A REVIEW INVESTIGATION ON DURABILITY OF REINFORCED CONCRETE AS AGGREGATE REPLACEMENT COCONUT SHELL

[1]Shubhash Kumar,**[2]Jyoti Yadav**

[1] Research Scholar, Civil Department, SRK University, M.P., India
[2] Professor, Civil Department, SRK University, M.P., India

ABSTRACT

In the investigation, the pressure quality of cement with the utilization of coconut shells for unbending clearing in various rates expanded to 15% and the estimation of compressive opposition diminished after 15%. The expansion of coconut shell to 15 percent thusly gives the higher estimation of cement compressive quality for inflexible deck. For adaptable asphalt the estimation of los scraped area test is around 29.5 when we include 15% coconut shell and it is reasonable for bituminous streets and after that its worth increments above 30% which is appropriate for various sort of streets, for example, bituminous bound macadam, water bound macadam, water bound macadam surfacing course, bituminous surface dressing and water bound macadam base course with bituminous surfacing. The complete estimation of the impact is high and the all out estimation of the devastating is under 30%.

Keywords— *Coconut shell aggregate, Aggregate Impact Value, Compressive Strength;*

INTRODUCTION

In another view, the ill-advised and lacking administration of waste will undoubtedly experience biohazards, sometimes, it may cause death toll. These issues ought to be referenced as the rule toward the administration's endeavors to achieve reasonable improvement approach for vision 2020. There is an expanding pattern of recyclable materials as single time use materials are getting exhausted. Because Malaysia, our neighboring nation, has reported that in excess of 23,000 tons of waste are delivered each day and is relied upon to arrive at 30,000 tons, an enormous number of squanders made are unfortunately natural and discarded. These are some genuine admonition and ought to be acted in time. Reusing is likewise a possible choice to land filling, however there is next to no open information and energy about reusing, and future results are not being thought of. Additionally, it likewise saw that on a normal of 0.8 kilogram of waste is being created by an individual for each day for which an expense of the expense of reusing is Rs 14 for every kg. In this manner, it has diminished the life of landfills and made a gigantic blow our nation economy. Squander the board is a significant plan that needs the viable arrangements and reusing ought to be constantly seen as a fundamental part of a viable and effective strong waste administration framework.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Coconut shell spirits to be discarded in enormous amounts without being dealt with, and this could prompt a prerequisite for a bigger territory at the site for the unloading of ecological waste materials. The coconut shell hold expendable issue as the shells are lazy to biodegrade because of the event of high assimilations of lignocelluloses in them. The searing of the coconut shell is making air contamination prompting natural debasement and bothers the prosperity of the network dwelling in close to towns and making bountiful sicknesses them. Coconut shell causes a total removal of all non-biodegradable waste just as a danger to the earth where polluted and unsafe gasses are broadcasted from landfill locales and damage to human wellbeing. Coconut shells are a genuine natural garbage removal issue, with around 60% of the nearby waste limit left in the earth.

COCONUT PRODUCTION

Coconut is widely farmed all over the world. According to reports by FAO in 2009 over 92 countries are farming coconut over a total landmass of 11.8 million hectares producing a yield of 61.7 million tons that an average of 5.2 tons per hectare. In Table 1.1 below are the Top Ten producer countries

Country	Production (tons) 2009	% of World Production	Acreage under Production (ha)	Yield/ha (tons)
Indonesia	21,565,700	34.9	3,231,710	6.67
Philippines	15,667,600	25.4	3,401,500	4.61
India	10,148,000	16.4	1,903,000	5.33
Sri Lanka	2,099,000	3.4	394,840	5.32
Brazil	1,973,370	3.2	284,058	6.95
Thailand	1,380,980	2.2	237,882	5.80
Vietnam	1,128,500	1.8	121,500	9.29
Mexico	1,004,710	1.6	155,713	6.45
Papua New Guinea	930,000	0.7	166,400	2.76
Tanzania	577,099	1.5	216,000	4.30
WORLD	61,708,358		11,864,344	5.20

LITERATURE REVIEW

K. Gunasekaran et al in 2016 published a paper that deals with the comparative study of the concrete pipe with shell replaced aggregates concrete pipes. There were numerous test performed on both the specimen specified by IS 458:2003. Both of them were having load bearing capacity with the acceptable limits as prescribed by the code mentioned earlier. The hydro-static pressure didn't form beads of water on the pipe surface by application of test pressure of 0.07 N/mm2 . Absorption properties of shell replaced aggregates pipes and conventional pipes were also within limits acceptable by code. Since the performance was of shell replaced aggregates of pipes were satisfactory, the paper concludes that it can be used as an alternative material for conventional aggregates.

Sarfraj Jahagirdar et al in 2016 published a paper in which study was conducted to compare the standard M20 cube strength with coconut shell aggregates as partial replacement for the quarry aggregates. The study has casted cubes of 10-12mm coconut aggregates along with same size quarry aggregates. The partial replacement of 20%,30%,40%,50% and 60% were performed respectively. A total of 36 cubes were casted and the 7 days compressive strength (in Mpa) were 20.89,16.89,16,14.74,10.67 and 9.19 for the respective percentage of replacement mentioned previously and 28 days strength (in Mpa) of 24.89, 19.85, 18.07, 16.37, 13.78, 12.74 respectively.

A.Anbuvel et al in 2016 published a paper that examines the variety of properties of coconut shells as aggregate replacement. A concrete sample with Natural aggregate replacement of 0-20% was provided. There were two design mix i.e. fly ash and coconut shell that were investigated for properties such as water absorption, compressive strength, moisture content and split tensile strength. It was reported that as % shell increases density decreases. Workability also decreases. Both the compressive strength and spilt tensile strength also decreases. The permeable

voids and absorption were recorded more than the sample with conventional aggregate. Fly-ash replacement had no notable effect.

Kulkarni Parag Pramod et al in 2016 distributed a paper that stresses that the expense of regular structure material is too high this paper mirrors that there is a colossal cost that must be paid why utilizing customary structure materials. The work centers fundamentally around projecting M30 grade concrete while utilizing the coconut shell as a halfway trade material for the ordinary unpleasant total. Psalms were projected and tried based on their flexural quality and compressive quality, and the outcomes were accounted for. It was discovered that as we increment the rate coconut shell the thickness, compressive quality and flexural quality reductions.

Apeksha Kanojia et al in 2015 distributed a paper that audits an assortment of waste material, for example, silica exhaust, copper slag, fly debris and so on. These are essentially utilized as essential fixings to make pressed wood, flush entryway and so on. For the solid the principle fixings is total which covers 70%-80% as its constituents. The development on development industry is extraordinarily lessening the accessible common assets, henceforth the papers shows that by applying these waste material to the principle constituents of the solid as fractional substitution so as to conserve the entire task cost.

Amrita Agnihotri et al in 2015 distributed a paper that reflects worries towards the expanding costs of building materials and its impact on expanding costs of house on the planet. The paper manages similar investigation of customary total and granular coconut as halfway swap for total in projected bars concerning their flexural and compressive quality for M20 evaluation of cement. There were little contrast in the properties however tremendous distinction in the expense and thus it recommended the designers to empower the elective materials.

Miss. Anjali S. Kattire et al in 2015 published a paper where they studied a total of 16 specimen casted 8 cubes and 8 cylinders and their compressive and tensile strength were measured after 28 days. Coconut shell was used as a partial replacement and the percentage at which it was replaced were 0%, 10%, 15% and 20% respectively. Although with increasing replacement the above mentioned mechanical properties started to show decrement, some of them were still good for construction of light weight members.

Lopa M. Shinde et al in 2015 published a paper that reviews the practical application of the agricultural waste as replacements for construction materials in order to lower down the cost of construction. It is also recommended to promote sustainable development of the structure in order to lower the impact on the environment. It highly issues the concern about recycling the material in order to lower the burden on natural resources. The papers describes how increasing utility of agricultural waste not only decreases pollution but also decreases the cost of construction. This has been shown by testing coconut shell as aggregate partial replacement from a wide range literature review.

Chandraul Kirti et al in 2015 published a paper that deals with researching the concrete for a design mix of 1:1.51:3.06 as a control sample and crushed coconut shells were used as replacement for crushed granite aggregates. Overall 36 cubes were casted with water / cement ratio as 0.5. The coconut replacement that was used in study were 10%, 20%, 40%, 60%, 80% and 100%. The density decreases as we increase the percentage of coconut shell. There is a bright side that the 20% replacement can be used widely in construction and can be a viable replacement for natural sourced aggregates thereby decreasing the cost of construction and burden on natural resources.

Sarfraj Jahagirdar et al in 2016 published a paper in which study was conducted to compare the standard M20 cube strength with coconut shell aggregates as partial replacement for the quarry aggregates. The study has casted cubes of 10-12mm coconut aggregates along with same size quarry aggregates. The partial replacement of 20%,30%,40%,50% and 60% were performed respectively. A total of 36 cubes were casted and the 7 days compressive strength (in Mpa) were 20.89,16.89,16,14.74,10.67 and 9.19 for the respective percentage of replacement mentioned previously and 28 days strength (in Mpa) of 24.89, 19.85, 18.07, 16.37, 13.78, 12.74 respectively.

A.Anbuvel et al in 2016 published a paper that examines the variety of properties of coconut shells as aggregate replacement. A concrete sample with Natural aggregate replacement of 0-20% was provided. There were two design mix i.e. fly ash and coconut shell that were investigated for properties such as water absorption, compressive strength, moisture content and split tensile strength. It was reported that as % shell increases density decreases.

Workability also decreases. Both the compressive strength and spilt tensile strength also decreases. The permeable voids and absorption were recorded more than the sample with conventional aggregate. Fly-ash replacement had no notable effect.

Kulkarni Parag Pramod et al in 2016 published a paper that emphasizes that the cost of conventional building material is too high this paper reflects that there is a huge expense that has to be paid why using conventional building materials. The paper mainly emphasizes on casting M30 grade concrete while using coconut shell as a partial replacement material for the conventional coarse aggregate. Hymns were casted and tested on the basis of their flexural strength and compressive strength and the results were reported. It was found that as we increase the percentage coconut shell the density, compressive strength and flexural strength decreases.

Apeksha Kanojia et al in 2015 published a paper that reviews a variety of waste material such as silica fumes, copper slag, fly ash etc. These are mainly used as primary ingredients to make plywood, flush door etc. For the concrete the main ingredients are aggregate which covers 70%-80% as its constituents. The growth on construction industry is greatly reducing the available natural resources, hence the papers shows that by applying these waste materials to the main constituents of the concrete as partial replacement in order to economize the whole project cost.

CONCLUSION

1, As on account of unbending asphalt the compressive quality worth continues expanding upto 15% of utilization of coconut shell in coarse totals. The compressive quality worth upto 15% utilization of coconut shell in coarse totals at 7 days and 28 days is about 25.75MPa and 34.8Mpa separately and as per IRC the base estimation of compressive quality at 28 days is about 30MPa for low volume streets and for different streets it is upto 40 MPa. Consequently for inflexible asphalts we can utilize coconut shell in coarse total upto 15%.

2, In the water ingestion test the worth continues expanding on the off chance that we increment the measure of coconut shell in coarse totals. According to IRC water assimilation esteem ranges from 0.1 to about 2% for total regularly utilized in street surfacing. Stones with water assimilation upto 4% have been utilized in base course. In the water assimilation test the resultant worth is 1.7 if there should be an occurrence of utilization of 10%of coconut shell in coarse total. Along these lines it utilized for street surfacing. Above 10% use of coconut shell in coarse total, the worth lies between 2-4% which is appropriate for base course.

3, In the particular gravity test the worth continues diminishing on the off chance that we increment the measure of coconut shell in coarse totals. According to IRC the particular gravity of coarse total ordinarily utilized in street development ranges about 2.5 to 3.0, however high explicit gravity of a total is considered as a sign of high quality. For our situation the resultant worth is 2.49 if there should be an occurrence of use of 5% utilization of coconut shell in coarse total. Consequently it is utilized for street surfacing upto 5% substitution.

REFERENCES:

[1] A. A. Ramezanianpour, M. M. Khani, and G. Ahmadibeni, “The effect of rice husk ash on mechanical properties and durability of sustainable concretes,” International Journal of Civil Engineering, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 83–91, Jun. 2009.
[2] M. Ali, “Coconut fibre: a versatile material and its applicationsin engineering,” Journal of Civil Engineering and Construction Technology, vol. 2, no. 9, pp. 189–97, Sep. 2011.
[3] K. H. Mo, T. S. Chin, U. J. Alengaram, and M. Z. Jumaat, “Material and structural properties of waste-oil palm shell concrete incorporating ground granulated blast-furnace slag reinforced with low-volumesteel fibres,” Journal of Cleaner Production, vol.133, pp. 414–426, Oct. 2016.
[4] Ministry of Agriculture and Farmer’s Welfare. Coconut development board. Accessed Nov. 15, 2018. [Online]. Available: http://www. coconutboard.nic.in
[5] C. Meyer, “The greening of the concrete industry,” Cement and Concrete Composites, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 601–605, Sep. 2019.

[6] L. M. Federico and S. E. Chidiac, "Waste glass as a supplementary cementitious material in concrete – critical review of treatment methods," Cement and Concrete Composites, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 606–610, Sep. 2019.
[7] U. J. Alengaram, B. A. A. Muhit, and M. Z. B. Jumaat, "Utilization of oil palm kernel shell as lightweight aggregate in concrete – a review," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 38, pp. 161–172, Jan. 2013.
[8] R. Prakash, R. Thenmozhi, and S. N. Raman. (2019, April 29) Mechanical characterization and flexural performance of eco-friendly concrete produced with fly ash as cement replacement and coconut shell coarse aggregate. [Online]. Available: https: //www.inderscience.com/info/inarticle.php?artid=99491
[9] K. Gunasekaran, P. Kumar, and M. Lakshmipathy, "Mechanical and bond properties of coconut shell concrete," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 92–98, Jan. 2011.
[10] H. B. Basri, M. A. Mannan, and M. F. M. Zain, "Concrete using waste oil palm shells as aggregate," Cement and Concrete Research,vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 619–622, 1999.
[11] K. Gunasekaran, R. Annadurai, and S. Kumar, "A study on some durability properties of coconut shell aggregate concrete," Materials and Structures, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 1253–1264, May 2013.
[12] K. Gunasekaran, R. Ramasubramani, R. Annadurai, and S. P. Chandar, "Study on reinforced lightweight coconut shell concrete beam behavior under torsion," Materials Design, vol. 57, pp. 374–382, May 2014.