

# EFFECT OF CALCINED KAOLIN ON HIGH STRENGTH CONCRETE ALONG WITH ZEOLITE POWDER

Sarvang Pandya<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sarvang Pandya, Civil Engineering, MIT, India

## ABSTRACT

*The rapid increase in construction work coupled with a renewal of interest in wide range of concrete performance has created more challenges in the construction industry. These challenges cannot be met by designing mix proportions based on existing codes and methods of concrete mix design. Several methods and codes are available to serve as guide for mix design of NSC, HPC. It needs of concrete market and the economic and ecological needs, several researchers, all over the world, studied the beneficial effect of different types of SCM which reduce the use of cement and decrease the emission of CO<sub>2</sub> and also cost effective.*

*In this research we use eco-friendly and cost effective material Calcined kaolin and zeolite replace individual and combined as cement replacement material with constant silica fume content by weight of cement and understand the effect of material in high strength concrete of grade M60, M70 and M80 with use of high range water reducers by weight of water. We perform the test of fresh and hard property of concrete then perform durability test and find optimum amount for M60, M70 and M80.*

**Keyword:** High strength concrete, calcined kaolin, zeolite

## 1 Introduction

The premature deterioration of concrete structures in aggressive environment has led to the development of high performance concrete in many fields such as, runway in airport, railway sleepers, nuclear reactor, prestressed concrete bridges, high-rise buildings, offshore platforms, chimneys, and silos etc. In this regard concrete with low permeability (or) higher impermeability is considered as durable concrete. This in turn improves the resistance of concrete against the penetration of harmful substances such as chloride ions, sulphate ions, carbon dioxide, water and oxygen.

The rapid increase in construction work coupled with a renewal of interest in wide range of concrete performance has created more challenges in the construction industry. Concrete with several properties may be desired such as high workability, medium workability, high strength, lightweight, insulation etc. These challenges cannot be met by designing mix proportions based on existing codes and methods of concrete mix design. Several methods and codes are available to serve as guide for mix design of NSC, HPC and lightweight concrete. However, these are just guide to arrive at first trial mix. Optimum mix proportions are obtained through testing of trial mixes and making adjustment accordingly. This is because these codes were developed based on experience with materials in certain parts of the world and may not be applicable to mix design in other parts of the

world. Also, these codes do not address all issues regarding concrete mix design such as admixtures, transportation, and temperature effect

### **Supplementary Cementitious Materials**

High performance concrete can be made, using Portland cement alone as a cementitious material. However, a partial substitution of Portland cement by one or combination of supplementary cementitious materials can be advantageous, not only from an economic point of view but also from a rheological and sometimes strength point of view.

Most supplementary cementitious materials have one feature in common: they contain reactive silica which in the presence of water, can combine with lime at room temperature, to form calcium silicate hydrate of the same type as that formed during the hydration of Portland cement. Basically, a pozzolanic reaction can be written in the following manner. This reaction is generally slow and takes several months for completion at the room temperature. However, the finer pozzolan reaction with lime was faster.

Pozzolan + lime + water = Calcium silicate hydrate

### **ROLE OF MINERAL ADMIXTURES**

The presence of silica fume (SF) in the concrete mix improves workability and makes the mix more mobile, yet cohesive. This is the consequence of a better dispersion of the cementitious particles and due to the surface characteristics of the silica fume particles, which are smooth and absorb little water during mixing. The workability of concrete containing silica fume is more sensitive to variations in the water content of the mix than ordinary mix, as the fineness of silica fume reduces bleeding of concrete. The mix containing silica fume has very low penetrability and good resistance to penetration by chloride ions and thereby reduces freeze and thaw effect

The incorporation of metakaolin improves strength of concrete significantly. The research conducted on metakaolin indicated that the optimum level of replacement lies somewhere between 5% and 10%. Research studies have confirmed the partial replacement of cement by metakaolin contributes to the strength of concrete due to the filler effect, and the acceleration of hydration of cement due to its pozzolanic reaction. Moreover, metakaolin concrete have exhibited strength, slightly greater than silica fume concrete mixes at the same levels of cement replacement by the pozzolans

The influence of zeolite on the properties of fresh concrete depends upon the shape of the zeolite particles. A concrete mix containing zeolite is cohesive and has a reduced bleeding capacity. The action of zeolite is similar to that of superplasticizer with respect to water demand. The zeolite disperses and absorbs the particles of portland cement. Zeolite in the mix has a retarding effect, typically of about 1 hour, caused by the release of sulphur trioxide ions present at the surface of the zeolite particles. Because of this retarding effect, only initial setting is delayed, the time interval between setting and final setting being unaffected. It is proved that the addition of zeolite improves the dispersion of the portland cement particles, improving their reactivity. The reaction took place within the pores of the cement paste and on the surface of zeolite particles. Using zeolite in concrete will increase the setting time compared with an equivalent grade of normal concrete.

## 2. Material and Testing details

Material used in this experiments are silica fume, calcined kaolin and zeolite minerals mixed with fine and coarse well graded aggregates of maximum size 20mm and cement and portable water and superplasticizer(1.5% to 2% by weight of water)

M60,M70 and M80 standard size cube and beam are casted in lab. After the casting of specimen cube are cured in water tank for 7 days and 28 days compressive cube test with 3000KN machine capacity and 28 days flexural two point bending beam test are performed. Workability of concrete is measured by slump cone test method and targeted slump in 75-100 mm.

Silica fume content 5% of cement which is constant and Calcined kaolin and zeolite are taken 5%,10% and 15% and 5%,10% respectively by weight of cement, percentage of Calcined kaolin and zeolite content are selected from pilot study. Durability parameter are also part of study which is performed in form of water penetration.

### ➤ Material Properties

| SR NO. | NAME OF PROPERTIES                              | SILICA FUME | KAOLIN     | ZEOLITE  |
|--------|---|-------------|------------|----------|
| 1      | Specific gravity                                | 2.9         | 2.4        | 2.2      |
| 2      | Mean grain size( $\mu\text{m}$ )                | <1          | 2.5 to 4.5 | 2 to 200 |
| 3      | Specific surface area( $\text{m}^2/\text{kg}$ ) | 20,000      | 10000      | 320      |
| 4      | Silicon dioxide( $\text{SiO}_2$ )               | 92%         | 59%        | 70%      |
| 5      | Aluminium oxide( $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ )      | 1%          | 43%        | 14%      |
| 6      | Iron oxide ( $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ )          | 0.5%        | 1%         | 1%       |
| 7      | Calcium oxide( $\text{CaO}$ )                   | -           | 0.15%      | 1.5%     |
| 8      | Magnesium oxide( $\text{MgO}$ )                 | 1.6%        | 0.15%      | 0.15%    |
| 9      | Sulphite ( $\text{SO}_3$ )                      | 0.05%       | -          | 0.5%     |
| 10     | Sodium oxide ( $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$ )          | -           | 0.01%      | 1.3%     |
| 11     | Potassium oxide ( $\text{K}_2\text{O}$ )        | -           | 0.10%      | 10%      |
| 12     | LOI   | 1%          | 1%         | 1.5%     |

### ➤ Mix Design per $\text{m}^3$ of concrete

| SR NO. | NAME OF INGREDIENT        | M 60     | M 70   | M 80     |
|--------|---------------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| 1      | BINDER<br>(cement +silica | 441.2 kg | 446 kg | 510.3 kg |

|   |                  |          |           |           |
|---|------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
|   | fume)            |          |           |           |
| 2 | WATER            | 145.6 kg | 146.1 kg  | 153.3 kg  |
| 3 | FINE AGGREGATE   | 745 kg   | 729.3 kg  | 694.5 kg  |
| 4 | COARSE AGGREGATE | 1218 kg  | 1192.6 kg | 1192.6 kg |
| 5 | ADMIXTURE        | 2.1 kg   | 2.1 kg    | 3.06 kg   |
| 6 | DENSITY          | 2550 kg  | 2555 kg   | 2551 kg   |
| 7 | W/C RATIO        | 0.33     | 0.30      | 0.33      |

### 3. RESULT OF EXPERIMENT

#### 1. COMPRESSIVE CUBE TEST RESULT (Mpa)

| Mix Proportion | M 60   |          | M 70    |         | M 80   |         |
|----------------|--------|----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
|                | 7 DAYS | 28 DAYS  | 7 DAYS  | 28 DAYS | 7 DAYS | 28 DAYS |
| Normal         | 55.45  | 64.75.75 | 58.2121 | 74.10   | 55.80  | 81.25   |
| K5             | 56.05  | 66.58    | 59.10   | 77.25   | 56.10  | 84.65   |
| K10            | 57.10  | 67.20    | 60.80   | 79.25   | 56.75  | 82.05   |
| K15            | 56.45  | 65.10    | 59.40   | 75.25   | 57.45  | 86.80   |
| Z5             | 56.50  | 66.31    | 60.40   | 76.80   | 55.25  | 82.25   |
| Z10            | 55.05  | 64.25    | 57.50   | 75.10   | 56.80  | 85.10   |
| K5Z5           | 56.16  | 64.92    | 58.42   | 74.25   | 55.91  | 82.45   |
| K5Z10          | 56.70  | 64.81    | 59.10   | 76.25   | 56.05  | 83.10   |
| K10Z5          | 56.20  | 65.10    | 60.20   | 78.15   | 56.85  | 84.95   |
| K10Z10         | 58.10  | 68.25    | 61.10   | 80.15   | 57.10  | 85.95   |
| K15Z5          | 55.80  | 65.80    | 60.80   | 79.25   | 58.25  | 87.10   |
| K15Z10         | 55.40  | 65.50    | 60.45   | 78.25   | 57.90  | 86.15   |

#### 2. FLEXTURE STRENGTH TEST RESULT (Mpa)

| Mix Proportion | M 60    | M 70    | M 80    |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| CURING TIME    | 28 DAYS | 28 DAYS | 28 DAYS |
| Normal         | 6.12    | 6.30    | 7.68    |
| K5             | 6.52    | 6.65    | 7.75    |
| K10            | 6.93    | 6.98    | 7.53    |
| K15            | 6.38    | 6.50    | 7.98    |

|        |      |      |      |
|--------|------|------|------|
| Z5     | 6.45 | 6.57 | 7.61 |
| Z10    | 6.25 | 6.40 | 7.84 |
| K5Z5   | 6.25 | 6.45 | 7.25 |
| K5Z10  | 6.10 | 6.71 | 7.32 |
| K10Z5  | 6.38 | 6.95 | 7.45 |
| K10Z10 | 6.98 | 7.10 | 7.60 |
| K15Z5  | 6.65 | 6.91 | 8.01 |
| K15Z10 | 6.45 | 6.82 | 7.60 |

### 3. WATER PENETRATION TEST RESULT (MM)

| <b>Mix Proportion</b> | <b>M 60</b>    | <b>M 70</b>    | <b>M 80</b>    |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>CURING TIME</b>    | <b>28 DAYS</b> | <b>28 DAYS</b> | <b>28 DAYS</b> |
| Normal                | 18             | 17             | 15             |
| K5                    | 14             | 13             | 11             |
| K10                   | 11             | 10             | 9              |
| K15                   | 8              | 7              | 7              |
| Z5                    | 16             | 15             | 14             |
| Z10                   | 12             | 11             | 10             |
| K5Z5                  | 15             | 14             | 12             |
| K5Z10                 | 13             | 12             | 10             |
| K10Z5                 | 11             | 11             | 9              |
| K10Z10                | 9              | 10             | 8              |
| K15Z5                 | 7              | 8              | 7              |
| K15Z10                | 6              | 7              | 6              |

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

- Using local kaolin and cement for low w/c ratio high strength concrete can be developed.
- Optimum replacement of kaolin with respect to compressive strength is 10% for M60 and M70 while it increase for M80 for 15% due to increase binder content and dilution effect of partial replacement. .

- Increase the Calcined kaolin content reduced water penetration depth which is parameter of durability due to filler effect of calcined kaolin which reduced the porosity of the concrete.
- 5% of zeolite is optimum with respect to compressive strength for M60 and M70 grade, which is increase 10% for M80 grade which followed same behavior as calcined kaolin.
- Combined use of kaolin and zeolite is give beneficial effect on compressive strength then individual use.
- Durability increase with increase the mineral content which is due to micro filler effect of cementing material.

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to articulate my profound gratitude and indebtedness to my thesis guide, **Pro.G.J.Vyas, Mr.Tejas a Patel** who has always been a constant motivation and guiding factor throughout the thesis time in and out as well. It has been a great pleasure for me to get an opportunity to work under him and complete the project successfully.

I wish to express my heartiest regards to my parents for their guidance and moral support. I would like to acknowledge Entire staff of MIT collage to permit me for the whole practical programme of my thesis.

My sincere thanks to our principal **B.S.PATEL** for their constant guidance regarding documentation work of mid semester review last but not the least, my sincere thanks to all of my friends who have patiently extended all sorts of help and motivate for accomplishing this undertaking

## 6. REFERENCES

- 1) Eva Vejmelkova a, Martin Keppert a, Pavla Rovnanikova b, Michal Ondrac̃ek c, Zbynẽk Keršner d,Robert C̃erny' a, Department of Materials Engineering and Chemistry, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Thákurova 7, 166 29 Prague 6, Czech Republic.
- 2) Flora Faleschini a, M. Alejandro Fernández-Ruíz b, Mariano Angelo Zanini a, Katya Brunelli c,Carlo Pellegrino a, Enrique Hernández-Montes,Dept. of Civil, Environmental and Architectural Engineering, University of Padova, Via Marzolo 9, 35131 Padova, Italy.
- 3) S. Chithra a,†, S.R.R. Senthil Kumar b, K. Chinnaraju c, Department of Civil Engineering, Government College of Technology, Coimbatore, India.
- 4) Eva Vejmelkova a, Milena Pavlikova a, Martin Keppert a, Zbynẽk Keršner b, Pavla Rovnanikova c,Michal Ondrac̃ek d, Martin Sedlmajer d, Robert C̃erny' a,a Department of Materials Engineering and Chemistry, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Czech Technical.