Determinants of Brand Reliability: A Study of the Familiarity-Assurance-Loyalty

Dhruv Sabharwal
Asst.Professor, Amity School of Communication
Amity University (INDIA)

ABSTRACT

Promoting techniques for brands have moved its concentrate on connections and esteem creation that straightforwardly connections to brand reliability, is the principle center of this paper and two key components: mark understanding and brand responsibility, inside car part, are explored to look at relative connections. These elements have as of now been built up to have an association with brand unwaveringness. Notwithstanding, as brand responsibility comprises of both emotional and continuation duty, it is still to some degree hazy about which of these parts of responsibility has the best, or most vital effect on brand reliability. In addition, the current research and writing encompassing the brand encounter develop is broad. Nonetheless, it is not by any stretch of the imagination clear with respect to this current develops relationship to brand reliability. While a few creators guarantee that it influences mark dedication specifically, others have found that it is a reliant variable, which, alone does not have any prompt impact on brand faithfulness. This review additionally researches an association between brand understanding and brand steadfastness to the extent car part is concerned, both with and without duty as a middle person. Accordingly, duration duty was found to not have any extensive effect on the buyer's faithfulness towards a brand, it is expected that elements, for example, cost and other accessible options dos not impact this craving to keep up said relationship.

KEYWORDS: Brand steadfastness, Brand involvement, loyalty, branding

INTRODUCTION

The promoting field first appreciated brand dedication on a scholarly level through Copeland's work in 1923 (refered to in Kabiraj and Shanmugan, 2011, p. 288), with the understanding that brand faithfulness existed just at the behavioral level. As of now, brand dedication was measured utilizing components, for example, buy groupings, rate of aggregate buys, and buy likelihood (Kumar and Advani, 2005; Kabiraj and Shanmugan, 2011; Iglesias et al, 2011). This thought prompted to an emphasis on value, usefulness, and quality when showcasing items and administrations, with the desire that clients would build up a rehashed buy design if these variables met the client's criteria. Be that as it may, another level of dedication was uncovered amid the 1950's, as brand faithfulness turned into an inexorably well known research zone. A few scientists, for example, Cunningham (1967), Day (1969) and Jacoby (1971), started to perceive that the attitudinal parts of brand unwaveringness were similarly as imperative as the behavioral perspectives. As it got to be distinctly evident that brands regularly held an enthusiastic segment and additionally a practical one, promoting methodologies moved to concentrate on connections and esteem creation (Iglesias et al, 2011). Wel et al (2011) clarified the two parts of the wonder that is brand devotion, by expressing that notwithstanding having the expectation to repurchase a brand, mark followers additionally need to hold some level of enthusiastic association as well as duty towards the brand.

It has along these lines get to be distinctly normal for both scientists and advertisers to regard marks as having both a reasonable and an enthusiastic segment. This has thusly added to making more intricate surroundings for specialists. As more research on the subject was finished, a few drivers of the build that is brand dedication surfaced, and right up 'til the present time creators are still not in total understanding with respect to which segments to apply when measuring brand dependability. Fullerton (2003) built up that dedication was of awesome significance to brand faithfulness, and affected a few variables, for example, values, trust, and saw quality. Later on, Brakus et al (2009) found brand involvement as another critical driver, expressing that it affected brand dependability in a roundabout way through brand identity. These are only two of the measures situated by creators amid the most recent decade, and the substantial variety of impacting components extraordinarily adds to the scholarly comprehension of brand reliability. In any case, they can likewise be the reason for incredible perplexity, as both researchers and advertisers can think that its hard to figure out which variables to utilize when measuring the level of steadfastness a customer holds towards a particular brand.

Thusly, the principle center of this paper would be around the two previously mentioned variables, mark involvement and brand responsibility inside car division, which have gotten expanding consideration from researchers amid the most recent decade. These variables have as of now been built up to have an association with brand steadfastness. Be that as it may, as brand duty comprises of both emotional and duration responsibility (Fullerton, 2003; Iglesias et al, 2011; Batra et al, 2012), it is still to some degree misty about which of these parts of responsibility has the best, or most imperative effect on brand unwaveringness. Besides, the current research and writing encompassing the brand encounter develop is broad. Nonetheless, it is not by any stretch of the imagination clear in regards to this current develop's relationship to brand reliability. While a few creators guarantee that it influences mark reliability specifically, others have found that it is a needy variable, which, alone does not have any prompt impact on brand steadfastness. This review likewise means to set up an association between brand involvement and brand reliability to the extent car part is concerned, both with and without responsibility as a middle person.

2. Drivers of Brand Loyalty

2.1 Brand Experience

As of late, both scholastics and advertising professionals have perceived that it is urgent to deal with the client's understanding if esteem creation is to be accomplished (Berry et al., 2002; Iglesias et al., 2010). All things considered, showcasing is moving its concentration from quality to understanding (Klaus and Maklan, 2013), and it is along these lines turning out to be progressively vital to have the capacity to characterize and create mark involvement if associations want to pick up an upper hand in the market (Ismail et al., 2011). As the idea of brand experience was presented, it immediately got acknowledgment by a few researchers, and from that point, the idea turned into a comparable to esteem creation (Carù and Cova, 2003; Ponsonby-McCabe and Boyle, 2006; Iglesias et al, 2011). Be that as it may, despite the fact that most concur that brand encounter involves esteem creation, and holds awesome significance to the showcasing field, an assention of a typical definition is not yet accessible. Additionally, mark encounter has advanced into a nonexclusive idea that ranges over a few ordeal classes, for example, benefit involvement, item encounter, client encounter, and so forth. (Zarantonello and Schmitt, 2010). Subsequently, mark encounter now comprises of a few measurements, and unfortunately, an understanding is yet to be come to as to which measurements are more proper. In that capacity, the intricacy encompassing brand encounter increments, and a survey of the present measurements is along these lines all together. In setting, Brakus et al (2009) found the four measurements sensorial, emotional, behavioral and scholarly, to be the most critical parts of brand understanding. These measurements are bolstered by researchers, for example, Ismail et al (2011), Zarantonello and Schmitt (2010), Iglesias et al (2011), and Hultén (2011). This, notwithstanding the way that the four measurements were tried crosswise over more than 30 unique brands in different ventures, implies that the measurements are thought to be both substantial and dependable, and straightforwardly pertinent to the car business, as this industry really partook in the examination. The creators will in this manner apply these four measurements of brand experience when advancing with the essential research later on.

2.2 Brand Commitment

Mark responsibility is an attitudinal idea that has gotten expanding enthusiasm inside the showcasing field amid the most recent decades, and has been set up as an imperative component with respect to relationship promoting and customer conduct (Morgan and Hunt, 1994; Sargeant and Lee, 2004; Sung and Campbell, 2009). Actually, Bozzo et al (2003) found that a submitted purchaser would make some kind of give up keeping in mind the end goal to make the relationship work, which additionally focuses on the significance of brand duty in promoting. All things considered, mark responsibility can be characterized as "a persisting yearning to keep up an esteemed relationship" (Berry and Parasuraman, 1991, p. 316). The esteem and significance of brand duty is plainly shown in the previously mentioned definition, as it outlines that an exceptionally dedicated shopper will work harder to keep up an association with a brand than a buyer that holds no, or low responsibility to a brand. In any case, specialists in the advertising field have been more worried with duty in an authoritative setting, where hierarchical and representative responsibility is in concentrate, instead of buyer duty towards the genuine brand (Allen and Meyer, 1990; Burmann and Zeplin, 2005; Burmann et al., 2009; Gong et al., 2009; Priyadarshi, 2011). All things considered, buyer mark duty is still an imperative develop, and it is urgent that advertisers know about the significance of building and keeping up customer connections, as this is firmly connected with responsibility.

Furthermore, mark duty is known to have a few parts. The most acknowledged parts in the promoting field are full of feeling and continuation duty, originating from a three-segment show situated in the authoritative brain research field by Allen and Meyer (1990). Their exploration initially uncovered responsibility to comprise of full of feeling, duration, and standardizing duty. In any case, this three-segment model is interested in feedback, as there is a lack of existing writing encompassing regularizing duty, notwithstanding the way that their exploration uncovered a "cover amongst full of feeling and regulating responsibility" (Allen and Meyer, 1990, p. 13), making the two parts hard to isolated. This could clarify why promoting researchers by and large tend to concentrate on the initial two parts, and this examination paper will accordingly act as per the advertising writing in discovering that brand duty comprises of full of feeling and duration responsibility.

2.2.1 Affective Commitment

As per Amine (1998), full of feeling duty mirrors the degree to which a purchaser needs to keep up an association with a brand in light of their passionate connection to the brand. This marvel incorporates the degree to which the buyer relates to the brand, and is the center of the customer mark relationship (Louis and Lombart, 2010). Moreover, Bansal et al., (2004) clarified that full of feeling responsibility is based on the buyer's passionate connections to the brand, their relationship with the brand, and their contribution with the brand. These three elements will apparently prompt to a yearning to keep up the brand relationship

As the concentration of showcasing has moved from arrangements to connections, it is normal that full of feeling duty, being a passionate part, has gotten more consideration from specialists than duration responsibility has lately. Nonetheless, researchers have once in a while concentrated on full of feeling duty as a free component. Or maybe, the writing focuses to full of feeling responsibility as having an interceding part. Bansal et al., (2004) contended that it goes about as a middle person between exchanging expectations, fulfillment and trust, while others have found that it intercedes understanding and unwaveringness (Iglesias et al., 2011), and experience, fulfillment and reliability (Fullerton, 2005). Notwithstanding, the correct intervening part and e

2.2.2 Continuance Commitment

Continuation duty, otherwise called cost-prompted responsibility (Ritzer and Trice, 1969), calculative duty (Srivastava and Owens, 2010) and monetary responsibility (Evanschitzky and Wunderlic, 2006), varies from full of

feeling duty in a few ways. There are various definitions on the matter, in any case, most specialists have a tendency to concur that duration responsibility happens when the advantages of staying trumps the expenses of leaving a relationship (Bansal et al, 2004). While full of feeling responsibility depends on the buyer's feelings, duration duty is a more balanced part, where the cost of leaving a relationship, and an absence of different options makes a basis for the purchaser to be focused on the brand (Meyer and Herscovitch, 2001; Bansal et al, 2004). Fullerton (2003) additionally clarified that duration duty happens when particular moves are made that will drive the relationship over a timeframe, for example, contracts, benefit understandings, ventures and promises. These sorts of connections can regularly make sentiments of ensnarement or reliance, which both are essential in the duration duty build. While shoppers who encounters full of feeling duty will oppose any attitudinal changes, and will dependably act positively towards the brand, this is not really the situation for buyers who remains in a brand relationship just in light of the fact that they have an inclination that they "need to" (Sung and Campbell, 2009). Be that as it may, specialists does not yet concede to the degree to which duration responsibility can upgrade or lessen mark unwaveringness. Therefore, there are restrictions to the writing in regards to the impacts of duration duty.

Evanschitzky et al (2006) contended that, seeing as purchasers and merchants in the buyer advertise regularly have a few options accessible to them, and additionally low exchanging costs between items, full of feeling responsibility by and large greater affects mark faithfulness than continuation duty does. This thought was later bolstered by Srivastava and Owens (2010), who expressed that brand duty was not quite the same as calculative, or duration responsibility, as brand duty was affected by the individual's trust and dispositions towards the brand. Sung and Campbell (2009) then again, found that shoppers encounter positive sentiments of responsibility when few or low quality choices are available, or when expected misfortunes taking after an end of the relationship are high. Notwithstanding these two negating sees, a few scientists have contended that full of feeling and continuation duty are not fundamentally unrelated. Truth be told, Fournier et al (1998) and Grayson and Ambler (1999) perceived that both brand unwaveringness and shopper conduct when all is said in done, could be impacted by both positive sentiments of effect and also sentiments of duration. Be that as it may, there is not adequate writing to bolster either sees (Fullerton, 2005). Additionally look into on duratioduty's impact of brand faithfulness contra the impacts of full of feeling responsibility is hence all together.

2.3 Brand Loyalty

The idea of brand faithfulness has been perceived as a vital build in the advertising writing for no less than four decades (Howard and Sheth, 1969), and most specialists concur that brand steadfastness can make firm advantages, for example, diminished showcasing costs (Chaudhuri and Holbrook, 2001), positive informal (Sutikno, 2011), business gainfulness (Kabiraj and Shanmugan, 2011), expanded piece of the pie (Gounaris and Stathakopoulos, 2004) and an upper hand in the market (Iglesias et al, 2011). These advantages unmistakably uncovers the positive effect mark dedication can have on a firm, and thusly, Khan and Mahmood (2012, p. 33) recommended a definition that mirrored these advantages in a proficient way, by expressing that "brand reliability can be characterized as the client's genuine responsibility and a solid association with the brand, which is not prone to be influenced under typical conditions".

Disregarding the way that there is a typical understanding between analysts in regards to the banquet that takes after brand faithfulness, promoting specialists and researchers have yet to concur on a meaning of the idea. Nonetheless, the writing still uncovers some shared factors, as most analysts concurs that brand devotion can be either valid or spurious (Day, 1996; Lin, 2010; Iglesias et al, 2011; Kumar and Advani, 2005). While spurious reliability is driven by situational conditions, for example, cost and accommodation (Iglesias et al, 2011), genuine brand dependability holds some marker of past mental and full of feeling connection to the brand (Lin, 2010). Notwithstanding genuine and spurious dedication, different researchers have proposed that brand unwaveringness holds a few different measurements. Nonetheless, in late advertising writing, genuine and spurious brand dependability are still the most perceived measurements, and in that capacity, this examination paper will concentrate on the effect mark involvement and duty has on genuine brand devotion when pushing ahead with the exploration.

The thought of brand dedication as a two-segment structure is still considered as being very suitable among specialists. Notwithstanding, late reviews has seen mark reliability as a multi-dimensional develop, and accordingly, it need multivariate estimations (Punniyamoorthy and Raj, 2011). This new prospect makes space for a few estimations and understandings, and as of this minute specialists are not yet in assention with respect to which estimations to utilize. While a few scientists has utilized inclusion (Traylor, 1983), mark trust (Garbarino and Johnson, 1999) and fulfillment (Wang et al, 2004), different analysts have uncovered an expanded enthusiasm for responsibility and experience (Fullerton, 2003; Brakus et al., 2009; Iglesias et al., 2011). Be that as it may, these are later builds and hence under-looked into (Iglesias et al., 2011), particularly seeing responsibility as an interceding develop amongst understanding and reliability, as it is seen in this examination paper.

The writing has uncovered that there was an absence of assertion among researchers both concerning brand reliability definitions and measures. This contradiction, particularly in regards to the absence of a typical brand steadfastness measure, can be the reason for incredible disarray, and apparently, invalid information. Be that as it may, Newman and Werbel (1973; referred to in Kabiraj and Shanmugan, 2011, p. 289) contended that all together for brand unwaveringness measures to be significant for advertisers, the measures ought to contain the shopper's unwillingness to switch brands. Assuming that this announcement is right, mark responsibility is a substantial brand dedication measure. As reflected in the writing, mark duty is conceptualized as the buyer's longing to keep up an association with the brand, which impacts their hesitance to switch brands. In light of the writing, the writers thusly hope to find that both full of feeling and continuation duty affects mark dependability. In view of Newman and Werbel's announcement, it is additionally assumed that brand experience is a substantial measure of brand dependability, as it is more probable that customer's who experiences an unrivaled brand experience will lean toward this brand later on (Brakus et al., 2009), which will clearly bring about a hesitance to switch brands. The writing likewise uncovered that brand experience can be seen as having both an immediate and roundabout effect on reliability, as a few writers has named mark duty as a middle person amongst experience and faithfulness. Be that as it may, there is not adequate confirmation in the writing to propose which of these perspectives are right, as brand experience is still an under-inquired about idea, and responsibility as a go between of the relationship has yet to be approved. The creators, subsequently, hopes to approve this relationship amongst experience and reliability, both with and without responsibility as a middle person.

3. Methodology

As the car business holds an abnormal state of brand association for shoppers when they are settling on a buy choice (Rosenbaum-Elliot et al., 2011), this industry was thought to be exceptionally appropriate as the field of study considering the reason for the exploration. This review thusly utilized auto proprietors between the ages 40-70 in Gwalior, India, as the objective populace from which explore information was gathered. Additionally, as cars are costly items with a long life cycle, it was more probable that customers beyond forty several years old have possessed a few autos, and all things considered, they have had the chance to make inclinations and dedication towards a particular auto mark. In request to ensure that the specimen was in certainty agent for the populace, quantity testing was utilized. As this exploration did not contain many characteristic factors, the portion was just decided in light of the populace's division of sexual orientation and age. As per Statistics (2012), the populace in Gwalior between the ages of 40-80 was as per the following:

Table 1. Division of sex and age

Age	40 - 55	56-65	66-80
Male	73292	55039	27890
Female	67 845	55711	31 657

(Adjusted from Statistics Gwalior, 2012)

The specimen measure comprised of a 0,1 for each penny quantity for every gathering, which furnished the review with a sum of 232 respondents. A few creators exploring brand unwaveringness measures has perceived this is an adequate specimen estimate (Iglesias et al, 2011; Sahin et al, 2011; Loureiro et al, 2012), and as there was no chance to get of figuring the fitting example estimate when a non-likelihood examining method was being utilized (Saunders et al., 2012), this was thought to be a reasonable size. Thusly, these were the shares being utilized as a part of the examination so as to make them delegate for the populace.

Table 2. Portion examining

Age	40-55	56-65	66-80
Male	73	45	28
Female	68	46	32

Moreover, chi-square tests were hurry to set up associations between various factors subsequent to building up beneath recorded speculations as an aftereffect of writing survey discourse toward the start of the paper. Five speculations could be gotten from the writing survey and the examination destinations:

H0=There is not an immediate connection between emotional duty and brand reliability H1=There is an immediate connection between full of feeling responsibility and brand steadfastness H0=There is not an immediate connection between duration duty and brand devotion H1=There is an immediate connection between continuation duty and brand dedication H0=There is not an immediate connection between brand understanding and brand dependability H1=There is an immediate connection between brand involvement and brand unwaveringness H0=Affective responsibility does not go about as an arbiter between brand understanding and brand faithfulness H1=Affective duty acts as a middle person between brand involvement and brand unwaveringness H0=Continuance responsibility does not go about as a go between brand understanding and brand dependability H1=Continuance responsibility acts as a go between brand understanding and responsibility

The chi-square test investigated each of these theories thus, utilizing a 5% importance. Table 4 uncovers the noteworthiness level between every relationship

Table 4. Chi-square test

Mark understanding by brand loyalty	P<,0001*
Encounter by full of feeling commitment	P<,0001*
Encounter by continuation commitment	0,6544
Duration responsibility by brand loyalty	0,4286
Emotional responsibility by brand loyalty	P<,0001*

As uncovered in above Table 4, all invalid theories were rejected, with the exception of from the ones in regards to duration duty, recommending that there was not a huge relationship between continuation responsibility and brand devotion, neither specifically nor in a roundabout way as an arbiter. As duration duty was uncovered as a non-huge determinant for the brand reliability develops, the exploration paper has affirmed what had been expected by a few specialists in the writing audit. It was still a noteworthy finding in any case, as there was little research really affirming the hypothesis that continuation duty did not influence mark dependability, especially in the experience-responsibility devotion build. Besides, the creators found, with a 99% sureness, that brand encounter affected brand unwaveringness, both as a remain solitary and interceded calculate. Additionally, there was a 99% conviction that

full of feeling responsibility affected brand unwaveringness both separately and as an arbiter amongst experience and dependability. The discoveries above were not thought to be totally solid in any case, as 20% of the cells had a normal tally of under 5, which demonstrated that the chi-square test could be suspected as temperamental. As per Gripsrud et al (2010), when this happens, it is reasonable to play out a pearson connection test also, with a specific end goal to affirm that the information can in reality be depended upon. In this way, Pearson's relationship test was executed as laid out in the table 5 underneath:

Table 5. Pearson relationship

	Correlation	Significant Probabitlity
Mark involvement by brand loyalty	0,7753	<,0001*
Encounter by full of feeling commitment	0,7316	<,0001*
Duration responsibility by brand loyalty	-0,0881	0,1813
Full of feeling responsibility by brand	0,7761	<,0001*
loyalty		District Control of the Control of t

As found in Table 5, the three invalid speculations that were dismisses in the chi-square test were likewise dismisses in this

Pearson relationship test, with a p<.0001 likelihood, implying that there was in reality a factually critical

connection between's image involvement and brand dependability, full of feeling responsibility and brand faithfulness, and emotional duty as a middle person between brand understanding and brand steadfastness. In addition, the three connections all held a relationship somewhere around's 0, 6 and 0, 7, implying that the relationships were all altogether unique in relation to invalid considering the 5% scale. Moreover, the likelihood values p<,0001 affirmed that there was in truth a 99% likelihood that brand involvement, full of feeling responsibility and brand dedication was associated. Once more, the invalid speculations with respect to duration responsibility was not rejected, and accordingly, continuation duty was affirmed as a variable that had no extensive impact on brand dependability, neither as an individual or an intervening component. As the discoveries from the chi-square test was turned out to be dependable, the information proposed a model to some degree like that of Iglesias et al (2011). There were be that as it may, contrasts between this model proposed by Iglesias et al (2011) and the discoveries in this exploration. Truth be told, the exploration extend attempted by the previously mentioned creators did not build up an immediate connection between brand involvement and brand reliability. Rather, they found that brand encounter went about as an aberrant variable, just influencing brand reliability when intervened by full of feeling duty. As talked about before in the part, this examination uncovered brand understanding as both a reliant and free factor, and in that capacity, the basic model of these exploration discoveries would look fairly changed (see Figure 1).

Figure 1. Auxiliary model

The auxiliary model of the examination discoveries in figure 1 outlines how the information investigation uncovered both immediate and backhanded connections between experience, full of feeling duty, and devotion, and to which degree the connections were huge (qualities removed from Table 5). It likewise indicates how speculations 2 and 5 were kept, by setting up that these connections were not critical.

5. Conclusion and Implications

In light of the discoveries talked about above, presumptions can be attracted identified with the attitude of the dependable customer. As expressed in the writing audit, steadfast customers are enthusiastic animals which are

exceedingly determined by their longing to keep up an association with the brand they feel a passionate association with. As duration duty was found to not have any significant effect on the buyer's dependability towards a brand, it is expected that components, for example, cost and other accessible options dos not impact this craving to keep up said relationship. Accordingly, this exploration does not bolster the convictions of Sung and Campbell (2009) when they expressed that positive sentiment of responsibility towards a brand could happen when the customer was confronted with low quality choices or when the misfortunes identified with ending the relationship was high. Thus, despite the fact that emotions identified with duration duty draws in the purchaser in a brand relationship, these sentiments are insufficient to create sentiments of devotion in the shopper's brain. associations ought to along these lines rather concentrate on making positive brand encounters for the buyer, and setting up a passionate association between the customer and the brand, as this enthusiastic association is indispensable in building up full of feeling duty, which was observed to be a critical driver of brand reliability.

In addition, while this examination has set up that duration responsibility does not prompt to brand dependability, it has not built up whether it can in truth have an inverse impact. Promoting directors could profit by such research, as it would build up whether continuation duty could in reality harm the purchaser's unwaveringness towards the brand. All things considered, it would be valuable for further research to investigate the degree to which continuation responsibility can negatively affect mark reliability.

References

Allen, N., & Meyer, J. (1990). The Measurement and Antecedents of Affective, Continuance and Normative Commitment to the Organization. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 63, 1-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.75.6.710

Amine, A. (1998). Consumers' true brand loyalty: the central role of commitment. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 6(4), 305-319. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/096525498346577

Bansal, H.S., Irving, P.G., & Taylor, S.F. (2004). A three-component model of customer commitment to service providers. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 32(3), 234-250.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0092070304263332

Batra, R., Ahuvia, A., & Bagozzi, R.P. (2012). Brand love. *Journal of Marketing*, 76(2), 1-16. http://dx.doi.org/10.1509/jm.09.0339

Berry, L.L., Carbone, L.P., & Haeckel, S.H. (2002). Managing the total customer experience. *Sloan Management Review*, 43(Spring), 85–89.

Gorn G. (1982), «The Effects of Music in Advertising and Choice Behavior: A Classical Conditioning Approach», Journal of Marketing, 46, inverno.

Greimas A. J. (1968), La semantica strutturale: ricerca di metodo, Rizzoli, Milano.

Healey J.S., Kassarjian H.H. (1983), «Advertising Substantiation and Advertiser Response: A Content Analysis of Magazine Advertisements», Journal of Marketing, 47, 1.

Hoch S.J., Young W.H. (1986), «Consumer Learning: Advertising and the Ambiguity of Product Experience», Journal of Consumer Research, 13.

Holbrook M.B., Batra R. (1987), «Assessing the Role of Emotions As Mediators of Consumer Responses to Advertising», Journal of Consumer Research, 14.

Janiszewski C., Warlop L. (1993), «The Influence of Classical Conditioning Procedures of Subsequent Attention to the Conditioned Brand», Journal of Consumer Research, 20, 2.

Jex C.F. (1987), «Short-term Modelling of Advertising Effectiveness: A Confirmation of Braodbent's Model», Journal of Market Research Society, 27, 4.