Effect of Process Parameter during TIG Welding on SS304

Gaurang Baria, Sagar Bhadeshia, Visu Sharma, Shubham Vaishnav, Shivam Pandit

GAURANG BARIA, Mechanical Department, Neotech institute of technology, Gujarat, India SAGAR BHADESHIA, Mechanical Department, Neotech institute of technology, Gujarat, India VISU SHARMA, Mechanical Department, Neotech institute of technology, Gujarat, India SHUBHAM VAISHNAV, Mechanical Department, Neotech institute of technology, Gujarat, India SHIVAM PANDIT, Mechanical Department, Neotech institute of technology, Gujarat, India

ABSTRACT

Gas Tungsten Arc welding (GTAW) or Tungsten Inert Gas (TIG) is an electric arc welding process, which produces an arc between a non-consumable tungsten electrode and the work to be welded. TIG is used very commonly in areas, such as rail car manufacturing, automotive and chemical industries. Stainless steel is extensively used in industries as an important material, because of its excellent corrosion resistance. TIG welding is one of the welding processes, often used to weld similar and dissimilar metals. The major areas of research have been in characterization of weld, parameter optimization and strength of welded joints. This paper is aimed at, to give a brief idea about the research works done in the past, on TIG welding of stainless steel by various researchers, by highlighting the important conclusions and results arrived at and thereby providing the right direction for fresh researchers as well as for practical field.

Keyword: - TIG Welding, SS-304, Radiography Test, Tensile Test

1. PROBLEM DEFINITION

Design of Experiments refers to the process of planning, designing and analyzing the experiment so that valid and objective conclusions can be drawn effectively and efficiently. In order to draw statistically sound conclusions from the experiment, it is necessary to integrate simple and powerful statistical methods into the experimental design methodology. The success of any industrially designed experiment depends on sound planning, appropriate choice of design and statistical analysis of data and teamwork skills.

The three principles of experimental design such as randomization, replication and blocking can be utilized in industrial experiments to improve the efficiency of experimentation. These principles of experimental design are applied to reduce or even remove experimental bias. It is important to note that large experimental bias could result in wrong optimal settings or in some cases it could mask the effect of the really significant factors. Thus, an opportunity for gaining process understanding is lost, and a primary element for process improvement is overlooked.

1.1 OBJECTIVE OF WORK:

- Analysis The analytical values will help user to perform the task.
- Strength For different welding parameters strength will be derived.
- Quality It will Improve the quality of the product.
- Reduce Time Consumption.
- Skill It will improve skill of the worker.

2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY

2.1 COMPONENTS:

(1) SPECIMEN



Fig. SPECIMEN SS-304 WITH TIG WELD

Type 304 is a variation of the basic 18-8 grade, type 302, with a higher chromium and lower carbon content. Lower carbon minimizes chromium carbide precipitation due to welding and its susceptibility to intergranular corrosion. In many instances, it can be used in the "as-welded" condition, while type 302 must be annealed in order to retain adequate corrosion resistance

2.2 EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:

- Test specimen size 250*18.6*5.03 mm (9nos).
- Universal Testing Machine, capacity up to 100KN with data acquisition as per ASTM E 1852.
- TIG welding machine of 3phase, 400V, 50HZ.
- M1TR Milling machine with DRO and other standard accessories of table size (1270*254mm).
- X-ray Radiography testing machine.

2.3 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE:

- The raw material i.e. SS-304 was machined on M1TR milling machine using jig & fixtures.
- It was not easy to maintain specimen over fixture while machining.
- After machining the specimen, parting was carried out with use of hacksaw machine.
- Edge preparation of specimen was carried on grinding machine with help of skilled labor.
- After machining TIG welding was done.
- Welding slag and uneven surface on specimen was present after welding so surface finish was done on grinding machine.

• After surface finishing the specimen was handed to the laboratory for ultimate tensile strength test & radiography test.

2.4 OBSERVATION TABLE:

Parameters	Sample	Area	Ultimate load(N)	U.T.S(min. 515 Mpa)
	1	94.46	42880	453.9
CURRENT	2	93.56	34840	372.4
	3	90.40	46240	511.5
	4	92.72	40280	434.4
TIME	5	93.15	47740	512.5
(at55amp)	6	95.57	40560	424.4
6 11	7	94.46	42640	451.4
NO. OF PASSES	8	93.50	38520	412.0
	9	92.07	30880	335.4

2.5 GRAPH:

(1) Sample 1:

MET-HEAT ENGINEERS PVT. LTD.

857/2,G.I.D.C.,INDUSTRIAL ESTATE,MAKARPURA VADODARA-390010 Machine No : 3

(1)

Test Date : 17-04-2017

Input Paramete	ers .	a the second second	Results	1. A.
Batch No.	5 74° 4	Ultimate Load	(kN)	42.80 .
Serial No.	56-ND-2017	Ult.Tensile Strength (N/mm²)	453.949
Specimen Type	Flat			1
Width (mm)	19.200			42
Thickness (mm)	4.920		8497C	
C/S Area (mm²)	94.464	1.5		
Original Gauge Length	0.000	1. S. S		2.1
Final Gauge Length (mm)	0.000			
Pre Load (%)	0.200	1 2		
¥				
	* 8 ***	1 N N		
		1. F		
				10



2. Sample 2-

MET-HEAT ENGINEERS PVT. LTD. (2). 857/2,G.I.D.C., INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, MAKARPURA **VADODARA-390010** Machine No: 3 Test Date : 17-04-2017 Input Parameters Results Ultimate Load (kN) 34.84 Batch No. 372,381 Serial No. 48-ND-2017 Ult.Tensile Strength (N/mm*) Specimen Type Flat Width (mm) 18.600 Thickness (mm) 5.030 C/S Area (mm²) 93.558 Original Gauge Length 0.000 Final Gauge Length (mm) 0.000 Pre Load (%) 0.200



www.ijariie.com

3. Sample 3

js:

MET-HEAT ENGINEERS PVT. LTD.

(3)

857/2,G.I.D.C.,INDUSTRIAL ESTATE,MAKARPURA VADODARA-390010 Machine No : 3

Test Date : 17-04-2017

Input Parame	ters .	Results		
Batch No.	. OLX CI	Ultimate Load	(kN)	46.24
Serial No.	49-ND-2017	Ult.Tensile Strength	(N/mm=)	511.504
Specimen Type :	- Effect Flat			
Width (mm)	18.300			
Thickness (mm).	4,940	1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		
C/S Area (mm*)	90.402			a
Original Gauge Length	0.000	(a) × 2 de		6
Final Gauge Length (mm)	0.000			
Pre Load (%)	0.200			
31 Geo				1.0
	a 198 5	20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 -	1 197	*
4		100		21 22 marcan
		H H H		



(4)

4. Sample 4

MET-HEAT ENGINEERS PVT. LTD. 857/2,G.I.D.C.,INDUSTRIAL ESTATE,MAKARPURA VADODARA-390010 Machine No : 3

Test Date : 17-04-2017

Input Pa		Results			
Batch No.	1	F	Ultimate Load	(kN)	· 40.28
Serial No.		-50-ND-2017	Ult.Tensile Strength	(N/mm [₹]) :	434.426
Specimen Type	4	Flåt	1 th		1.5%2
Width (mm)	1	19.000	19 T V V	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Thickness (mm)	1	4.880			
C/S Area (mm#)	1	92.720			*3
Original Gauge Length	1	0.000		- N.	- 2. ₁₀₀
Final Gauge Length (mm)	\$1. Sec. 1	0.000	ST 121	199	
Pre Load (%)	21 3	0.200			
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10.00				
			1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		1.1
•					
		18 1			
		1864 K 0	12		



5. Sample 5

5

MET-HEAT ENGINEERS PVT. LTD. 857/2,G.I.D.C.,INDUSTRIAL ESTATE,MAKARPURA VADODARA-390010 Machine No : 3

Test Date : 17-04-2017

Input Parameters			Results		
Batch No.	14	-	Ultimate Load	(kN)	47,44
Serial No.	: 51	-ND-2017	Ult.Tensile Strength	(N/mm²)	509.286
Specimen Type		Flat			
Width (mm)		18.300	1		
Thickness (mm)		5.090			
C/S Area (mm*)	11.19	93.147			
Original Gauge Length	1	0.000	5 m 5 1	S - 10 - 10	8 2
Final Gauge Length (mm)	8	0.000		19 million -	
Pre Load (%)	1. C.	0.200	1		×.
	e				
 a d1 25 mm 		3	1.3		10.32
3. 21. 5			10 1		
100 C 100 C		. (C			1. 1
			24		





857/2,G.I.D	.C.,INDUSTRIA	LESTATE,MAK	ARPURA	(6)
	VADODA	KA-390010	10 A	AX
A DOLLAR BOARD	Machin	e No : 3		
			Test Date : 17-	04-2017
	a 6 1	78		
		2 A A	20 2	
			40.71	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1		
Input Parameter	'S		Results	
Batch No.		Ultimate Load	(KN)	40.56
Serial No.	52-ND-2017	Ult.Tensile Strengt	n (N/mm²)	424,401
Specimen Type	Flat	1. F. 1.		1970-
(Vidih (mm)	19.000			
Thickness (mm)	5.030			
Cifs Area (mm²)	95.570			
Odeinal Caune Length	- 0.000	1	e.	34
Cinal Course Length (mm)	0.000			
- Han Gauge Lenger (min)	0.200	8 X .		
rig Load (M)	17			
Tim	30 ⁺¹ /2 -			
3				
The second se	N 6280 .	Sat 188		
			1	
	mont (Terril	a) V Avir - /r	mm) V-Avis	load - (
Load Vs Displace	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	Load - (
Load Vs Displace	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	: Load - (
Load Vs Displace	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axi	Load - (
Load Vs Displace	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axi	: Load - (
Load Vs Displace	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) . Y-Axis	Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) . Y-Axis	Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	: Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000 30.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) . Y-Axis	: Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000 30.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000 30.000 25.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	<u>Load - (</u>
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000 30.000 25.000 Load (kl)	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000 30.000 25.000 Load (kl) 20.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000 30.000 25.000 Load (kN) 20.000 15.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000 30.000 25.000 Load (kN) 20.000 15.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000 30.000 25.000 Load (kN) 20.000 15.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000 30.000 25.000 Load (kN) 20.000 15.000 10.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000 30.000 25.000 Load (kN) 20.000 15.000 10.000 5.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000 25.000 Load (kN) 20.000 15.000 5.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	Load - (
Load Vs Displace 50.000 45.000 40.000 35.000 25.000 Load (kl) 20.000 15.000 10.000 5.000	ment (Tensi	ie) X-Axis - (r	nm) , Y-Axis	Load - (

MET-HEAT ENGINEERS PVT. LTD. 857/2,G.I.D.C.,INDUSTRIAL ESTATE,MAKARPURA (7) VADODARA-390010 Machine No : 3 Test Date : 17-04-2017

Input Parameters	Results		
Batch No. 53-ND-2017 Serial No. 53-ND-2017 Specimen Type Flat Width (mm) 19:200 Thickness (mm) 4.920 C/S Area (mm ²) 94:464 Original Gauge Length 0.000 Final Gauge Length (mm) 0.000 Pre Load (%) 0.200	Ultimate Load (kN) 42.64 Ult.Tensile Strength (N/mm²) 451.408		
	2 2		









9. Sample 9

 \mathbf{k}_{ij}





2.6 X-RAY RADIGRAPHY TEST RESULTS:



2.7 RESULTS:

SAMPLE	ULTIMATE LOAD(N)	U.T.S (at 55amp)	REMARKS
1	42880	453.9	Lack of penetration
2	34840	372.4	Lack of penetration
3	46240	511.5	Lack of penetration
4	40280	434.4	Lack of penetration
5	47740	512.5(max)	Lack of penetration
6	40560	424.4	Lack of penetration
7	42640	451.4	Lack of penetration
8	38520	412.0	Lack of penetration
9	30880	335.4(min)	Lack of penetration

3. CONCLUSIONS

- 1. From stress vs strain diagram & from observation table sample no.5 has highest value of U.G.S that is 47.44 mpa & Sample 9 has lowest value of U.G.S 30.82 mpa
- 2. There is lack of penetration in all the specimen and they are defected.

4. REFERENCES

- [1] A Review on SS-304/ss-304L, AK STEEL, July 2007, E-ISSN 7100-0098
- [2] The parameter and Equipment's Used in TIG welding: A Review, The International Journal of Engineering and Science (IJES), ISSN (e): 2319 1813 ISSN (p): 2319 1805, Volume 4.
- [3] Experimental Study on Activated Tungsten Inert Gas Welding-A Review paper, IJARIIE-ISSN (0)-2395-4396, Vol-2 Issue-3 2016
- [4] Thermo-mechanical analysis in TIG welding of S.S-304, 2016 IJEDR Volume 4, Issue 2 ISSN: 2321-9939
- [5] A review on effect of heat treatment on steel, International Research of Aeronautical and Mechanical Engineering, Vol.3 Issue 11, November 2015.
- [6] Universal testing machine for mechanical properties of thin materials, 317-322 AGOSTO 2010