

# ENGAGING SCHOLARS IN THE LITERACY PROCESS WITH GAME- GROUNDED LITERACY THE ABECEDARIAN GENERALITIES

Jyothi Karanwar<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Dharni Rai<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Education, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar University, Raipur, India.

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar University, Raipur, India.

## ABSTRACT

*Learning in the form of educational games has been espoused as a new approach in the recent times, in tutoring. This study provides an innovative frame for the relinquishment of the educational games learning approach at the educational associations. This is done to insure lifelong literacy and interdisciplinary literacy openings for trainee preceptors. The study introduces social chops and knowledge training to address motifs of gaming and literacy. It describes the point at which literacy is anticipated to do and the part that game rudiments play in relation to pupil engagement and educational gaming content commerce. The study further describes the principles governing cooperative literacy which are the crucial pillars for acquiring cognitive and social chops. The donation of game-grounded literacy is further linked with mindset enhancement and growth. The study further examines three propositions that are essential to the development of the game- grounded literacy approach narrative- centered literacy proposition, problem- working proposition, and engagement proposition. Upon furnishing the theoretical aspects, preceptor's comprehensions towards the game-grounded literacy approach are explained further. The advantages and disadvantages of game- grounded literacy are also bandied under.*

**Keyword :** - Educational Games, Literacy Development, Interdisciplinary Learning, Cognitive Engagement, Game-Based Learning

## 1. Introduction

Game- grounded literacy is a system of carrying new generalities and chops through the use of digital and non-digital games( Grace, 2019). The use of games in education can support the development of literacy and effective literacy( Kula, 2021; Syafii, 2021). According to Boctor( 2013), the game-grounded literacy process to promote literacy has two way First, games can encourage preceptors to integrate knowledge on colorful subjects and use it in decision- timber; Second, preceptors can test the game to see how issues change grounded on the choices and opinions they make. It also allows trainers to communicate with other actors and bandy game- related conditioning; This improves cooperation and thus social chops.

Problem solving is important to acclimatize to society and literacy to play has come the stylish way to develop similar chops; for illustration, Han( 2015) set up that learning through commerce can help preceptors learn further and ameliorate problem- working chops. Game- grounded literacy also requires scholars to unite with the schoolteacher by integrating multiple capacities into the literacy process. also, preceptors who play educational games have a better literacy experience than preceptors who don't play similar games. Learning issues across subjects, including issues in understanding the languages ( Boctor, 2013). therefore, integrating literacy with a game- grounded approach can be an effective means of harmonizing preceptors ' and trainee preceptor's

preferences. In short, game- grounded literacy contributes to perfecting trainee preceptor's engagement, collaboration, and creativity.

### 1.1 Games grounded Learning

Game- grounded literacy is designed to balance theoretical content and learning through the use of games. Game grounded literacy allows trainee preceptors to explore rigorous literacy surroundings and generalities and targeted learning issues( Chen et al., 2018); this is especially effective in the environment of the language literacy terrain( Mozelius& Hettiarachchi, 2017). Games should be designed to insure that the trainee preceptors can repeat the cycles within the game environment without getting wearied. also, a good literacy game. Moreover, a good learning game should encourage good behavior in preceptors during the reiteration of this situation This can be done by clinging to some studies and knowledge about the interactive game and suggestions attained from the game( Boctor, 2013). A process of tutoring and assessing literacy issues between games should be carried out( Mozelius and Hettiarachchi, 2017). Debriefing after the game allows trainers to make connections between the game and the real world. It also connects preceptors' understanding of games to literacy by creating connections between events being in the game and real- life situations( Bundick et al., 2014). Educational games should give preceptors with the capability to share in literacy and to suppose, understand and apply the knowledge gained in real life( Boctor, 2013). The relinquishment of an adventure approach in educational games can be effective in this regard. Educational adventure games are purposefully designed to give “edutainment”( a blend of education and entertainment); similar games are generally complex and feature clear demonstrations of deterministic problems( Bundick et al., 2014). For case, in adventure games, problems are frequently part of the game, and players must find results to these problems in order to advance in the game. therefore, player's in- game opinions can have direct consequences on the game's progression, meaning the player is in control of the game. In adventure games, the justifications can be delicate to break, but it isn't delicate to try different possible results( Bundick et al., 2014). Importantly, Boctor( 2013) noted that the literacy process associated with adventure games can ameliorate players' general capability to identify results to problems. Whether a game qualifies as educational depends on several aspects. According to Plass et al.( 2015), the characteristics of an educational game are basically determined by its interactivity aspects, while others, similar as Boctor( 2013), give a more specific description, suggesting that dynamic illustrations, regulations, objects, and commerce features are the defining characteristics of educational games. Hwang et al.( 2016) also Adipat, Laksana, Busayanon, Asawasowan, and Adipat suggested that the origin of computer game literacy stems from fantasy, curiosity, competition, and control. Then, fantasy represents the situation in which the game takes place. This dream increases preceptors' satisfaction and improves their literacy( Hwang et al., 2016). In terms of curiosity, since the outgrowth of the game is uncertain, constantly presenting new information may make preceptors interested in the game. In terms of competition, games must be planned and played within a certain limit. Eventually, for control, as in real life, actors must cleave to certain rules, whether they're the system rules that define the game world, or procedural rules that relate to possible conduct. In this way, educational games produce second- order reality for actors. Pho and Dinscore( 2015) stated that game- grounded literacy isn't superior to other learning approaches in terms of It increases study Capability, but can also increase provocation and make scholars interested in the subject. structure on this argument, other experimenters have set up that trained preceptors are more suitable to retain information they learn through games than information they acquire through other literacy styles, but this depends on the environment in question; Interdisciplinary subjects that bear chops similar as critical thinking., interpersonal communication, and discussion are motifs associated with the stylish literacy of the game( Kucher, 2021).

### 1.2 Collaboration with Games

Collaborative literacy is part of the literacy process, and according to Dichev and Dicheva( 2017), tutoring games are the stylish way to insure cooperation between preceptors. cooperative literacy allows preceptors to gain knowledge by participating information with the classroom community, and using technology in education can support preceptors to be more interactive and less disruptive in literacy. Game- grounded literacy also has a

constructivist literacy terrain where preceptors can use being chops to break problems related to the motifs bandied; Game- grounded literacy can also help preceptors educate and determine the content of the class. Game- grounded literacy therefore provides preceptors with openings and structures to come more involved in the literacy process. Thanks to game- grounded literacy, schoolteacher campaigners can change information and ideas with each other, unite fluently and break problems. also, Boctor( 2013) stated that game- grounded literacy makes preceptors actors and preceptors of the literacy process; This is different from the process of handing out too important information to preceptors, which is frequently wrong and disruptive.

### 1.3 Developing the Mind Through Sports

According to Kuhn et al.( 2019) suggest that “ the crucial to winning at videotape games is determination, resourcefulness, and problem working. When players start playing, they anticipate to make some miscalculations and have to persist through delicate situations, maybe having to redo it numerous times before completing it.” The capability to check this position means failure in videotape games. It's worth noting that compared to classroom literacy, pupil experts tutoring need the same Determination to learn to break problems. Classroom problems Simple understanding, problem working The base of positive thinking grounded on working and pattern recognition can be seen as determination and patience. Wu( 2015) also reported that roughly 94 of teenage girls and 99 of boys between the periods of 7- 10 spend roughly 15 hours per week playing videotape games. The fashionability of similar games among children and youthful people has caused educational games to come a literacy tool aimed at tutoring youthful people and perfecting their development( Boctor, 2013). proposition develops when preservice preceptors who witness failure are encouraged to persist and do better( Dostál, 2015). thus, preceptors with a growth mindset may want to try harder until they achieve the asked results. The benefits of game- grounded literacy farther support the integration of digital and/ or non-digital game rudiments into the classroom terrain. Unlike literacy, the main purpose of games is to entertain players and motivate them to keep playing. The introductory structure of numerous games is grounded on the alternation of losses and triumphs. thus, learning as play can be a useful tool for developing a growth mindset. Because the conditioning are delightful, games can keep preceptors interested and ameliorate their commitment, which helps preceptors maintain a positive station indeed when they fail( Rowe et al., 2011; Taub et al., 2017). preceptors may not be suitable to achieve their asked pretensions incontinently, but because they can determine where they're in the game, they're encouraged to try again and again to ameliorate their performance. When integrating learning through play into the classroom, games need to be designed and used meetly; else the asked results won't be achieved. For illustration, some games may be inclusive but not inescapably academic( Pho and Dinscore, 2015). It's the schoolteacher's responsibility to choose suitable games with a good idea for preceptors; For illustration, games used to help pre-service preceptors achieve mathematics must be sufficiently accurate in computation. In addition, in order to use games as a literacy tool to achieve the asked literacy, preceptors need to choose games that are easy to use and easy to use( Li, 2017). The general station, from the author's point of view, is that it takes time to complete a position while gaming, which means failure in literacy in action. Not playing isn't considered a failure in the classroom. These games can also help trainers believe that any challenge can be overcome.

## 2. Theoretical Aspects

Our proposition is important for the development of game- grounded literacy models identification of learning proposition, problem working and collaborative proposition.

### 2.1 Narrative- centered literacy theory

Rowe et al.( 2015) reported that games are grounded on allowing as literacy chops, while Adipat, Laksana, Busayanon, Asawasowan, and Adipat focus on narrative- forming chops. The introductory principle is the assimilation process, in which schoolteacher campaigners are transferred to different places and times in a way that's so delicate it might feel. also the schoolteacher spoke. Trained preceptors laboriously share in the restatement by

bluffing the characters in the game and are interested in establishing a dialogue with the narrative( Kühnetal., 2019). Just as compendiums use multiple perspectives to determine the meaning of textbook or information, players can use multiple perspectives to more understand, connect with, and succeed in the game. Narrative- centered literacy games are a literacy terrain where there's good communication between characters and story( Lesteretal., 2014). Play can be a good tool for literacy because narratives involve the creation of meaning and the participation of preceptors in the hunt for models and literacy processes. Narrative- centered proposition also suggests that learning games can help produce meaning of content in particular situations that are important to preceptors.

## **2.2 Problem Working Theory**

Problem working capability is important in moment's world. working problems can make the person more useful to the employer and therefore give a good advantage in the request. According to Dostál( 2015), problem working is a two- stage direct process 1) creating the problem space and 2) creating a result by controlling the problem space. still, working problems in a suitable terrain is easier than working problems that will do in real life. For illustration, plant problems are frequently nebulous and can not be fluently resolved through classroom styles. thus, creating an terrain where preceptors can break informal problems can ameliorate their capability to break real- world problems when they leave academy and enter the office. Learning games are designed to bridge the gap between classroom problems and real- world problems. A game- grounded literacy system helps develop problem- working chops by first furnishing a variety of ways to find results, also assessing scholars' problem- working chops on nebulous problems, and eventually allowingpre-service preceptors to unite to break complex problems.

## **2.3 Participation Theory**

Participation proposition believes that if schoolteacher campaigners share in numerous ways, they can more retain the content they've learned. Participation refers to scholars' perception of academic quality as well as their provocation and interest in the course( Whitton, 2011). preceptors should choose conditioning according to their capacities and also misbehave with what's allowed; thus, they share in the completion of the tasks assigned to them. Depending on the literacy exertion of interest, pupil engagement can be assessed by determining the positive behavioral and/ or cognitive engagement scholars admit. thus, learning as a game can enable preceptors to come more involved in literacy. According to Whitton( 2011), collaboration proposition suggests three strategies to insure scholars' participation in learning First, the literacy process should concentrate on collaboration between preceptors. Secondly, the literacy process should be suitable for design- grounded study and study. Third, authentic, applicable, and effective adulterous accoutrements should be named to promote effective pupil participation.

## **2.4 Perspectives on Game- Grounded literacy**

The main reason for using educational support tools is to support and ameliorate preceptors' literacy issues. thus, combining traditional styles with technological styles( grounded on learning in games) is important for preceptors to be motivated to learn the material( Wu, 2015). Advances in technology and the increase in time spent by schoolteacher campaigners playing videotape games have encouraged the use of game- grounded literacy strategies due to the actuality of a gaming culture in which education must invest( Wu, 2015). According to Wu( 2015), children are more interested when they learn through commerce and use all their internal problems. This means that the use of technology is the stylish way to achieve a satisfactory course. still, utmost preceptors aren't sure that play is a literacy skill and thus have little idea of its eventuality. numerous preceptors have reservations about using games and have little understanding of games themselves as literacy when it comes to incorporating games into the classroom. still, the situation is perfecting as expansive exploration shows that educational gamification can ameliorate learning issues. Wu( 2015) believes that preceptors play an important part in the perpetration of game- grounded literacy strategies. thus, it's important to understand preceptor's stations towards the literacy game. preceptors' main enterprises about technology tutoring are related to the impact of technology,

and some preceptors encounter problems in this regard when using some games in the classroom( Li, 2017). In addition, some preceptor's lack of previous knowledge about games hinders their capability to use games for learning purposes. These two problems have led to the use of educational games at educational organisations. According to Wu( 2015), individualities' actions( reflecting their own feelings) can affect the actions of those they interact with. thus, understanding schoolteacher's station towards learning technology is important to determine their amenability to use and accept educational challenges. To understand these actions, it's important to estimate seminaries' acceptance of these technologies( Marti- Parreno et al., 2016). The perpetration of educational gamification directly depends on the academy administration. In addition, the gender of preceptors may also affect their stations towards literacy and play. Marti- Parreno et al.( 2016) revealed that manly preceptors had more positive stations towards literacy games than womanish preceptors. The age of preceptors is another factor that determines their station towards the literacy game. Li( 2017) stated that aged preceptors had reservations about using games in education, while youthful preceptors were generally satisfied with the use of games. Can and Çağıltay( 2006) examined Turkish preceptors' stations towards games as literacy and set up that utmost preceptors believed that games bettered preceptors' chops. Research also shows that pre-service preceptors are interested in learning using games. This suggests that preceptors should try to be competitive in utmost of their classes. still, despite the positive station shown by preceptors, utmost people prefer to use games similar as Adipat, Laksana, Busayanon, Asawasowan and Adipat out of fear, although they aren't a way of tutoring( Wu, 2015). still, actors in the Wu( 2015) study agreed that competition in education helps develop scholars' interests and learning gests . At the same time, although some electronic games ameliorate the quality of preceptors, they can harm preceptors due to the enthusiasm of the staff. According to Kuhn et al.( 2019), The increase in academy blowups and blowups can be attributed to violent videotape games. thus, it's the responsibility of preceptors and parents to decide which videotape games are suitable for education.

### 3. Advantages and Disadvantages of Digital Game

Grounded literacy literacy games grounded on the use of board games, Lego and card games in the history; still, technological developments have led to the use of digital games in classrooms around the world, and this has had both positive and negative goods on preceptors( Lester et al., 2017). As leaders of digital game education, preceptors should use the stylish tutoring styles to spread the positive goods of digital game education and reduce its disadvantages. According to Bundiket al.( 2014), Although numerous people in moment's society see videotape games as a passive activity, research shows that digital game-based learning has many advantages. Schaaf and Mohan (2016) also concluded that digital games are as important as physical games in improving teachers' leadership, skills, and physical development. However, it also has disadvantages, especially when it comes to virtual games. It is recommended that teachers try to minimize negative effects while maximizing positive outcomes.

#### 3.1 Advantages

1) Motivation and engagement Student motivation and engagement is probably the most obvious and powerful factor in integrating digital games into the classroom. The game uses images, sounds and colors to improve players' reflexes; Moreover, the game is designed to attract the most attention.

2) Workflow Personal computers have become increasingly common over the last few years. Today, almost all education teachers have access to tablets or laptops in their classrooms, leading to the widespread use of digital game-based learning (Dichev and Dicheva, 2017). The advancement of network technology has also led to the integration of devices into the school, allowing teachers to control teachers' devices remotely. This allows teachers to deliver challenging games to student teachers who can work together to solve related issues and problems through online advertising. Online games require teachers to use teamwork skills such as communication and negotiation to find the best solution to a particular challenge.

3) Rapid feedback and progress Schaaf and Mohan (2017) confirmed that technology used for educational purposes can also provide valuable information about student development. For example, language games such as Duolingo can broadcast scores and progress throughout the game. These games provide teachers with instant feedback and information on progress and allow them to go back to the level of progress to improve grades/results.

4) Creativity and Lateral Thinking According to Li (2017), although parents and guardians often believe that playing games is a waste of time for teenagers, teenagers make creative decisions. There are eight more in today's games. The best examples of games that facilitate decision-making are sandbox games, where players control an avatar that can explore the virtual world and make decisions (Hwang et al., 2015). These games create a sense of adventure in the game and allow players to make independent decisions; Therefore, players can learn from bad decisions and avoid making the same mistakes in the future. To encourage competence and imagination, instructors can develop instructions for student instructors on how to play the game.

5) Risk and experimentation Learning from a computer-simulated world allows teachers to see the feasibility of some options, ideas, and models in the real world. For example, some computer simulation software allows users to create bridges, buildings, and other structures. This environment allows instructors to take risks when creating these simulation models because there is no danger of negative consequences. If the action is not as expected, students can use the Undo tool or restart the design. This gives students the opportunity to take risks and experiment, while also learning from their mistakes (Marti-Parreno et al., 2016). However, teachers are advised to balance computer simulation with reality so as not to distort prospective teachers' understanding of reality and unreality.

6) Planning future careers Computer knowledge and computer skills are increasingly becoming a requirement for many jobs. In order to perform such tasks, teachers need to be trained in the use of technology (Li, 2017). Additionally, providing good information to pre-service teachers can have a positive impact on the potential for future technological advances. To provide support to teachers so that they can work, study and conduct research when necessary. The Internet offers excellent services to anyone with the knowledge and ability. This can help educators professionally address their curiosity about technology-related ideas as they move from schools to universities and ultimately out into the world.

### **3.2 Disadvantages**

1) Physical activity limitations One of the criticisms of digital game-based learning is that it can lead to a lack of physical activity. While Adipat, Laksana, Busayanon, Asawasowan and Adipat. Digital games can provide a lot of mental exercise, they cannot provide physical exercise. Unlike physical games, playing video games is generally a passive activity (Li, 2017). Therefore, teachers and parents should limit teachers' video game playing practice time to a maximum of 1-2 hours per day. They need to ensure that physical activity and sports remain at the center of teachers' activities (Boctor, 2013); In the long run, this can prevent health problems caused by body failure.

2) Highly efficient equipment Although digital technology has become increasingly cheaper in recent years, it is still very costly. The school's ability to purchase digital equipment depends on its financial resources. This can create a digital divide as some teachers working in low-income schools do not have access to the technology that professional educators use and is taught by many affordable schools. In this way, the skill gap between teachers in different schools will increase. Teachers come from schools with better working conditions Therefore, they may be better prepared for future jobs related to technology than students in poor schools, such as those in developing countries. This digital divide also exists among teacher candidates in the same class.

### **4. Conclusion**

In full agreement with Marti-Parreno et al., it can be concluded that the advantages of adding games as classroom learning far outweigh the disadvantages. (2016). For games to be educational and helpful to teachers, they should focus on content and be relevant to the thought process, so they should be developed by teachers and trainers and

good content should be followed. Teachers should view learning as a game and make sure that when teachers fail or fail at a game, students are not affected and are encouraged to do better in the future. Teachers also have a responsibility to teach their teachers that winning the competition is not the end goal and that they should not care if their competitors lose. Educators must ensure that play-based learning engages and supports teacher learning while encouraging development.

## 5. References

- [1.] Can, G., & Cagiltay, K. (2006). Turkish prospective teachers' perceptions regarding the use of computer games with educational features. *Educational Technology & Society*, 9(1), 308-321. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ836713>
- [2.] Rowe, J. P., Shores, L. R., Mott, B. W., & Lester, J. C. (2011). Integrating learning, problem solving, and engagement in narrative-centered learning environments. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 21(1-2), 115-133. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAI-2011-019>
- [3.] Whitton, N. (2011). Game engagement theory and adult learning. *Simulation & Gaming*, 42(5), 596-609. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1046878110378587> Wu, M. L. (2015). Teacher's experiences, attitudes, self-efficacy, and perceived barriers to the use of digital game-based learning:
- [4.] Boctor, L. (2013). Active-learning strategies: The use of a game to reinforce learning in nursing education. A case study. *Nurse education in practice*, 13(2), 96-100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2012.07.010>
- [5.] Bundick, M., Quaglia, R., Corso, M., & Haywood, D. (2014). Promoting student engagement in the classroom. *Teachers College Record*, 116(4). <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1020319>
- [6.] Lester, J. C., Spires, H. A., Nietfeld, J. L., Minogue, J., Mott, B. W., & Lobene, E. V. (2014). Designing game based learning environments for elementary science education: A narrative-centered learning perspective. *Information Sciences*, 264, 4-18. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2013.09.005>
- [7.] Dostál, J. (2015). Theory of problem-solving. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 174, 2798-2805. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.970> Grace, L. (2019). Doing things with games: Social impact through play.
- [8.] Pho, A., & Dinscore, A. (2015). Game-based learning. Tips and trends.
- [9.] Plass, J. L., Homer, B. D., & Kinzer, C. K. (2015). Foundations of game-based learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 50(4), 258-283. <http://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2015.1122533>
- [10.] Rowe J. P., & Lester J. C. (2015). Improving student problem solving in narrative-centered learning environments: A modular reinforcement learning framework.
- [11.] In C. Conati, N. Heffernan, A. Mitrovic, & M. Verdejo (Eds.), *AIED 2015: Artificial Intelligence in Education* (pp. 419-428). Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19773-9\\_42](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19773-9_42)
- [12.] Schaaf, R., & Mohan, N. (2016). *Game on: Using digital games for 21st-century teaching, learning, and assessment*. Solution Tree Press. Adipat, Laksana, Busayanon, Asawasowan, & Adipat.
- [13.] Routledge. Hwang, G. J., Wu, P. H., Chen, C. C., & Tu, N. T. (2016). Effects of an augmented reality-based, educational game on trainee teachers' learning achievements and attitudes in real-world observations. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 24(8), 1895-1906. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2015.1057747>
- [14.] Taub, M., Mudrick, N. V., Azevedo, R., Millar, G. C., Rowe, J., & Lester, J. (2017). Using multi-channel data with multi-level modeling to assess in-game performance during gameplay with *Crystal Island*. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 76, 641-655. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.01.038>
- [15.] Li, C. (2017). Attitudes towards digital game-based learning of Chinese primary school English teachers. *British Council*, 1-118. Marti-Parreno, J., Segui-Mas, D., & Segui-Mas, D. (2016). Teacher's attitude towards and actual use of gamification. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 228, 682 – 688. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.07.104>

- [16.] Mozelius, P., & Hettiarachchi, E. (2017). Critical factors for implementing blended learning in higher education. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technologies in Education*, 6(2), 37-51. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ijicte-2017-0010>
- [17.] Dichev, C., & Dicheva, D. (2017). Gamifying education: What is known, what is believed and what remains uncertain: A critical review? *International Journal of Education Technology in Higher Education*, 14(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-017-0042-5>
- [18.] Chen, C., Liu, J., & Shou, W. (2018). How competition in a game-based science learning environment influences trainee teachers' learning achievement, flow experience, and learning behavioral patterns. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 21(2), 164-176. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/26388392>  
*International Journal of Technology in Education (IJTE)* 551
- [19.] Kühn, S., Kugler, D. T., Schmalen, K., Weichenberger, M., Witt, C., & Gallinat, J. (2018). Does playing violent video games cause aggression? A Longitudinal Intervention Study. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-018-0031-7>
- [20.] Syafii, M. L., Kusnawan, W., & Syukroni, A. (2020). Enhancing listening skills using games. *International Journal on Studies in Education (IJonSE)*, 2(2), 78-107. <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijonse.21>
- [21.] Kucher, T. (2021). Principles and best practices of designing digital game-based learning environments. *International Journal of Technology in Education and Science (IJTES)*, 5(2), 213-223. <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijtes.190>
- [22.] Kula, S. S. (2021). Mind games with the views of classroom teachers. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science (IJRES)*, 7(3), 747-766. <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijres.1471>