$ Cipher text after removing first 2 values $=$ key 2
$(63420846) \div(62320333)=1100513$
Therefore, Key $2=1$ A 5 D
3. Key 1 Extraction :

Converting key 2 into binary value $=0001101001011101$
Writing it in the matrix form
Matrix $B=0001$
1010
0101
1101
Row form: 0001101001011101
Transpose Matrix T=0101
0011
0100
1011
Binary equivalent $=0101001101001011$
Hexadecimal equivalent: 53 4B

ASCII of above binary number $=$ SK
Key 1 = SK
Decimal $(\mathrm{SK})=21323$ ( 3 is for space)
Sum of digits of above equivalent $=11$
Hex equivalent of above sum $=0 b$
Adding 0 b with each of the hex code in the sequence:
544849532049532043 4f 4e 464944454 e 544941 4c
Hexadecimal code: 7343141444
Swapping digits of each code: 3734414144
Adding hexadecimal equivalent adding 0 B
3734414144
$+11+11+11+11+11$
4845 4C 4C 4F
Plaintext: H ELLO

### 3.1.Result

For experiment java language figure 2 shows the output of the proposed approach which has the key size and the size of the memory in kb


Chart 1 Comparison of the base and proposed work in terms of storage space

| Comparision of Base and proposed work on based on time |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| time in ms |  |
|  | $\square$ |
|  |  |
|  | -proposed |
|  | - -base |
|  | ${ }^{10} \begin{array}{lllll} & 100 & 200 & 300 & 400\end{array}$ |
|  | Number of bits |



Chart 2 Comparison of base and proposed work on based on time
Proposed Base
9001000
12001500
25012500
35004000
40504100

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

A new advanced secure cryptographic approach has been projected which is resultant form the fundamental cryptographic algorithms and procedures are introduced and a new key generating process is presented,.

1. The key generation imposes randomized encryption
2. Key 3 are generated from the plain text which leads to the most complex form of the base algorithm

## 5. FUTURE EXTENSION

More secure algorithm other than RSA can be used for proposed system to provide more security. We will investigate encryption schemes that can resist such privacy vulnerabilities. We are also interested in exploring how to improve the proposed $a$ lgorithm to minimize decryption time.
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