

# A REVIEW ON REMOVAL OF IRON FROM GROUNDWATER

Ranjit N. Patil<sup>1</sup>, Kalyani D. Banabakode<sup>2</sup>, Tushar N. Lanjewar<sup>3</sup>, Shubham S. Gharpinde<sup>4</sup>,  
Giriraj V. Waghaye<sup>5</sup>, Aniket S. Mundle<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering, Priyadarshini Bhagwati College of Engineering Nagpur,  
Maharashtra, India

<sup>2</sup>UG Student scholars, Civil Engineering, Priyadarshini Bhagwati College of Engineering Nagpur,  
Maharashtra, India

<sup>3</sup>UG Student scholars, Civil Engineering, Priyadarshini Bhagwati College of Engineering Nagpur,  
Maharashtra, India

<sup>4</sup>UG Student scholars, Civil Engineering, Priyadarshini Bhagwati College of Engineering Nagpur,  
Maharashtra, India

<sup>5</sup>UG Student scholars, Civil Engineering, Priyadarshini Bhagwati College of Engineering Nagpur,  
Maharashtra, India

<sup>6</sup>UG Student scholars, Civil Engineering, Priyadarshini Bhagwati College of Engineering Nagpur,  
Maharashtra, India

## ABSTRACT

The presence of iron in groundwater, eventually in drinking water, has been recognized as a serious community health problem due to its high toxic nature and therefore, its removal is highly essential. It is a worldwide observed public health problem. Excess concentration of iron may create major issues related to the public concerned. According to WHO the acceptable limit of iron is 0.3 mg/L. In developing countries like India removal cost of metal ions like iron is the major factor of consideration. Adsorption is one of the cost effective method which is adopted globally due to its ease to use and preparation. This review focused on the different used adsorbents for the removal of iron by using method of adsorption. Utility of different material of various agro-wastes, plants, other bio-masses as bio-adsorbents are over viewed with its different parameter.

**Keyword:** - Iron, Drinking Water, Adsorption, Bio-adsorbent

## 1. INTRODUCTION:

Fe symbol is used to denote iron which is a chemical element having an atomic number 26. It is a metal in the first transition series. It is the most common element found on earth by mass, Iron makes up about 5 percent of the earth's crust. It is the fourth most common crust. Iron is considered to be the most common metallic elements that occurs together naturally especially in deeper wells with little or no oxygen present. Natural sources of iron may

include weathering of iron bearing minerals like amphibole, iron sulfide and iron rich clay minerals. Magnetite, hematite, goethite and siderite are the main naturally occurring iron minerals. Iron is an essential mineral used to transport oxygen to all parts of the body. A slight deficiency of iron causes anemia (fatigue/weakness) and a chronic deficiency can lead to organ failure. Conversely, too much iron leads to production of harmful free radicals, and interferes with metabolism, causing damage to organs like the heart and liver. The body is able to regulate uptake of iron, so overdose is rare and usually only occurs when people take supplements.

Iron in well water affects both food and beverages. This can cause the water to taste harshly, metallicly offensive, and the taste carries into coffee, tea and other beverages made with iron-laden water. Aside from bad taste, iron adds an unpleasant, inky blackness to beverages. Iron dissolved in groundwater is in the reduced iron II form. This form is soluble and normally does not cause any problems by itself. Iron II is oxidized to iron III on contact with oxygen in the air or by the action of iron related bacteria. Iron III forms insoluble hydroxides in water. These are rusty red and cause staining and blockage of screens, pumps, pipes, reticulation systems.

The World Health Organization recommended minimum iron level of 0.3 mg/l in water. Water having iron level above 0.3 mg/l require treatment. Iron give water an unpleasant taste, odor and color. Iron causes reddish-brown stains on laundry, porcelain, dishes, utensils, glassware, sinks, fixtures and concrete. Assam is the most affected state in India, whereas Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Kerala and Orissa also have iron content above a certain level in the water.

## 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### 2.1 CONSTRUCTED SOIL FILTER (CSF) SYSTEM

**Pravin D. Nemade et.al** conducted a study on removal of iron from water by using a constructed soil filter. The experiment was conducted in a bioreactor which is fabricated by aluminum mounted on metal grid filled with formulated media and under drain. The total height of bioreactor is 30cm with a diameter of 28.5 cm. The weight of the media is 26.5 kg. A sand filter containing gravel (size: 8-12mm), thin gravel (size: 5–8 mm), sand (size: 1–2mm) without media was used as control. For the experiments six run on control (SF) and experimental (CSF) unit were conducted with continuous flow rate 60 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. Effluents in continuous tank were analyzed for iron,. Results indicated that iron level from 5 to less than 0.3mg/l. The results revealed very high removal efficiency, *i.e.*, over 99% and water quality as per WHO standard.

### 2.2 MODELING IN SITU IRON REMOVAL

**Appelo et.al (2000)** investigated that In situ iron removal is a useful technique for reducing the iron concentration in groundwater pumped for consumption or industrial purposes. The technique entails the periodic injection of a volume of aerated or oxygenated water in an aquifer, followed by pumping of the injected water and subsequently of groundwater in which the iron concentration is lower than in native groundwater. Iron in the aquifer is oxidized during injection of the oxygenated water and precipitates as iron-oxyhydroxide. The loss of iron also liberates cation exchangers which are filled again when pumping is resumed and groundwater with dissolved iron contacts the cation exchange sites in the aquifer .During pumping, ferrous iron is sorbed from groundwater on the exchange and sorption sites, and the breakthrough of dissolved iron is retarded. Other trace elements such as arsenic may be eliminated jointly with iron by sorption or co-precipitation.

### 2.3 BIOLOGICAL FILTRATION

**Pierre Mouchet et.al (1992)** suggested that the principle of biological iron and manganese can be easily explain with reference to the stability diagram(Eh-pH) to oxidise iron and manganese prior to filtration when they are present in the reduces soluble stage (Fe<sup>2+</sup> & Mn<sup>2+</sup>) in ground water deprived of dissolved oxygen,the conventional treatment by physical-chemical process,for reason related to the reaction kinetics ,demands condition of intensive oxidation .These gives the water an Eh value much higher than that which diffentiates the respective stability field of the reduced and oxidized form.In practise these physical-chemical treatment required intensive aeration at Ph >7.2 to remove iron along with the use of potential oxidant (O<sub>3</sub>,KMNO<sub>4</sub>,ClO<sub>2</sub>) to remove manganese.Biological iron and manganese treatments offer the advantages of simplicity and economy.

## 2.4 DUCK EGG SHELL

**Iriany et.al (2013)** focused on the study of the ability of adsorption, Equilibrium time, adsorption kinetics, adsorption isotherm and capacity adsorption of heavy metal such as Fe (III) using duck eggshell adsorbent. Materials that used in this research are duck eggshell adsorbent, heavy metal Fe(III), chloric acid and aquabidest. Observed variables are the equilibrium time and residual concentration of Fe (III). Adsorbent was mixed with heavy metal Fe(III) solution. The sample was being taken every 10 minutes. The concentration was analyzed with AAS (Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer) in order to get the equilibrium concentration of heavy metal Fe (III) solution. The increasing amount of adsorbent will increase percentage adsorption and equilibrium time will be longer. Bangham model can be used to describe the kinetics of Fe (III) sorption. The Langmuir adsorption models were applied to experimental equilibrium data and the isotherm constants were calculated using linear regression analysis.

## 2.5 DIFERRIC RABBIT SERUM TRANSFERRIN BY RABBIT RETICULOCYTES

**John Williams et.al (1982)** suggested that When radioiron-labelled transferrin with  $^{55}\text{Fe}$  located predominantly in the N-terminal iron-binding site and  $^{59}\text{Fe}$  predominantly in the C-terminal iron-binding site was incubated with rabbit reticulocytes, both radioisotopes of iron were removed at similar rates. Electrophoresis of transferrin samples taken during the course of an incubation, in polyacrylamide gels containing 6M-urea, showed that iron was removed in a pairwise fashion, giving rise to iron-free transferrin.

## 2.6 RAPID SAND FILTER

**Albrechtsen et.al (2012)** studied that manganese and iron can either be removed physico-chemically or biologically or combined. The physico-chemical oxidation and precipitation of manganese can theoretically be achieved by aeration, but this process is slow unless pH is raised far above neutral, making the removal of manganese by simple aeration and precipitation under normal drinking water treatment conditions insignificant. Manganese may also adsorb to the existing filter material, which may result in an autocatalytic oxidation of manganese. Iron is usually easier to remove. First, iron is rapidly chemically oxidized by oxygen at neutral pH followed by precipitation and filtration. For many years, research has focused on the biological removal of manganese and iron, due to the associated energy and chemical savings. Furthermore, biological oxidation of manganese and iron results in denser precipitates than those obtained by chemical oxidation, reducing the number of required backwashes due to clogging of the filters. A batch assay was developed to quantify the microbial manganese and iron removal. The assay allows testing the effect of various parameters as well as distinguishing between biological and non-biological removal processes. The interaction between nitrification, manganese and iron removal was investigated and showed that ammonium had a positive effect on manganese removal whereas iron had a negative effect on manganese removal and even caused an increase of manganese.

## 2.7 NaOCl OXIDATION AND MF FILTRATION

**Wen-Hsiang Chen et.al (2012)** investigated that the removal conditions of iron and manganese ions from groundwater by aeration, chlorine oxidation and microfiltration (MF). The removal effects of iron and manganese under the conditions of oxidant doses, pH and reaction times were investigated in the Jar-test. Moreover, pilot-scale experiments were executed in Chang-Hua water treatment plant. The raw water containing iron and manganese ions were firstly oxidized by NaOCl and followed by MF filtration. The oxidized metal ions particles in membrane permeate were investigated by particle counter. For the Jar-test, the result indicates that pH higher than 7 and NaOCl dosage more than 3 mg  $\text{L}^{-1}$  are necessary to reach more than 90% Mn removal efficiency. For the pilot test, the Mn concentration of permeate was gradually decreased from 0.1 to 0.01 mg  $\text{L}^{-1}$  after 2 wk operation. The accumulated sludge that is Fe-Mn oxide on membrane contributes an important role for Mn removal. Thus, this study confirmed that MF process can be applied properly for improving water quality to comply more stringent water quality standards. The Fe concentration in raw water generally ranged from 0.3 to 1.5 mg  $\text{L}^{-1}$ . In this study, the groundwater with Fe and Mn concentration at 0.65 and 0.5 mg  $\text{L}^{-1}$  was tested. The Fe and Mn removal by aeration and NaOCl oxidation from pH 6 to 9.

## 2.8 NATURAL SILICA SAND

**Marina Valentukevičienė et.al (2008)** focused on of the removal of iron and ammonium ions from groundwater by natural silica sand was carried out. Coarse sand particles were used (0.7–2.0 mm): the silica sand filter medium being 750 mm height. Silica sand material was washed and dried at 105 °c in an oven before using it in the filter bed; 500 l of groundwater artificially polluted with iron sulphate and ammonium chloride solutions passed through the filter charged with silica sand. The best removal of iron compounds from the water solution was obtained by using a filter medium 1 m high with the finest silica sand. Iron removal efficiency was 95% using coarse silica sand. The highest ammonium removal efficiency (94%) was achieved using 0.7–2.0 mm silica sand.

## 2.9 OXIDIZING BACTERIA

**Ioannis A. Katsoyiannis et.al (2006)** suggested that the method relies on the use of indigenous non-pathogenic iron- and manganese-oxidizing bacteria. Dissolved iron and manganese species often coexist with arsenic in groundwater. Therefore, the application of this method could provide consumers with water of high quality, which is practically free of iron, manganese and arsenic, complying with the respective legislative limits. In this paper the biological oxidation of iron and manganese has been reviewed and recent findings regarding the removal of arsenic have been summarized. Arsenic (III or V) can be removed efficiently from a wide range of initial concentrations with practically limited operational cost, apart from the capital costs for the installation of treatment units. As a result, the use of chemical reagents for the oxidation of trivalent arsenic can be avoided, because As (III) was efficiently oxidized to As (V) by these bacteria (acting as catalysts) under similar conditions, which are usually applied for the removal of iron and manganese by biological means.

## 2.10 ADSORPTION AND FILTRATION

**Dipankar Thakuria et.al (2016)** focused on the problems related to groundwater contamination by Iron and Fluoride which are common and serious all over the world, especially in developing countries. Excess amounts of these contaminants have created health problems. For this reason, the maximum permissible limit for iron given by WHO and BIS is 1 mg/l, however 0.3 mg/l is the desirable limit. For fluoride, the maximum permissible limit is 1.5 mg/l but as per WHO the desirable limit is 0.8-1.2 mg/l. Adsorption is a technique which can be effectively used for removal of these ions from groundwater. In the present paper an attempt has been made to study the iron and fluoride contamination, their effects to health and environment and removal their removal from groundwater using the process of adsorption and adsorptive filtration.

## 2.11 UP-FLOW ROUGHING BIOFILTER

**G.K. Khadse et. al (2013)** used Hand pump attachable iron (Fe) removal plants (IRPs) based on up-flow roughing biofilter principle were installed for potable water supply from the tube well water in rural areas containing excess iron. The performances of the IRPs were evaluated for the removal of Fe and Mn (manganese). Desirable modifications were made in IRPs in order to improve the Fe removal capacity and dissolved oxygen content in the treated water in order to make the water suitable for drinking. After desirable modifications in IRPs, it was observed that the iron content reduced up to permissible limit (1 mg/L or less as per BIS: 10500, 1991 standard) in treated water from 3-6.8 mg/L of Fe in raw water. Hand pump attachable IRPs based on up-flow roughing biofilter along with controlled aeration and proper O&M can be used for Fe removal. This may be helpful in appropriate and sustainable water quality improvement in iron-affected areas.

## 2.12 CHELATING RESIN PUROLITE

**Petru Bulai et.al** worked on the sorption characteristics of Iron (II) on iminodiacetic resin Purolite S930 in various operating conditions such as initial pH, copper concentration, contact time, temperature, ionic form of the resin and resin dose. The percent of Iron (II) removal has a maximum at pH 5.0, and increases with the increasing of resin dose, of the contact time and decreases with increasing initial concentration of solution. In the experiments was used the S930 chelating resin obtained from Purolite International Limited (Hounslow, UK) Sorption of iron (II) ions on Purolite S930 in hydrogen (S930-H) form was carried out in batch experiments using 50.0 mL of iron (II) solutions with different initial concentrations (10–300 mg/L) that were added to Erlenmeyer flask already containing 0.05 g of dry resin. The present study shows that Purolite S930 is an effective sorbent for the removal of Iron (II) ions from

aqueous solutions. The percent of Iron (II) removal has a maximum at pH 5.0 and increases with the increasing of resin dose, of the contact time and decreases with increasing of initial concentration of solution.

### 2.13 NATURAL MATERIAL

**Danka barlokova et.al (2009)** found more efficient and cost-effective materials and technologies used in water treatment. The goal of this study is to compare activated natural zeolite-clinoptilolite with activated filtration sand and the imported material Birm (from the Clack Corporation, USA) in removal of iron and manganese from water. Obtained results carried out in the water treatment plant in Holíč prove that Klinopur-Mn is suitable for removal of iron and manganese from water and is comparable with other imported materials. Natural or synthetic zeolite can be used as a filtration material for removal of iron and manganese from water. Birm, Greensand, Pyrolox, and MTM are the most frequently used materials in filtration. Birm is a granulated filter medium (imported from the USA) used for iron and manganese removal from water. It is a specially developed material containing MnO<sub>2</sub> film on the surface (catalyst). It is recommended to use Birm for lower iron concentrations (to Fe<sup>2+</sup> concentration of 6.0 mg·l<sup>-1</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> about 3.0 mg·l<sup>-1</sup>) and for household water treatment. It can also be used in gravity or pressure filters.

### 2.14 DISSOLVED AIR FLOTATION (DAF)

**R. B. Moruzzi et. al (2004)** has studied comparing iron organic complexes, color, turbidity and manganese removal efficiencies of two techniques: oxidation/coagulation/sedimentation and oxidation/coagulation/dissolved air flotation (DAF). The focus was the suitable adjustment of the coagulation processes rather than the oxidation process. In this way, lower dosages of oxidant and coagulant could be added increasing the removal efficiency and saving chemicals. The results showed that DAF is an attractive alternative to promote the removal of organic iron compounds (97% of removal efficiency, residual of 0.17mg/L), color (98% of removal efficiency, residual <2CU) and turbidity (95% of removal efficiency, residual of 0.70NTU) by using low dosages of chemicals (15mg/L of ferric chloride) in a lower pH value (6.3) than the commonly recommended one (>7.5).

### 2.15 PONGAMIA PINNATA TREE BARK

**M. Mamatha, H. B. Aravinda, et. al (2012)** used an adsorbent prepared from the raw bark of the Pongamiapinnata tree for the removal of ferrous or ferric ions from aqueous and waste water containing heavy metals. Adsorption studies were conducted at different pH, concentration of metal ion, amount of adsorbent, contact time, agitation and temperature. The Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherm models were applied for the results. The Langmuir isotherms were best fitted by the equilibrium data. The maximum adsorption was found to 146mg/g in waste water at a temperature of 30°C which is in agreement as comparable to the adsorption capacity of different adsorbents reported in literature. Pseudo second order model best fitted the adsorption of both ferrous and ferric ions. Pongamiapinnata bark was peeled off from the cut branches of the tree in the study area, Davangere, Karnataka, India. The raw bark was Sun dried for 3 days. Then the bark was dried at 80°C for six hours in hot air oven and cut into 2 to 3 inch pieces. Dried bark was powdered in pulverizer. The powder was washed several times with double distilled water to remove solubles, coloring matter and again dried in hot air oven at 60°C for 8 hours. The powdered bark was sieved (Indian Standard Sieve) and various fractions of adsorbent was separately stored in air tight containers. Pongamia pinnata tree bark can be effectively used as a viable and economic adsorbent for removal of iron from aqueous and industrial effluents.

## 3. CONCLUSIONS

This review provides information about the various adsorbents which are used in the process of removal of iron from water. The removal efficiency of various adsorbents depends on different parameters in batch and column analysis study. It is observed that the dose of adsorbents increases as the uptake capacity increases and decreases with the size of adsorbent. A review of studied adsorbents in various forms presented above shows a different potential for the iron removal. This review also concludes that the use of commercial available adsorbents can be replaced by the inexpensive and effective bio-adsorbents.

**4. REFERENCES:**

- [1]. D. Ellis, C. Bouchard and G. Lantagne, "Removal of iron and manganese from groundwater by oxidation and microfiltration", *Desalination*, Vol 130, pp. 255-264, 2000.
- [2]. J. D. Navratil, and M.T. S. Tsair, Magnetic separation of iron and heavy metals from water, *Water science and Technology*, 47, 29- 32, (2002).
- [3]. Das, B., P. Hazarika, G. Saikia, H. Kalita, D.C. Goswami, H.B. Das, S.N. Dube and R.K. Dutta, Removal of iron from groundwater by ash: A systematic study of a traditional method, *Journal of Hazardous materials*, 141, 834-841, (2007).
- [4]. P. Berbenni, A. Pollice, R. Canziani, L. Stabile and F. Nobili Removal of iron and manganese from hydrocarbon - contaminated ground waters, *Bioresources Technology*, 74, 109- 114, (2000).
- [5]. Regina de F.P.M. Moreira, V. S. Madeira, H. J. Jose and E. Humeres, Removal of iron from water using adsorbent carbon, *Separation science and Technology*, 39, 271- 285, (2005)
- [6]. D. Ellis, C. Bouchard and G. Lantagne, Removal of iron and manganese from groundwater by oxidation and microfiltration, *Desalination*, 130, 255-264, (2000)
- [7]. R. Munter, H. Ojaste and J. Sutt, Complexed iron removal from ground water, *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 131, 1014-1020, (2005)
- [8]. S.K. Sharma, Adsorptive iron removal from ground water, IHE Delft/Wegeningen University, The Netherlands, 2001
- [9]. A.D. George, M. Chaudhuri, Removal of iron from ground water by filtration through coal, *J. Am Water Works Assoc.* 69(1977) 385-389
- [10]. Ranjit N. Patil et al. "REMOVAL OF IRON FROM WATER BY USING LOW COST ADSORBENTS: A REVIEW" *International Journal Of Advance Research And Innovative Ideas In Education* Volume 3 Issue 2 2017 Page 1911-1918
- [11]. Ewa Okoniewska, Joanna Lach, Malgorzata Kacprzak, Ewa Neczaj, The removal of manganese, iron and ammonium nitrogen on impregnated activated carbon, *Desalination* 206 (2007) 251-258.
- [12]. Ahamad K. U., Iron (II) Adsorptive capacity evaluation of wooden charcoal and sand media used in indigenous household iron filtration units of rural and semi urban Assam, Master's Thesis, Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati, India, 2005.
- [13]. Andersson A. and Johansson J., Iron removal from groundwater in Rakai District, Uganda, Master's Thesis, Lulea University of Technology, Sweden, 2002.
- [14]. Ellis D., Bouchard C. and Lantagne G., Removal of iron and manganese from groundwater by oxidation and microfiltration, *Desalination*, 130, 2000, 255-264.
- [15]. Ranjit N. Patil (2016), Removal of fluoride from ground water by using modified bark of Terminalia Chebula (Haritaki), Volume 7, Issue 6, November-December 2016, pp. 21-30, Article ID: IJCIET\_07\_06\_003
- [16]. Munter R., Ojaste H. and Sutt J., Complexed iron removal from groundwater, *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 131, 2005, 1014-1020.
- [17]. Berbenni P., Pollice A., Canziani R., Stabile L. and Nobili F., Removal of iron and manganese from hydrocarbon contaminated groundwater, *Bioresource Technology*, 74, 2000, 109-114.
- [18]. Ahamad K U, Jawed M, (2011), "Breakthrough Column Studies for Iron (II) Removal from Water by Wooden Charcoal and Sand: A low cost approach", *Int. J. Environ. Res.*, 5(1), pp 127-138.
- [19]. S. Mahakalkar, Sapna N. Nandeshwar, Rashmi R. Gupta, (2014), "Removal of iron from industrial and municipal waste water using low cost adsorbent prepared from waste orange peel" *Arch. Appl. Sci. Res.* 6(5), pp 26-35
- [20]. K. Baruah, B. Das, A. Haque, K. Misra, A. K. Misra, (2011), "Iron Removal Efficiency of Different Bamboo Charcoals: A Study on Modified Indigenous Water Filtration Technique in Rural Areas of Assam", *J. Chem. Pharm. Res.* 3(2), pp 454-459.
- [21]. G. Anusha, (2011), "Removal of Iron from Wastewater using Bael Fruit Shell as Adsorbent", *International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology, IPCBEE*, Vol. 6, pp 258-260.
- [22]. G. Anusha, J. Raja Murugados, (2014), "Adsorption of Iron from Aqueous solution using Almond shell as adsorbent", *International Journal of Scientific Research*, Vol. 3(10), pp 1-3.
- [23]. Irvan Dahlan, Siti Roshayu Hassan, Mohd Luqman Hakim, (2013), "Removal of Iron from Aqueous solutions using siliceous waste sorbent", *Sustain. Environ. Res.*, 23(1), pp 41-48.
- [24]. K.S. Beenakumari, (2009), "Removal of Iron from Water Using Modified Coconut Shell Charcoal as Adsorbent", *Curr. World Environ.*, Vol. 4(2), pp 321-326.

- [25]. ManelRuiti ,Béchir Ben Thayer, (2015), “Removal of Iron from Artificial Groundwater by Adsorption on Charcoal”, International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Technology (IJSET) ISSN: 2356-5608, Vol.3, issue 2, pp 133-137.
- [26]. Matsumoto M., Ikoma A., Kondo K, (2015), “Adsorption of Ferrous Iron in Water on Filter paper including Cedar Bark”, 14th International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology, CEST2015\_00221.
- [27]. M. H. Isa, W. A. Al-Madhoun, H. A. Aziz, F. A. H. Asaari& N. H. M. Sabiani, (2004), “Fe removal by adsorption using ash from oil palm factory”, AWAM 8E06, pp 1-5.
- [28]. Mohammed ModuAji, BabaganaGutti and Bitrus K. Highina, (2015), “Application of Activated Carbon in Removal of Iron and Manganese from Alau Dam water in Maiduguri”, Columban J. Life Sci. Vol 17(1), pp 35-39.
- [29]. R. Balaji, S. Sasikala, G. Muthuraman, (2014), “Removal of Iron from drinking / ground water by using agricultural Waste as Natural adsorbents”, International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT) Volume 3, Issue 12, pp 43-46.
- [30]. R. Shokoohi, M. H. Saghi, H. R. Ghafari , M. Hadi, (2009), “ BIOSORPTION OF IRON FROM AQUEOUS SOLUTION BY DRIED BIOMASS OF ACTIVATED SLUDGE”, Iran. J. Environ. Health. Sci. Eng., 2009, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 107-114
- [31]. Malay Chaudhuri, Nsiman Bin Sapari, SitiFarahanaBintMohak, Removalof Iron from Groundwater by Direct Filtration through Coal and CarbonaceousShale, In:Int. Conf. Cons. Build. Tech, 2008
- [32]. Ranjit N. Patil (2016), Removal of fluoride from ground water by using treated bark of phyllanthus emblica (Amla) Tree, Volume 7, Issue 6, November-December 2016, pp. 11–20, Article ID: IJCIET\_07\_06\_002
- [33]. Varner D., Skipton S., Hay D. and Jasa P., Drinking Water: Iron and Manganese, Institute ofAgriculture and Natural Resources, Published by University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extensitin,Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources, 1996. Retrieved October 16, 2001from <http://www.ianrpubs.unl.edu/live/g1714/build/g1714.pdf>.



**BIOGRAPHIES**

	<p><b>Ranjit N. Patil</b></p> <p>Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering, Priyadarshini Bhagwati College of Engineering Umred Road Nagpur,</p> <p><b>Research Area:</b> Water, Waste Water, Adsorption, Solid Waste Management, Metal ions.</p>
	<p><b>Kalyani D. Banabakode</b></p> <p>B.E. Final Year Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Priyadarshini Bhagwati College of Engineering Umred Road Nagpur,</p>
	<p><b>Tushar N. Lanjewar</b></p> <p>B.E. Final Year Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Priyadarshini Bhagwati College of Engineering Umred Road Nagpur,</p>
	<p><b>Shubham S. Gharpinde</b></p> <p>B.E. Final Year Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Priyadarshini Bhagwati College of Engineering Umred Road Nagpur,</p>
	<p><b>Giriraj V. Waghaye</b></p> <p>B.E. Final Year Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Priyadarshini Bhagwati College of Engineering Umred Road Nagpur,</p>
	<p><b>Aniket S. Mundle</b></p> <p>B.E. Final Year Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Priyadarshini Bhagwati College of Engineering Umred Road Nagpur,</p>