An experimental Investigation on Mechanical properties of concrete by partial replacement of fine aggregate (Sand) with Electronic waste

¹ R. Satya Rama Chandra kumar, PG Student, Civil Engineering, DNR College of Engineering & Technology, Andhra Pradesh, India

² Mr. M.K.M.V RATNAM, Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, DNR College of Engineering & Technology, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

E-waste or Electronic waste describes discarded electrical or electronic devices coming from used electronics which are destined for reuse, resale, salvage, recycling or disposal. Informal processing of electronic waste in developing countries may cause serious health and pollution problems, as these countries have limited regulatory oversight of e-waste processing. The main aim of the study is to investigate the changes in mechanical properties of concrete with addition of e wastes in different portions from 0 to 30% to the concrete by partial replacement of fine aggregate. Based on the experimental study it is found that the use of e-waste aggregates results in the formation of light weight concrete hence subsequently reducing the dead weight of the structure. In present study the e-waste based concrete cubes and cylinders were cast manually and the strength of the test concrete in terms of compression and split tension were experimentally evaluated. It is found that the strength of e waste replaced concrete in terms of compression and split tension can be comparable with the conventional concrete.

Keyword: - Concrete, dead weight, E-waste, Specimen Preparation, Testing

1. Introduction

Research concerning the use of by-products to augment the properties of concrete has been going on for many years. Reuse of electronic waste in concrete industry is considered as the most feasible application. The processing of electronic waste in developing countries causes serious health and pollution problems due to the fact that electronic equipment contains serious contaminants such as lead, cadmium, Beryllium etc. This Investigation deals with the non-hazardous and inert components of E-waste generated out of Obsolete Computers, TV Cabins, Refrigerator, Mobile phones and washing Machine etc. The major objective of this task is to reduce as for as possible the accumulation of used and discarded electronic and electrical equipment's and transfer waste into socially and industrially beneficial raw material using simple, low cost and environmental friendly technology. The main aim of the study is to investigate the changes in mechanical properties of concrete with addition of e waste with different proportions (from 0% to 30%) as a partial replacement of fine aggregate. Hence the utility of non-biodegradable components of E waste in production pursuits is the noteworthy solicitude of the task established now a days.

1.2. Advantages of electrical waste

- Keeps the environment clean and fresh
- Saves the earth and conserves energy
- Reduces environmental pollution
- Waste management will help you earn money
- Creates employment
- E-waste has good compressive and flexural strength.
- E-waste offers good performance to chemical acid attacks.
- Better workability
- Provides economical and safe disposal of e-waste.
- Easy manufacturing technique.
- Considerable change in self-weight of concrete.

1.3. Objectivities of the present Study

- To detect an alternative material for river sand in the production of cement concrete.
- The valuable cost and shortage of river sand has persuaded to a crisis where in a novel material has to be established out in order to boon the structure corporation.
- To procure and process the e waste.
- Convert e-waste materials into e waste fine aggregates by grinding the e waste chips to the required grain size distribution of fine aggregates.
- To produce the E-waste concrete of M25 Grade.
- To study the effect on workability and density of the different mixes (different proportions of E-waste fine aggregate) at constant W/C ratio.
- To study the strength properties of e-waste concrete by casting specimens (cubes, cylinders and beams) and curing it for 7 days, 14, and 28 days.
- To study the durability properties of e-waste concrete.
- Cost analysis of the e-waste concrete.

1.4. Outcome of the present study:

- The replacements with E-waste will positively benevolence the structure corporation awaited to the scantiness of river sand.
- Exploitation of scrap materials and corollaries is a resolution to green and biological complications.

1.5. Methodology of the study:

• Literature survey was carried out to review previous studies related to this thesis

- All the required materials were collected and delivered to the laboratory prior to initiate the test. These are; Cement, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate.
- Tests were conducted on the raw materials to determine their properties and suitability for the experiment
- The series of the cubes were casted in the laboratory and necessary curing shall be done to achieve the 28 days compressive strength.
- Static load test were carried out on the prepared concrete continuous beam samples.
- The data collection was mainly based on the tests conducted on the prepared specimens in the laboratory.
- The test results of the samples were compared with the respective control concrete properties and the results were presented using tables, picture and graphs. Conclusions and recommendations were finally forwarded based on the findings and observations.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Lakshmi. R and Nagan. S [1] Studies on Concrete containing E plastic waste. This study intended to find the effective ways to reutilize the hard plastic waste particles as concrete aggregate. The compressive strength and split tensile strength of concrete containing e-plastic aggregate is retained more or less in comparison with controlled concrete specimens. However strength noticeably decreased when the e plastic content was more than 20%.

Bahoria B.V., Parbat D.K. and Naganaik P.B. [2] have studied on Replacement of Natural sand in concrete by waste product. A State of The partial replacement of river sand with 20% CGF is recommended for use in concrete production for use in rigid pavement. Where crushed granite is in abundance and river sand is scarce, the complete replacement river sand with CGF is recommended for use in low to moderately trafficked roads.

Awanish Kumar Shukla [3] has presented experimental investigation on Application of CNC Waste with Recycled Aggregate in Concrete Mix. It is found that up to 50% replacement of natural coarse aggregate by recycled coarse aggregate (in addition to 2% CNC lathe waste) increases the compressive strength. There was 11% increment in compressive strength.

Kurian Joseph[4] have studied on Electronic Waste Management in India –issues and strategies. Solid waste management, which is already a mammoth task in India, is becoming more complicated by the invasion of e-waste, particularly computer waste. There exists an urgent need for a detailed assessment of the current and future scenario including quantification, characteristics, existing disposal, practices, environmental impacts etc.

3. Experimental Investigations:

The properties of the materials used in the experimental work are presented in this chapter. The material specifications for cement, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate and admixtures are discussed. The various tests like sieve analysis, specific gravity, Sieve analysis etc. was done for sand, e-waste and coarse aggregate to test their suitability to use in concrete.

3.2. Tests on cement

| Sl. No | Properties | Values obtained | Standard values |
|--------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 1. | Specific gravity | 3.0 | 3.15 |
| 2. | Normal consistency | 31% | 30% |
| 3. | Initial and Final setting time | 30 min and 580 min | Not less than 30 min Not greater than 10 hrs |

 Table -1: Properties of Cement (PPC)

 Table -2: Fineness Modulus of cement (PPC)

| S.No | Description | Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Trial 3 | Fineness of Cement (%) |
|------|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------------------------|
| 1. | Initial weight of sample (g) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 5.66 |

3.3. Tests on fine aggregate

Table -3: Properties of fine aggregate

| Properties | Values obtained |
|------------------|-----------------|
| Specific gravity | 2.60 |
| Water absorption | 1.01 |
| Fineness Modulus | 2.46 |

3.4. Tests on Coarse aggregate

Table -4: Properties of coarse aggregate

| Properties | Values obtained |
|------------------|-----------------|
| Specific gravity | 2.74 |
| Sieve Analysis | 6.17 |

3.5. Tests on Electrical waste

Table -5: Sieve analysis of electrical waste

| Sieve No. (mm) | Weight Retained (g) | Cumulative Weight Retained(g) | Cumulative% Retained | % Passing |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| 4.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 2.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 1.18 | 135 | 135 | 27 | 73 |
| 0.6 | 70 | 205 | 41 | 59 |
| 0.3 | 260 | 465 | 93 | 7 |
| 0.15 | 30 | 495 | 99 | 1 |
| <0.15 | 5 | 500 | 100 | 0 |

Fineness modulus of electrical waste = 2.60

3.6. Tests on Concrete

The following tests were conducted on concrete and concrete specimens at different ages

- Slump test
- Compressive strength test
- Split tensile strength test
- Flexural strength test
- Durability test

Table 6 Compressive Strength of concrete

| Min truno | Compressive strength, N/mm2-M25 Grade | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| with type | 7 days | 14 days | 28 days | 56 days | 90 days |
| Control mix Grade) | 19.56 | 25.43 | 33.22 | 35.22 | 36.11 |
| MIX 10 | 19.13 | 21.22 | 31.83 | 32.76 | 33.11 |
| MIX 20 | 18.30 | 19.76 | 26.42 | 28.25 | 29.56 |
| MIX 30 | 17.78 | 18.12 | 24.23 | 26.74 | 27.43 |



Chart -1: Comparison of Compressive strength



Fig-1: Compressive Strength of concrete

| Minsteine | Split tensile strength, N/mm2-M25 Grade | | | | |
|-------------|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Mix type | 7 days | 14 days | 28 days | 56 days | 90 days |
| Control mix | 2.310 | 2.68 | 3.4 | 3.58 | 3.66 |
| MIX 10 | 2.06 | 2.32 | 3 | 3.15 | 3.23 |
| MIX 20 | 1.98 | 2.17 | 2.8 | 3.08 | 3.14 |
| MIX 30 | 1.80 | 2.02 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.86 |

Table 7: Split Tensile Strength of concrete



Fig-2: Flexural Strength of concrete



Chart -2: Comparison of Split tensile strength

| | Flexural strength, N/mm2-M25 Grade | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|--|
| Mix type | 7 days | 14 days | 28 days | 56 days | 90 days | |
| Control mix | 7.1 | 7 <mark>.</mark> 67 | 8.67 | 8.83 | 8.98 | |
| MIX 10 | 6.32 | 6.75 | 7.8 | 8.39 | 8.56 | |
| MIX 20 | 5.72 | 6.40 | 7.12 | 7.74 | 8.10 | |
| MIX 30 | 5.33 | 5.76 | 6 <mark>.72</mark> | 7.13 | 7.59 | |

 Table 8: Flexural Strength of concrete



and the second se

3.7. Durability Test (Chemical attack test)

The specimens were subjected to chemical attack test in order to ensure its durability, if concrete is exposed to adverse effects of chemicals in its lifetime. Once the concrete specimens had attained their target strength that is after 28 days curing period, the specimens were taken out of curing tank and were left to dry for 24 hours. Once the specimens were dry, initial weight of the specimens (different mix proportions) were taken. Then the specimens were immersed into acid solution to carry out and curing is being done for 28 and 56 days. Sulphate attack test was done by immersing specimens into 6% Sodium Sulphate solution (Na2S04+94% water). Chloride attack test was done by immersing the specimens into 6% hydrochloric acid solution (6% Hcl+94% water). The acid concentration was maintained throughout the acid curing period to ensure uniformity. After 28 and 56 days period, the concrete specimens were taken out of the acid solution and kept for drying (24 hrs period). Final weights of the specimens were taken and calculated the percentage weight loss from initial and final weights and also determine the percentage strength loss.

| Mix type | 28 days | 56 days | | | |
|-------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| Control mix | 1.2 | 1.75 | | | |
| MIX10 | 0.68 | 0.89 | | | |
| MIX 20 | 0.42 | 0.77 | | | |
| MIX 30 | 0.4 | 0.7 | | | |
| MIX 30 | 0.4 | 0.7 | | | |

 Table 9: Weight Loss in Percentage Due to Sulphate Attack





| Table 10: Strength Loss in Percentage Due to Sulphate Attack | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| Mix type | 28 days | 56 days | | | |
| Control mix | 5 | 8.3 | | | |
| E10 | 3.4 | 6 | | | |
| E20 | 2 | 4.5 | | | |
| E30 | 1.8 | 3.9 | | | |



Chart -5: Strength Loss in Percentage Due to Sulphate Attack

| Table 11: Weight Loss in Percentage Due to Chloride Attack | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| Mix type | 30 days | 60 days | | | |
| Control mix | 1.4 | 2.1 | | | |
| MIX 10 | 0.8 | 1.65 | | | |
| MIX 20 | 0.75 | 1.2 | | | |
| MIX 30 | 0.57 | 0.9 | | | |





Chart -6: Weight Loss in Percentage Due to Chloride Attack

| Tuble 12. Strength Loss in Percentage Due to emoride Attack | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| Mix type | 30 days | 60 days | | | |
| Control mix | 7.5 | 10 | | | |
| MIX 10 | 6.2 | 8.1 | | | |
| MIX 20 | 5.8 | 7.4 | | | |
| MIX 30 | 4.7 | 6.3 | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Table 12: Strength Loss in Percentage Due to Chloride | Attack |
|---|--------|
|---|--------|



Chart -7: Strength Loss in Percentage Due to Chloride Attack

Cost of the Materials is an important as the beneficiary properties of different materials in a construction project. As it is well-known fact that material cost constitute a major portion of the total cost of the projects. Hence to sustain economic feasibility, it's important to develop and study cost analysis for the different materials that are used in the project.

| Table 13: Rates of the different materials: | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| S. No | Material | Unit | Rate per unit in Rs. | | | |
| 1 | Cement (PPC) | MT | 7500 | | | |
| 2 | 20mm Metal | Cum | 1250 | | | |
| 3 | River Sand | Cum | 1760 | | | |
| 4 | e-waste | Kgs. | 1.5 | | | |
| 5 | Admixture | Liters | 65 | | | |
| 6 | Water | K Lit | 100 | | | |

| | Table 14: Cost | Analysis : | for Control Mix: | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| S. No | Description | Unit | Rate per Unit | Coefficient | Amount | |
| 1 | Cement | MT | 7500 | 0.41 | 3,075 | |
| 2 | Fine Aggregate | Cum | 1760 | 0.285 | 501 | |
| 3 | Coarse aggregate (20mm metal) | Cum | 1250 | 0.569 | 712 | |
| 4 | Admixture | Liters | 65 | 1.025 | 67 | |
| 5 | Water | K Lit | 100 | 0.164 | 16 | |
| | Total Cost in Rs per Cum | | 10 | | 4,371 | |
| Table 15: Cost Analysis for Mix 10: | | | | | | |
| S. No | Description | Unit | Rate per Unit | Coefficient | Amount in Rs. | |
| 1 | Cement | MT | 7500 | 0.38 | 2,850 | |
| 2 | Fine Aggregate | Cum | 1760 | 0.252 | 444 | |
| 3 | Coarse aggregate (20mm metal) | Cum | 1250 | 0.504 | 630 | |

| Table 16: | Cost | Analysis | for | Mix 20 | : |
|-----------|------|----------|-----|---------------|---|

Litres

K Lit

Kgs

65

100

1.5

1.025

0.152

52

67

15

78

4,083

| S. No | Description | Unit | Rate per Unit | Coefficient | Amount in Rs. |
|-------|-------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| 1 | Cement | MT | 7500 | 0.38 | 2,850 |
| 2 | Fine Aggregate | Cum | 1760 | 0.224 | 394 |
| 3 | Coarse aggregate (20mm metal) | Cum | 1250 | 0.448 | 560 |
| 4 | Admixture | Liters | 65 | 1.025 | 67 |
| 5 | Water | K Lit | 100 | 0.152 | 15 |
| 6 | e-waste | Kgs | 1.5 | 105 | 158 |
| | Total Cost in Rs per Cum | | | | 4,044 |

Admixture

Total Cost in Rs. per Cum

Water

e-waste

4

5

6

| S. No | Description | Unit | Rate per Unit | Coefficient | Amount |
|-------|-------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Cement | MT | 7500 | 0.38 | 2,850 |
| 2 | Fine Aggregate | Cum | 1760 | 0.185 | 326 |
| 3 | Coarse aggregate (20mm metal) | Cum | 1250 | 0.37 | 463 |
| 4 | Admixture | Liters | 65 | 1.025 | 67 |
| 5 | Water | K Lit | 100 | 0.152 | 15 |
| 6 | e-waste | Kgs | 1.5 | 213 | 320 |
| | Total Cost in Rs per Cum | | | | 4,039 |





4. CONCLUSIONS

- The inference from the analysis conducted on E-waste concrete is outlined as follows:
- The workability of the E-waste concrete mix increases with increase in percentage in e-waste in concrete.
- The viability of the concrete dwindles as the proportion of alternate of fine aggregates with Electronic waste accumulates. Presumption is executed with the slump estimate manifest which one is observe as a notch of workability. This may benefit in monitoring bleeding and segregation in concrete.
- Self-weight of concrete reduces when there is rise in E-waste percentage. Hence it can be consumed as a light weight concrete.
- The yield of concrete reduces when E-waste is used as a replacement material for sand.
- It is coherent that E-waste can be biased by using them as constructional material.
- The compressive strength and split tensile strength of concrete pertaining to E-waste aggregate is slightly lesser in comparison with control mix concrete sample.
- From the durability test (Chemical attack) is evident that the e-waste concrete offers more resistance to the chemicals.
- Due to the high durable property of EC, it may be used in marine conditions.
- Utilization of e-waste in concrete may be the efficient and economical and the best way of disposing the ewaste which is now the fastest growing solid waste in the world.

5. **REFERENCES** (Font-11, Bold)

[1]. Yihui Z, Qiu K. A new technology for recycling materials from waste printed circuit board. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 2010; 175(1-3):823-8

[2]. Ping Z, Chen Y, Wang LY, Zhou M. A New technology for recycling solder from waste printed circuit boards using ionic liquid. Waste Management and Research. 2012; 30(11):1222–6

[3]. Kui H, Guo J, Xu Z. Recycling of waste printed circuit boards: A review of current technologies and treatment status in China. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 2009; 164(23):399–408.

[4]. Chen CH, Hwang R, Wu JK, Yang CC. Waste E -glass particles used in cementious mixtures. Cement and Concrete and Research. 2006; 36(3):449–56

