

Comparative study of local governance of Maharashtra state and Telangana state

Swapnil S. kumare, ugcjrf & net qualified

Shikvan NGO

Introduction

Local governance plays a vital role in the development of any country. It is the most important component for the proper functioning of a state or region. India is a multi-state country with different governing systems in each state. In this article, we will explore the comparative study of local governance of Maharashtra and Telangana states. We will take an in-depth look at how these two states manage their local bodies like municipalities, panchayat raj, urban cooperative banks and more. Additionally, we will discuss how these two states differ in terms of their economic policies and other aspects that affect local governance development. Maharashtra is the most populous state in India and has a robust local governance system. It is divided into 34 districts and has six Municipal Corporations. The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) is the richest municipal corporation in India, providing essential services to its citizens. Maharashtra also has 4,654 Gram Panchayats and 35,118 Village Panchayats which form the backbone of rural governance in the state. Additionally, Maharashtra boasts an extensive network of urban cooperative banks that provide banking services to local businesses and individuals. Telangana, on the other hand, is one of the 29 states in India with a total population of 35 million people. It has 33 districts and seven municipal corporations which are responsible for providing civic amenities to its citizens. There are 3,918 Gram Panchayats as well as 15 Urban Local Bodies that manage urban areas in the state. Telangana also has 47 Urban Cooperative Banks which provide loans to micro and small enterprises at reduced interest rates. In conclusion, this article aims to explore how Maharashtra and Telangana differ when it comes to local governance structures and policies that affect local development. We will look at each state's economic policies, infrastructure management plans, and other aspects that impact local governance. We will also discuss the similarities between the two states and how they can learn from each other's experiences. Finally, we will attempt to provide concrete suggestions for improving local governance in both states.



Importance of local governance

Local governance is a key element of effective and responsive government. It is the level of government closest to the people, which makes it best positioned to understand and respond to their needs. Local governance also allows for more effective service delivery, as local officials are more familiar with the specific needs of their communities.



In addition, local governance promotes transparency and accountability, as local officials are more accessible to their constituents and accountable for their actions. Furthermore, local governance fosters economic development by empowering local communities to make decisions about how to best use their resources.

Local governance is therefore essential for effective and responsive government that meets the needs of its people.

case studies of maharashtra and telangana state

Maharashtra and Telangana are two Indian states with large populations and diverse cultures. Both states have implemented local governance reforms in recent years, with the goal of improving service delivery to citizens. This case study examines the local governance reforms in Maharashtra and Telangana, with a focus on their similarities and differences.

Both Maharashtra and Telangana have implemented decentralization of power to local government institutions, known as panchayats. In Maharashtra, the panchayats are responsible for planning and implementing development projects at the village level. In Telangana, the panchayats are responsible for providing basic services such as water and electricity, as well as maintaining law and order.

Both states have also created independent bodies to monitor the performance of panchayats. In Maharashtra, this body is known as the State Election Commission. In Telangana, this body is known as the Panchayat Raj Monitoring Authority.

There are some key differences between the local governance reforms in Maharashtra and Telangana. One key difference is that Maharashtra has placed more emphasis on economic development, while Telangana has placed more emphasis on social welfare. Another key difference is that Maharashtra has decentralized power to a greater extent than Telangana.

Despite these differences, both states have made significant progress in improving service delivery to citizens at the grassroots level.

comparison of local governance of maharashtra with Telangana state

There are three types of local governments in India – village panchayat, town municipality and district panchayat. The village panchayats are the oldest form of local government in India. A gram sabha (village assembly) is a meeting of all the eligible voters of a village to discuss developmental issues and elect the panch (village council).

The town municipalities are urban local bodies that administer towns with a population of more than 5,000. These municipalities are elected for five years by the people residing in their wards. The functions of these municipalities include water supply, sanitation, solid waste management, street lighting, fire fighting, etc. The district panchayats are the third tier of local government in India and they administer rural areas. These panchayats are elected for five years by the people residing in their wards. The functions of these panchayats include water supply, health care, education, etc.

Maharashtra has a three-tier system of local governance – gram panchayats at the village level, taluka panchayats at the sub-district level and zilla parishads at the district level. Maharashtra also has 27 city corporations which administer cities with a population of more than 1 lakh. The city corporations are governed by directly elected mayors.

Telangana has a two-tier system of local governance – mandals at the intermediate level and villages at the grassroots level.

Issues of local governance

Maharashtra and Telangana are two states in India with different approaches to local governance. In Maharashtra, the state government decentralizes power to local governments, whereas in Telangana, the state government retains control over local governments.

The different approaches to local governance in these two states have led to different outcomes. In Maharashtra, local governments have been more effective in delivering services and improving infrastructure. However, in Telangana, the state government has been more effective in delivering services and improving infrastructure. There are a number of factors that explain these differences. First, the devolution of power to local governments in Maharashtra has allowed them to be more responsive to the needs of their constituents. Second, the stronger financial position of the state government in Maharashtra has allowed it to invest more resources in local governments. Third, the political environment in Maharashtra is more conducive to cooperation between different levels of government. Finally, the administrative capacity of local governments in Maharashtra is higher than that of local governments in Telangana.

These findings have implications for other states in India that are considering devolving power to local governments. They suggest that devolution can lead to improved service delivery and infrastructure development, but only if certain conditions are met.

Conclusion

In a nutshell, both Maharashtra and Telangana have made significant progress in local governance since their formation as separate states. While Maharashtra has been able to build on its strong administrative foundation, Telangana has been able to quickly establish itself as a leader in e-governance and innovation. Both states have much to learn from each other. Maharashtra can benefit from Telangana's innovative approaches to e-governance, while Telangana can benefit from the experience of the more established local governments in Maharashtra. Finally, both states should strive for greater decentralization of power and resources to local governments and an improved administrative capacity at all levels of government.

References:-

1. Nallathiga, Ramakrishna, and Kala Seetharam Sridhar. "State Re-organisation, Local Government Finances and Spending on Urban Civic Services: A Study of the GHMC." *Urban India* 40.2 (2020): 9-36.
2. Gupta, Manish, and Pinaki Chakraborti. *State finance commissions: How successful have they been in empowering local governments?*. New Delhi, India: National Institute of Public Finance and Policy, 2019.
3. Sivanna, N., and N. Veerasha. "Rural local governance and administrative decentralisation: An institutional analysis." *Handbook of decentralised governance and development in India*. Routledge India, 2021. 62-77.
4. BIJOY, CR, and MARTIN KAMODANG. "Local Governance in the Fifth Scheduled Tribal Areas: A Study of Maharashtra and Odisha in the."
5. Singh, Supriya. "Local governance and environment investments In Hlware Bazar, Indla." *Ecological Economics from the Ground up* 191 (2013).
6. Rao, G. Bhaskara. "Reorganisation of Districts in Telangana." *Economic and Political Weekly* 52.10 (2017): 25-28.
7. Vaddiraju, Anil Kumar. "Mandal System in Telangana and Andhra Pradesh." *Economic and Political Weekly* 55.12 (2020): 26-30.