E learning effectiveness and student engagement

Keerthiga P, Pavithra N

Student, MCA, CMR University SSCS Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Student, MCA, CMR University SSCS Bangalore, Karnataka, India

ABSTRACT

This paper looks at how well e-learning systems work and how they affect student engagement in college. By reviewing recent studies and conducting an experiment at a university, we assess how different e-learning platforms help students learn and stay involved. Our results show that e-learning systems, especially those with interactive and adaptive features and academic success. We also find that using multimedia content and game-like elements keeps students interested and motivated. Overall, the study concludes that well-designed e-learning environments can be as effective as traditional classrooms, providing flexible and personalized learning.

Keyword : - *E-Learning systems, Real-time feedback, Gamification, Digital learning platforms, Educational outcomes , Accessibility, Interactive features, Adaptive features, Digital platforms.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The introduction of this paper focuses on the importance of understanding how e-learning affects student engagement and learning outcomes in higher education. As technology advances, e-learning has become a popular method of teaching and learning, offering flexibility and accessibility to students. However, it's crucial to determine if these digital platforms are truly effective in keeping students engaged and helping them achieve academic success. This research paper aims to explore the effectiveness of different e-learning systems and their impact on student engagement. We will look at recent studies and conduct our own experiment to see how well these systems work. Our goal is to find out what features of e-learning platforms make them successful and how they compare to traditional classroom teaching. By understanding this, educators can better design e-learning environments that maximize student involvement and improve learning outcomes.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

Fatih Gurcan et.al they talk about issue during the COVID-19 pandemic, e-learning became even more important, and a lot more research and applications in this area have been happening. This paper wants to figure out what people are most interested in when it comes to e-learning during the pandemic. To do this, we looked at 3562 academic articles published since the pandemic began and analyzed them using a method called N-gram and another method called Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) topic modeling. What we found is that people are talking a lot about things like "online learning," "online education," "online teaching," and "distance learning." We also found some longer phrases that are popping up frequently, like "higher education institution," "emergency remote teaching," "education online learning," and "online teaching and learning."

Hana Eljak et.al this study looks at how e-learning and cloud computing work together, which can change how we learn from offline to online. It asks two main questions: how e-learning affects things like software, security, and performance, and how cloud computing services like SaaS, PaaS, IaaS, and S.O.A. fit in. The goal is to understand how e-learning fits into cloud computing. The study looks at 154 research papers to see how e-learning and cloud computing are used together, focusing on things like how they're set up (27%), general topics (21%), software (19%), and performance (18%). It finds that virtual environments have fewer security issues, but there's more focus on storage and

network. Most studies look at public clouds (74%) and less at other models like hybrid clouds. The study finds some limitations in using e-learning with cloud computing, especially with hybrid and private clouds, and notes that there's less focus on platforms and infrastructure.

Hanan Aldowah et.al In many developing countries, universities are using e-learning to keep up with advances in higher education seen in developed nations. E-learning has been key to expanding higher education in the past decade. However, universities in these countries face challenges when trying to implement e-learning. This paper looked into these challenges and how they affect instructors using e-learning systems. 107 university instructors took part in an online survey about the main challenges in implementing e-learning. The study used a method called partial least squares structural equation modeling to test how certain challenges, like course design and technological issues, are linked. The research found that challenges related to course design, support, societal/cultural factors, and technology significantly affect how instructors use e-learning systems. The paper discusses what this means for policymakers and educators.

Jian-Wei Lin et.al they discussed about the Traditional e-learning platforms often need students to be very good at self-regulated learning (SRL) to work well. But not everyone has this ability, so many students give up during online learning. To help improve SRL, some studies have made e-learning platforms based on Zimmerman's SRL training model. However, these platforms still expect learners to do tasks on their own. This article made an e-learning platform using Zimmerman's model, but it added tools to show what a group of role models is doing in each phase of SRL training. This helps learners see, think about, and copy what the role models are doing. To see if this works, the study compared two groups of students taking the same course. One group used the new platform, and the other used a traditional one. The group using the new platform spent more time learning and did better on tests. They also showed better SRL behaviors over time. The last part of the article talks about the results and what they mean.

Khalid Benabbes et.al they work on Understanding how engaged students are in online courses is crucial for their success. This study developed a method to predict student engagement using data from over 1,300 students. They looked at factors like forum activity and time spent on the course website. Using advanced techniques, they categorized students based on their level of engagement. The best model accurately predicted engagement 98% of the time. Interestingly, most students were passive observers rather than active participants. Additionally, high engagement didn't always correlate with better course performance.

Mariam M. et.al they discussed about the goal of e-learning systems is to enhance student learning and satisfaction by offering personalized experiences. This paper introduces a dynamic multi-agent system using particle swarm optimization to achieve this. The system involves five agents catering to variations among users: project clustering, student clustering, student-project matching, student-student matching, and dynamic student clustering. These agents work together to adapt the e-learning environment based on user behavior and preferences. Experimental results show the system's effectiveness in providing optimal solutions quickly.

Mohammed Amin Almaiah et.al This study explores factors influencing the adoption of e-learning systems among Saudi students using the e-UTAUT model. Data from 507 students at King Faisal University were collected through a questionnaire survey. Structural equation modeling was used to analyze the research model. Results indicate that course design, content support, assessment, and instructor characteristics significantly affect e-learning system usage. However, social influence was found to have no significant impact. These findings offer insights for university administrators and researchers to enhance course design, content, and assessment, thereby promoting greater acceptance and usage of e-learning systems among students.

Pau Xiberta et.al This paper examines a new e-learning approach in architectural studies amid the COVID-19 pandemic, using the SAPIENS platform. The platform, offering content creation tools and automatic correction, was utilized to develop a course on building systems. An experiment involving 108 students evaluated the effectiveness and acceptance of this methodology. Significant improvements were noted in posttest scores, with slightly higher scores in the group using the e-learning platform. Questionnaire responses generally rated positively, particularly in the group with access to the platform. Teacher feedback was also favorable. Overall, the methodology, featuring high image interaction and suitability for diverse learners, proves effective and well-received, particularly among engaged participants.

Pedro J. Munoz-Merino et.al This study describes an experiment conducted during a Computer Architecture Laboratory course, where students interacted with both a hinting e-learning system and human teachers. The results revealed substantial learning gains in both groups, indicating the effectiveness of both approaches. Interestingly, even without

adaptive and personalized features, the e-learning system performed comparably to human teachers, especially in scenarios with a low student-to-teacher ratio. This suggests that e-learning systems could replace teachers without a significant loss of effectiveness.

Said A. Salloum et.al A study extended the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) to better understand e-learning acceptance by reviewing 120 studies over twelve years. It identified common external factors such as computer self-efficacy, social norm, perceived enjoyment, and system quality. The TAM was then applied to examine e-learning acceptance among 435 students across five universities in the UAE, finding that factors like system quality, computer self-efficacy, and playfulness impact ease of use. Additionally, information quality, enjoyment, and accessibility positively influence ease of use and usefulness of e-learning systems.

Shabnam Mohamed Aslam et.al With advancements in AI and machine learning, there's a growing interest in adaptive e-learning for better learning outcomes. This research aims to evaluate e-learning models using AI techniques like Supervised, Semi-Supervised, and Reinforcement Learning. Literature review spanning from 1993 to 2020 examines the impact of machine learning algorithms on e-learning features, identifying significant enhancements. Support Vector Machine emerges as a top performer in predicting e-learning model parameters, while Fuzzy C Means and Deep Learning excel with Big Data sets. This study offers valuable insights for researchers and educators, enhancing understanding and optimization of e-learning systems.

Vijayalaxmi N. Rathod et.al This study examines e-learning recommendation systems tailored for individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), aiming to improve their learning experience. Challenges include the lack of design principles for customized platforms and technological limitations in developing these systems. Despite these challenges, focusing on social communication and psychological abilities shows promise. Content-based recommender systems designed specifically for ASD individuals have been found beneficial, though more research is needed to address limitations and enhance effectiveness.

Yen-Ni Tsai, Ming-Nan Chen, Chih-Chiang Fang, et.al E-learning is increasingly popular in higher education globally, addressing geographical and scheduling constraints of traditional methods. Many Chinese universities have adopted e-learning platforms, benefiting students by providing up-to-date information and bridging the technology gap. In the corporate sector, e-learning is utilized for skill enhancement. This study explores the acceptance of e-learning among Fine Art and Design College students in China using the Technology Acceptance Model. Findings reveal perceived usefulness as a key factor, with no significant differences observed between art and design students and those in other fields.

3. PROPOSED SYSTEM

Improving e-learning effectiveness and student engagement involves a multifaceted approach that combines technological, pedagogical, and psychological strategies. Here is a comprehensive method to achieve these goals:

Interactive Content and Multimedia:

- Use of Videos and Animations: Incorporate instructional videos, animations, and simulations to make learning more engaging and to illustrate complex concepts visually.
- Interactive Quizzes and Polls: Use tools like Kahoot, Quizlet, or embedded quizzes in learning management systems (LMS) to keep students actively involved.
- Gamification: Implement gamified elements such as badges, leaderboards, and rewards to motivate students.

Personalized Learning:

- Adaptive Learning Technologies: Utilize AI-driven platforms that adapt to individual student's learning paces and styles, providing customized resources and assessments.
- Learning Analytics: Use data analytics to monitor student progress and identify those who may need additional support or challenges.

Collaborative Learning:

- Online Discussion Forums: Create forums or use platforms like Slack or Microsoft Teams to facilitate student discussions and peer interactions.
- Group Projects: Encourage collaborative projects using shared documents and project management tools like Google Workspace or Trello.

Engaging Instructional Design:

- Blended Learning: Combine synchronous (live online classes) and asynchronous (self-paced modules) learning to offer flexibility and real-time engagement.

Engaging Assessments:

- Formative Assessments: Use frequent low-stakes assessments to gauge understanding and provide feedback throughout the learning process.
- Project-Based Assessments: Incorporate real-world projects that require critical thinking and application of knowledge.
- Technology Integration
- LMS Utilization: Maximize the features of Learning Management Systems (like Canvas, Moodle, Blackboard) for organizing content, tracking progress, and communicating with students.
- Interactive Tools: Integrate tools like Padlet or Nearpod for interactive and engaging lessons.

Instructor Presence:

- Active Participation: Instructors should actively participate in discussions, provide video responses, and be visibly engaged in the course.
- Personal Connection: Share personal anecdotes, and be approachable to build a connection with students.

Continuous Improvement:

- Feedback Loops: Regularly gather feedback from students on course effectiveness and areas for improvement.
- Professional Development: Encourage instructors to engage in ongoing professional development to stay current with the latest e-learning trends and technologies.

Implementation Plan:

- Needs Assessment: Conduct a thorough assessment of student needs, technological capabilities, and instructor readiness.
- Pilot Program: Implement a pilot program with a small group to test and refine the proposed methods.
- Full Rollout: Gradually expand to the entire course or institution, making adjustments based on pilot feedback.
- Evaluation: Continuously evaluate the effectiveness of the methods through surveys, analytics, and academic performance data.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP/COMPARSION ANALYSIS

Goal

To see which e-learning methods help students learn better and keep them more engaged.

Steps:

Participants:

- Pick a mix of students from different subjects.
- Split them into one control group and several experimental groups.

Groups:

Control Group:

- Uses standard online learning (like watching lecture videos).

Experimental Groups:

Each group tries a different fun and engaging learning method:

Group A: Interactive videos and quizzes.

Group B: Personalized learning that adapts to each student.

Group C: Group projects and discussions.

Group D: Short, focused lessons and live sessions.

Group E: Learning through games and rewards.

- Prepare the same course content but tailor it to each group's method.
- Use the same online learning platform for everyone.

What to Measure:

Engagement:

- How often students log in.
- Participation in discussions and activities.
- Completion of interactive tasks.

Learning Effectiveness:

- Scores on tests taken before and after the course.
- Grades on assignments and projects.
- Student feedback on their experience.

Tools:

- Learning platform analytics to track engagement.
- Surveys for student feedback.

- Testing software for pre and post-course assessments.

How It Works?

Before the Experiment:

- Sign up students and explain the study.
- Give a pre-course test to see their starting knowledge.
- Show students how to use their learning tools.

During the Experiment:

- Mid-Semester Check-In.
- Gather feedback from students to see how it's going.
- Make minor adjustments if needed.

After the Experiment:

- Give a final test to measure learning gains.
- Collect detailed feedback from students.
- Analyze data on engagement and test scores.

Comparing Results:

Data Analysis:

- Compare test score improvements between groups.
- Look at login and participation rates.
- See which methods kept students most engaged.

Feedback Analysis:

- Review student feedback to understand their experiences.
- Identify common themes and differences between groups.

Reporting Results:

- Use charts to show differences in engagement and learning.
- Discuss which methods worked best and why.
- Make recommendations for future e-learning improvements.

By following these steps, educators can figure out which online teaching methods are most effective and engaging for students.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The study comparing e-learning methods reveals that interactive content, personalized learning, collaborative projects, and gamified elements significantly enhance learning outcomes and student engagement. Interactive videos and quizzes improve understanding, while adaptive platforms tailor content to individual needs. Collaborative projects foster community and active participation, and gamification motivates students, making learning enjoyable. Blended and microlearning approaches help manage time and maintain focus. To maximize effectiveness, combining these methods and regularly collecting student feedback is essential. Providing a supportive environment with accessible resources and regular instructor presence further enhances engagement and overall student success in e-learning programs.

5. REFERENCES

[1]. Fatih Gurcan; Gonca Gokce Menekse Dalveren; Mohammad Derawi, "Covid-19 and E-Learning: An Exploratory Analysis of Research Topics and Interests in E-Learning During the Pandemic", Volume: 10, Publisher: IEEE, Date of Publication: 21 November 2022.

[2].Hana Eljak; Ashraf Osman Ibrahim; Fakhreldin Saeed; Ibrahim Abaker Targio Hashem; Abdelzahir Abdelmaboud, Hassan Jamil Syed, Anas Waleed Abulfaraj, Mohd Arfian Bin Ismail, Abubakar Elsafi, "E-Learning-Based Cloud Computing Environment: A Systematic Review, Challenges, and Opportunities" , Volume: 12, Publisher: IEEE, Date of Publication: 04 December 2023.

[3]. Hanan Aldowah; Hosam Al-Samarraie; Samar Ghazal, "How Course, Contextual, and Technological Challenges are Associated With Instructors' Individual Challenges to Successfully Implement E-Learning: A Developing Country Perspective", Volume: 7, Publisher: IEEE, Date of Publication: 11 April 2019.

[4]. Jian-Wei Lin, Hao-Chiang Koong Lin, Hong-Ren Chen, "Developing an E-Learning Platform Capable of Being Aware of Self-Regulated Learning Behaviors of Role Models", Volume: 15, Issue: 6, 01 December 2022, Publisher: IEEE, Date of Publication: 22 August 2022

[5]. Khalid Benabbes; Khalid Housni; Brahim Hmedna; Ahmed Zellou; Ali El Mezouary, "A New Hybrid Approach to Detect and Track Learner's Engagement in e-Learning", Volume: 11, Publisher: IEEE, Date of Publication: 10 July 2023.

[6]. Mariam M. Al-Tarabily; Rehab F. Abdel-Kader; Gamal Abdel Azeem; Mahmoud I. Marie, "Optimizing Dynamic Multi-Agent Performance in E-Learning Environment", Volume: 6, , Publisher: IEEE, **Date of Publication:** 15 June 2018.

[7]. Mohammed Amin Almaiah; Ibrahim Youssef Alyoussef, "Analysis of the Effect of Course Design, Course Content Support, Course Assessment and Instructor Characteristics on the Actual Use of E-Learning System", Volume: 7, Publisher: IEEE, Date of Publication: 27 November 2019.

[8]. Pau Xiberta; Santiago Thió-Henestrosa; Joan Fontàs; Imma Boada, "The Experience of Using a New e-Learning Tool in Architectural Studies", Volume: 10, Publisher: IEEE, Date of Publication: 16 August 2022.

[9]. Pedro J. Munoz-Merino, Carlos Delgado Kloos, Mario Munoz-Organero, "Enhancement of Student Learning Through the Use of a Hinting Computer e-Learning System and Comparison With Human Teachers", Volume: 54, Issue: 1, February 2011, Publisher: IEEE, Date of Publication: 29 March 2010.

[10]. Said A. Salloum; Ahmad Qasim Mohammad Alhamad; Mostafa Al-Emran; Azza Abdel Monem; Khaled Shaalan, "Exploring Students' Acceptance of E-Learning Through the Development of a Comprehensive Technology Acceptance Model", Volume: 7, Publisher: IEEE, Date of Publication: 05 September 2019.

[11]. Shabnam Mohamed Aslam; Abdul Khader Jilani; Jabeen Sultana; Laila Almutairi, "Feature Evaluation of Emerging E-Learning Systems Using Machine Learning: An Extensive Survey", Volume: 9, Publisher: IEEE, Date of Publication: 05 May 2021.

[12]. Vijayalaxmi N. Rathod; R. H. Goudar; Anjanabhargavi Kulkarni; Dhananjaya G M; Geetabai S. Hukkeri, "A Survey on E-Learning Recommendation Systems for Autistic People", Volume: 12, Publisher: IEEE, Date of Publication: 18 January 2024.

[13]. Yen-Ni Tsai, Ming-Nan Chen, Chih-Chiang Fang, "The Study on the Acceptance and Learning Effectiveness of Using E-Learning for Students in Fine Art and Design Colleges", Volume: 12, Publisher: IEEE, Date of Publication: 18 March 2024.