

Double Line Fault Classification Using ANN

Swapnil H.Shingate¹, Pankaj Bhakare

¹PG Student EEP M.S.S COET, Jalna

²Professor EEP M.S.S COET, Jalna

ABSTRACT

The protection of double circuit transmission lines could be a difficult task. This paper presents a protection technique supported the high-frequency transients generated by the fault to hide nearly the entire length of double circuit transmission lines. For this purpose, befittingly designed line traps area unit put in at terminals of the protected line, and therefore the Artificial Neural Network with appropriate range of Neurons is employed to classify the faults supported the frequency spectrum of the RMS current and RMS voltage signals. In depth simulation studies indicate that the projected approach is well capable of discriminating differing types of faults and provides a really quick, secure, and reliable protection technique The simulation model done in MATLAB simulink for system analysis. In this model 300 km, 25 KV, 50Hz transmission line power system model design with three zone bus bar system. One end bus bar data measurement utilized for three phase RMS voltage and current measurement. Also Neural Network training done for designed power system model using MATLAB Simulink.

Keyword: - Artificial Intelligence, Double circuit transmission line, line trap.

1. INTRODUCTION

An overhead conductor is exposed to the surroundings and therefore the chance of experiencing faults on the conductor is usually more than alternative main parts. Once a fault happens on a conductor, it's vital to find it and notice its zone so as to create necessary repairs and to revive power as shortly as doable. Distance relaying has been wide used for the protection of transmission lines. A distance relay must perform the twin task of primary and back-up protection. The first protection ought to be quick and with none intentional time delay. Back-up protection ought to operate if and on condition that corresponding primary relay fails. Distance relays area unit given multiple zones of protection to satisfy the demanding property and sensitivity needs. Zone one provides the quickest protection with no intentional time delay; the in operation time are often of the order of 1 cycle.

It is set to cover major portion of the line length owing to the problem in identifying between faults that square measure near remote bus. Zone two protections are delayed by co-ordination measure. Zone two is ready to shield primary line and additionally provides secondary protection to half portion of the adjacent line with 0.25–0.4 s delay. Setting of zone three is ready to hide complete primary and adjacent line and up to quarter line additionally with further delay. However, numerous conditions like remote in-feed currents, fault-path resistance and shunt capacitance degrades the performance of distance relays [1]. The present differential protection theme has been with success applied to shield the complete line. However, the relay settings square measure tough to come to a decision as a result of line-charging currents and unobvious current variation throughout high resistance faults. Any composite voltage and current measurements were accustomed improve relay sensitivity [2]. For quick fault clearance to enhance system stability, the relaying schemes supported traveling wave square measure planned [3, 4]. However, the techniques square measure tough to discover close-in and zero voltage faults. Numerous quite protection schemes for transmission lines are planned within the past for fault detection and classification (phase selection) and distance location [5–12]. However, these techniques estimate the direction of fault and its zone.

2. PROPOSED APPROACH

2.1. Block diagram




Fig.1:- Block diagram of proposed approach

Figure 1 shows the block diagram of proposed approach in which two generators based double circuit transmission line fed a three phase load. Three phase transmission line divided into three zones. The voltage and current of transmission line measured at middle bus bar (B2) which transfers to voltage measurement and current measurement block for filtering the waveform and measurement purposes. Then after RMS value of three phase voltage and current of double circuit transmission line measured which transferred to Artificial Neural Network for fault classification and fault zone identification of faulted line.

2.2. Double circuit transmission line

Low price of construction, less floor house, liableness of power provide, more economic benefits and length of power provide are the explanations behind exploitation double circuit transmission lines on prevailing grid network. Basically, these lines are made to lessen the issues of getting new right-of-way. The circuits of those lines are either of different voltage levels or of same voltage levels. And, in same manner, over two three phase circuits will be used i.e. multi-circuit lines. Because, the two circuits of double circuit lines, are nearer to every different in order that they are reciprocally coupled. The magnetic coupling influences the present flowing in one in all the circuit that any, affects the voltage profile of different circuit. So, it implies that the profile of voltage of a given circuit isn't completely dependent on the present that flows during this circuit [26]. Also, the steady state stability is enhanced by exploitation double circuit lines as compare to single circuit lines.

2.3 Distributed parameter line

Generally, lumped parameters are used for short and medium lines. But to enhance fault location accuracy, mainly in case of long lines, distributed parameters of the line are considered. The transmission line of unit length is considered as an electrical circuit which consists of series resistance R , series inductance L , shunt capacitance C , and leakage conductance G . R , L , C , and G are the parameters which are uniformly distributed along the whole length of the line. So, it is known as distributed parameter line. Each line consists of these four parameters and these parameters are recognized as primary constants of line.

Where,

R = Total series resistance/unit length (Ω/km)

L = Total series inductance/unit length (H/km)

C = Shunt capacitance/unit length (F/km)

G = Shunt conductance/unit length (S/km)

Then,

Total series impedance, $Z = R + j\omega L$ ohm/km

Total shunt admittance, $Y = G + j\omega C$ mho/km

The characteristics impedance (Z_0) and propagation constant (γ) parameters are extremely useful parameters used for analyzing transmission line. These parameters are known as secondary constants of the transmission line and these parameters are obtained in the form of primary constants.

2.4. Artificial Neural Network

Roughly speaking, a neural network is a collection of artificial neurons. An artificial neuron is a mathematical model of a biological neuron in its simplest form. From our understanding, biological neurons are viewed as elementary units for information processing in any nervous system. Without claiming its neurobiological validity, the mathematical model of an artificial neuron is based on the following theses:

1. Neurons are the elementary units in a nervous system at which information processing occurs.
2. Incoming information is in the form of signals that are passed between neurons through connection links.
3. Each connection link has a proper weight that multiplies the signal transmitted.
4. Each neuron has an internal action, depending on a bias or firing threshold, resulting in an activation function being applied to the weighted sum of the input signals to produce an output signal.

In the first computational model for artificial neurons, proposed by McCulloch and Pitts [43], outputs are binary, and the function f is the step function.




Fig.2:- First model for artificial neuron.

3. MATLAB SIMULATION MODEL




Fig.3:- MATLAB simulation model of proposed approach.

Figure 3 shows the complete MATLAB simulation model of 25 KV, 60 Hz transmission line having three zone separated by bus bar. First zone and third zone of power system have simple protection and having length of 120 km and 60 Km respectively. Zone second of line is main protection zone of line at which line trap installed at each end of

second zone of line for high transient based protection. Line trap provide high impedance during high transient frequency while negligible impedance during normal supply frequency.




Fig.4:- MATLAB simulation model for zone 1 of line.




Fig.5:- MATLAB simulation model for zone 2 of line





Fig.6:- MATLAB simulation model for zone 3 of line

At bus bar B21 and B22 voltage and current of each phase of double circuit transmission line was analyzed and measured which transferred to measurement subsystem. In measurement subsystem block voltage and current measured a bus bar B21 and B22 was converted into RMS value. This RMS measured data of each phase i.e. six phase voltages and six phase current RMS value send to two separate Neural network in which one neural network classify the type of fault occurs and second neural network identify the fault zone at which fault occurs in line. Training of Neural network done for 12 inputs i.e. six phase voltage and six phase current of each phase of double circuit transmission line. That data set generated for different types of fault and at different fault location of transmission line.

Table.1:- Simulation model parameter specification

Sr No	Simulation Block	Parameter
1	Three phase source 1,2,3,4	Phase to phase RMS voltage = 25 KV; Frequency = 50 Hz; 3 Phase short circuit level at base voltage = 100 MVA; X/R/ ratio = 2.
2	Double circuit line model (Line 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	Number of phases = 6; Frequency for RLC specification = 50 Hz; Resistance per unit length = 0.068 Ohm/Km; Inductance per unit length = 1.31Mh/km; Capacitance per unit length = 8.85 nF/Km; Line length = vary for different zone and fault location.
3	Three phase series RLC Load1, 2, 3 & 4	Nominal phase to phase voltage = 11KV; Frequency = 50 Hz; Active power = 10 KW; Inductive reactive power = 10 KVar; Capacitive reactive power = 100 Var.
4	Line trap 1 & 2	R1 = 50 Ohm; C1 = 50nF; R2 = 0.5 Ohm; L1 = 2mH; C2 = 50pF
5	Three phase fault	All types of fault simulated. Ground resistance = 0.001 Ohm; Fault resistance = 0.001 Ohm; Fault transition time = 0.5 second start time and 3 second end time

**Fig.7:-** MATLAB simulation model for line trap subsystem.

The main function of the line trap is to present high impedance at the carrier frequency band while presenting negligible impedance at the power system frequency. The high impedance is required to reduce the carrier signal attenuation due to the division among the several transmission lines terminated at the same bus

4. MATLAB SIMULATION RESULT

4.1. Result from line trap

The frequency response of the designed line trap is shown in figure 8 considering the frequency band of the fault-induced HF transients, which is mostly in the range of 10–100 kHz, the resonance frequency of the line trap is tuned at 50 kHz.




Fig.8:- Impedance of line trap at high frequency transients

The main function of the line trap is to present high impedance at the carrier frequency band while presenting negligible impedance at the power system frequency. The high impedance is required to reduce the carrier signal attenuation due to the division among the several transmission lines terminated at the same bus. Figure 8 shows that line trap is present high impedance at the carrier frequency of 100 kHz while presenting negligible impedance at the power supply frequency 50 Hz.

4.2. Power system voltage and current

In that section MATLAB simulation model result for different fault condition and different fault location simulated and analyzed. In MATLAB simulation model of line there are 22 fault cases like AG, BG, CG, ABG, BCG, ACG, AB, BC, AC, ABCG, ABC, A'G, B'G, C'G, A'B'G, B'C'G, A'C'G, A'B', B'C', A'C', A'B'C'G, A'B'C' simulated at different fault location of six phase transmission line.




Fig.9:- Six phase RMS voltage and RMS current waveform when LG (AG) fault takes place on line at 100km in zone 1 from reference bus bar B22.

Figure 9 shows the six phase transmission line voltages and current waveform when LG fault takes place on line at 100km in zone 1 from reference bus bar 2. First and third waveform shows the three phase voltage V_{abc} and $V_{a'b'c'}$ while second and third waveform shows the three phase current of double circuit line I_{abc} and $I_{a'b'c'}$ respectively.




Fig.10:- Six phase RMS voltage and RMS current waveform when LLG (B'C'G) fault takes place on line at 80 km in zone 1 from reference bus bar B22.

Figure 10 shows the six phase transmission line voltages and current waveform when LLG fault takes place on line at 80 km in zone 1 from reference bus bar 2. First and third waveform shows the three phase voltage V_{abc} and $V_{a'b'c'}$ while second and third waveform shows the three phase current of double circuit line I_{abc} and $I_{a'b'c'}$ respectively.




Fig.11:- Six phase RMS voltage and RMS current waveform when LL (AB) fault takes place on line at 60 km in zone 2 from reference bus bar B22.

Figure 11 shows the six phase transmission line voltages and current waveform when LL fault takes place on line at 60 km in zone 2 from reference bus bar 2. First and third waveform shows the three phase voltage V_{abc} and $V_{a'b'c'}$ while second and third waveform shows the three phase current of double circuit line I_{abc} and $I_{a'b'c'}$ respectively.




Fig.12:- Six phase RMS voltage and RMS current waveform when LLG (A'B'G) fault takes place on line at 20 km in zone 2 from reference bus bar B22.

Figure 12 shows the six phase transmission line voltages and current waveform when LLG fault takes place on line at 20 km in zone 2 from reference bus bar 2. First and third waveform shows the three phase voltage V_{abc} and $V_{a'b'c'}$ while second and third waveform shows the three phase current of double circuit line I_{abc} and $I_{a'b'c'}$ respectively.

Figure 13 shows the six phase transmission line voltages and current waveform when LLG fault takes place on line at 100 km in zone 3 from reference bus bar 2. First and third waveform shows the three phase voltage V_{abc} and $V_{a'b'c'}$ while second and third waveform shows the three phase current of double circuit line I_{abc} and $I_{a'b'c'}$ respectively.




Fig.13:- Six phase RMS voltage and RMS current waveform when LLG (BCG) fault takes place on line at 100 km in zone 3 from reference bus bar B22.

Figure 14 shows the six phase transmission line voltages and current waveform when LG fault takes place on line at 125 km in zone 3 from reference bus bar 2. First and third waveform shows the three phase voltage V_{abc} and

Va'b'c' while second and third waveform shows the three phase current of double circuit line Iabc and Ia'b'c' respectively.




Fig.14:- Six phase RMS voltage and RMS current waveform when LG (B'G) fault takes place on line at 125 km in zone 3 from reference bus bar B22.

4.3. Power system parameter measurement

The power system six phase RMS voltages and RMS currents measured at bus bar B22 during different fault condition and normal condition for generation of data set for training neural network for both fault type classification and fault zone identification. Table 1 shows the different values measured of RMS voltage for six phase line also table 2 shows the different values measured of RMS current for six phase line for fault cases at different fault zone of line.

Table 1:- Six phase transmission line rms voltage at different fault condition.

S.N.	Zone	Location	Type of fault	VA B21	VB B21	VC B21	VA' B22	VB' B22	VC' B22
1	Zone 1	100km (Bus 1&2)	AG	2.42×10^4	2.42×10^4	2.73×10^4	18890	24170	21500
2	Zone 1	80km (Bus 1&2)	AB G	2.42×10^4	2.42×10^4	2.73×10^4	8229	20200	21230
3	Zone 1	60 km (Bus 1&2)	A'B'	6773	22570	23420	2.42×10^4	2.42×10^4	2.73×10^4
4	Zone 2	20 km (Bus 3&4)	AB G	2.42×10^4	2.42×10^4	2.73×10^4	3034	19690	20000
5	Zone 2	40 km (Bus 3&4)	A'C' G	18050	17840	6101	24170	27290	0.4001
6	Zone 3	100 km (BUS 5&6)	A'C'	20930	20710	11550	24260	24170	27290
7	Zone 3	125 km (BUS 5&6)	AC G	24260	24170	27290	19210	19030	13050

Table 2:- Six phase transmission line rms currents at different fault condition.

S.N.	ZONE	Location	TYPE OF FAULT	IA B21	IB B21	IC B21	IA' B22	IB' B22	IC' B22
1	Zone 1	100km (BUS 1&2)	AG	3.17	3.84	3.74	88.48	5.695	5.534
2	Zone 1	100km (BUS 1&2)	BG	4.29	3.6	4.299	6.271	96.41	6.32
3	Zone 1	100km (BUS 1&2)	CG	3.79	3.905	3.222	5.539	5.595	83.79
4	Zone 1	100km (BUS 1&2)	AB	0.3997	0.4535	0.4052	123.3	123.5	0.4051
5	Zone 1	100km (BUS 1&2)	BC	0.3997	0.4534	0.4052	0.3994	117.7	117.7
6	Zone 2	20 km (BUS 3&4)	B'C'	0.3996	192.8	192.7	0.4	0.4533	0.4045
7	Zone 2	20 km (BUS 3&4)	A'C'	175.4	0.4533	175.4	0.4	0.4533	0.4045
8	Zone 2	20 km (BUS 3&4)	A'B'G	204.4	210.8	0.2997	0.4233	0.495	0.3417
9	Zone 2	20 km (BUS 3&4)	B'C'G	0.2944	207.5	189.6	0.3391	0.4865	0.4343
10	Zone 2	20 km (BUS 3&4)	A'C'G	185	0.3345	181	0.4454	0.3837	0.4451
11	Zone 2	20 km (BUS 3&4)	A'B'C'G	217.5	236.3	205.2	0.4	0.4533	0.4045
12	Zone 3	125 km (BUS 5&6)	AG	4.823	4.185	4.293	88.42	6.424	6.595
13	Zone 3	125 km (BUS 5&6)	BG	4.796	5.46	4.787	7.299	96.41	7.245
14	Zone 3	125 km (BUS 5&6)	CG	4.332	4.223	4.867	6.432	6.386	83.8
15	Zone 3	125 km (BUS 5&6)	AB	0.4002	0.4532	0.4042	120.8	120.6	0.4043
16	Zone 3	125 km (BUS 5&6)	BC	0.4001	0.4533	0.4042	0.3999	115.2	115.2
17	Zone 3	125 km (BUS 5&6)	AC	0.4001	0.4532	0.4043	104.4	0.4531	104.6

5. CONCLUSION

The performed simulation studies show that the ANN classifier provides the most accurate result for discrimination between different faults type and faults zone identification using three phase RMS voltage and current measurement of each phase of double circuit transmission line. The ANN classifier is able to reliably identify the internal faults up to 96% of the TL length. Also line trap based high transient based protection provide better transmission line protection and also helpful for distinguish the external and internal fault of transmission line. Line trap provide high transient based protection by opposing high transient frequency surge.

6. REFERNCES

[1] Mohammad, A.B., "Travelling Waves for Finding the Fault Location in Transmission Lines," Journal Electrical and Electronic Engineering, vol. 1, no. 1, pp.1-19, 2013.

- [2] R. K. Aggarwal and A. T. Johns, "Digital differential relaying scheme for teed circuits based on voltage and current signal comparison," *Proc. Inst. Elect. Eng., Gen., Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 137, no. 6, pp. 414–423, Nov. 1990.
- [3] R. K. Aggarwal and A. T. Johns, "The development of a new high speed 3-terminal line protection scheme," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. PWRD-1, no. 1, pp. 125–134, Jan. 1986.
- [4] H. Gao and P. A. Crossley, "A new current differential protection scheme for teed transmission lines," presented at the Power Eng. Soc. Gen. Meeting, Montreal, QC, Canada, Oct. 2006.
- [5] J. Izykowski, E. Rosolowski, M. M. Saha, M. Fulczyk, and P. Balcerek, "A fault-location method for application with current differential relays of three-terminal lines," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 2099–2106, Oct. 2007.
- [6] J. Daniel, R. K. Aggarwal, and A. T. Johns, "Three terminal line protection based on a superimposed component impedance relay," *Proc. Inst. Elect. Eng., Gen., Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 140, no. 6, pp. 447–454, Nov. 1993.
- [7] V. Pathirana and P. G. McLaren, "A hybrid algorithm for high speed transmission line protection," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 2422–2428, Oct. 2005.
- [8] W. Chen, O. P. Malik, X. Yin, D. Chen, and Z. Zhang, "Study of wavelet-based ultra high speed directional transmission line protection," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 1134–1139, Oct. 2003.
- [9] D. J. Zhang, Q. Henry Wu, Z. Q. Bo, and B. Counce, "Transient positional protection of transmission lines using complex wavelets analysis," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 705–710, Jul. 2003.
- [10] P. Jafarian and M. Sanaye-Pasand, "A traveling-wave-based protection technique using wavelet/PCA analysis," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 588–599, Apr. 2010.
- [11] N. Zhang and M. Kezunovic, "Transmission line boundary protection using wavelet transform and neural network," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 859–869, Apr. 2007.
- [12] T. Johns, R. K. Aggarwal, and Z. Q. Bo, "Non-unit protection technique for EHV transmission systems based on fault generated noise part 1: Signal measurement," *Proc. Inst. Elect. Eng., Gen., Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 141, no. 2, pp. 133–140, Mar. 1994.
- [13] R. K. Aggarwal, A. T. Johns, and Z. Q. Bo, "Non-unit protection technique for EHV transmission systems based on fault generated noise part 2: Signal processing," *Proc. Inst. Elect. Eng., Gen., Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 141, no. 2, pp. 141–147, Mar. 1994.
- [14] Z. Q. Bo, "A new non-communication protection technique for transmission lines," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1073–1078, Oct. 1998.
- [15] D. Xingli, G. Yaozhong, and D. Xinzhou, "A wavelet and traveling waves based non-communication high speed transmission line protection," *Autom. Elect. Power Syst.*, vol. 10, 2001.
- [16] Sharma, R., Ahmad, A. and Shailendra, K. S., "Protection of Transmission Lines using Discrete Wavelet Transform," *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE)*, vol. 3, Issue-1, June, 2013.
- [17] Osman, A.H. and Malik, O.P., "Protection of Parallel Transmission Lines Using Wavelet Transform" *IEEE Transactions on power delivery*, vol. 19, no. 1, 2004.
- [18] Ashok, V., Bangaraju, K. G. V. S. and Murthy, V.V.N., "Identification and Classification of Transmission Line Faults Using Wavelet Analysis," *ITSI Transactions on Electrical and Electronics Engineering*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 117–122, 2013.