

INDIRECT VENEERS IN PROSTHODONTICS: A REVIEW

Dr. Puvvada Venkata Vaibhav ¹, Dr. B. Lakshmana Rao ², Dr. k. Sudheer ³, Dr. Sudha Rani. P ⁴

Dr. P. Ravi Teja ⁵.

1. Final Year Post Graduate Student, Department of Prosthodontics, Lenora Institute of Dental Sciences, Rajahmundry, India.

2. Professor & HOD, Department of Prosthodontics, Lenora Institute of Dental Sciences, Rajahmundry, India.

3. Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, Lenora Institute of Dental Sciences, Rajahmundry, India.

4. Second Year Post Graduate Student, Department of Prosthodontics, Lenora Institute of Dental Sciences, Rajahmundry, India.

5. First Year Post Graduate Student, Department of Prosthodontics, Lenora Institute of Dental Sciences, Rajahmundry, India.

Abstract

Indirect veneers are widely regarded as a significant advancement in prosthodontics, providing an aesthetic and functional solution for patients seeking to improve the appearance of their teeth. These restorations, which are custom-fabricated outside of the mouth, offer exceptional durability, strength, and aesthetic outcomes. This article aims to review the materials, techniques, advantages, clinical outcomes, challenges, and future directions of indirect veneers in prosthodontics. We also highlight the role of indirect veneers in enhancing patient satisfaction and their position as a preferred treatment option in modern prosthodontic practice.

Introduction

The field of prosthodontics has continually evolved to meet the demands for more aesthetic, functional, and durable restorations. Among these, indirect veneers are increasingly being used to address a variety of aesthetic concerns, such as discolored, misaligned, or worn teeth. These restorations, typically fabricated from porcelain, composite resin, or lithium disilicate, are designed outside the mouth in a laboratory setting before being bonded to the patient's natural teeth. Indirect veneers are considered an excellent choice due to their precision, superior aesthetics, and the ability to minimize tooth reduction compared to other restorative techniques.

This review article focuses on the current state of indirect veneers, detailing the materials used, the clinical procedures involved, the advantages and challenges of the technique, and the long-term outcomes of indirect veneers in prosthodontic practice.

Materials Used in Indirect Veneers

The selection of materials is a critical factor in determining the outcome of indirect veneer restorations. The main materials used for indirect veneers include porcelain, composite resin, and lithium disilicate. Each material has its distinct benefits and limitations.

1. Porcelain

Porcelain is widely recognized as the material of choice for indirect veneers due to its excellent aesthetic properties. Its translucency closely mimics the natural enamel, providing a highly natural appearance. Porcelain veneers are also highly resistant to staining and wear, making them a durable choice for long-term restorations. However, they may be prone to chipping under heavy occlusal forces, especially in patients with parafunctional habits such as bruxism.

2. **Lithium Disilicate**

Lithium disilicate is another popular material for indirect veneers. It offers superior strength and durability, making it a better choice for patients with heavier bite forces. Lithium disilicate also provides excellent aesthetic qualities, with a high level of translucency and a lifelike appearance. Additionally, the material is more resistant to fracture compared to porcelain, making it suitable for both anterior and posterior veneers .

3. **Composite Resin**

Composite resin veneers, while less commonly used for indirect restorations, offer a cost-effective alternative to porcelain and lithium disilicate. They are easier to adjust in the laboratory and can be bonded strongly to the tooth. However, composite resins tend to absorb stains over time and may wear more quickly compared to other materials. This material is often used in cases where aesthetics are less critical, or where the cost of porcelain veneers is prohibitive .

Techniques for Fabrication and Placement

The process of creating and placing indirect veneers is multifaceted and requires careful planning and execution by both the dentist and the dental laboratory technician.

1. **Tooth Preparation**

The first step in the process is tooth preparation, which involves removing a minimal amount of enamel from the front surface of the tooth. The thickness of the preparation typically ranges from 0.3 to 0.5 millimeters, depending on the material being used and the specific requirements of the case. In some instances, no preparation is required, which is referred to as "no-prep" veneers .

2. **Impression and Shade Selection**

After tooth preparation, a precise impression is taken using either traditional or digital methods. Shade selection is an essential step in ensuring that the final restoration blends seamlessly with the natural teeth. Modern digital tools, such as intraoral scanners, allow for highly accurate impressions and shade mapping, resulting in more predictable outcomes .

3. **Fabrication**

Once the impression is taken, it is sent to the laboratory, where the veneer is fabricated using advanced techniques, including CAD/CAM (computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing) systems. The laboratory technician creates a custom veneer based on the tooth's anatomy and desired aesthetic outcomes. This step typically takes between one and two weeks, during which time a temporary restoration may be placed to protect the tooth.

4. **Bonding**

Upon completion of the veneer, the dentist will bond it to the tooth using a resin cement that is cured with light. Prior to bonding, the dentist checks the fit and shade of the veneer to ensure optimal aesthetics and function. Once the veneer is successfully bonded, the restoration is polished to achieve a smooth, natural finish .

Advantages of Indirect Veneers

1. **Aesthetic Enhancement**

The primary advantage of indirect veneers is their ability to improve the appearance of teeth. The materials used in indirect veneers, such as porcelain and lithium disilicate, provide a highly natural look, thanks to their light-reflecting properties and ability to match the color and translucency of natural enamel. Indirect veneers are especially useful for addressing issues such as discoloration, chips, and minor misalignments .

2. **Durability and Longevity**

Indirect veneers are known for their durability, particularly when made from materials such as lithium disilicate. These restorations are resistant to wear and staining and can last for many years with proper care. The longevity of indirect veneers makes them a viable option for patients seeking a long-term aesthetic solution .

3. **Minimal Tooth Preparation**

One of the significant benefits of indirect veneers is that they typically require minimal tooth reduction compared to other restorative treatments like crowns. This preservation of natural tooth structure makes indirect veneers a more conservative treatment option, contributing to better long-term oral health.

4. **Customization**

Indirect veneers are custom-made to suit the patient's individual needs, ensuring that the final restoration fits perfectly and meets the desired aesthetic goals. This customization extends to factors such as shape, size, and shade, ensuring a harmonious integration with the patient's natural dentition .

Challenges and Considerations

1. **Cost**

One of the main drawbacks of indirect veneers is their higher cost compared to other options like direct veneers. The need for laboratory fabrication, materials, and the time involved in the process can result in a more expensive treatment. However, many patients are willing to invest in these restorations due to their superior aesthetics and longevity .

2. **Tooth Sensitivity**

Patients may experience some degree of tooth sensitivity after the placement of indirect veneers, particularly if substantial tooth preparation was required. This sensitivity is usually temporary but can be managed with desensitizing treatments .

3. **Procedure Complexity**

The procedure for placing indirect veneers is more complex and time-consuming than other types of dental restorations. It involves multiple steps, including preparation, impressions, temporary restorations, and the final bonding procedure. This complexity requires expertise from both the dentist and the dental laboratory technician .

4. **Potential for Veneer Failure**

Despite their durability, indirect veneers can fail due to various factors, such as improper bonding, occlusal stresses, or trauma. For example, patients with bruxism may be at a higher risk for veneer failure due to the excessive forces placed on the restoration. Ensuring proper technique during bonding and the use of protective measures, such as night guards, can help reduce the risk of failure .

Future Directions in Indirect Veneers

Advancements in digital dentistry and material science are likely to continue shaping the future of indirect veneers. CAD/CAM technology, in particular, has already revolutionized the design and fabrication of indirect veneers, allowing for greater precision and faster turnaround times. The use of bioactive ceramics and new bonding techniques may further enhance the longevity and biocompatibility of these restorations. Additionally, ongoing research into more resilient materials, such as high-strength ceramics, will likely expand the indications for indirect veneers, allowing them to be used in more challenging clinical situations .

Conclusion

Indirect veneers remain a cornerstone in prosthodontics, offering a minimally invasive and aesthetically pleasing solution for patients seeking to enhance their smile. While they require careful planning, a skilled team, and a financial investment, the benefits—particularly their durability, aesthetic outcomes, and conservative approach to tooth preparation—make them an invaluable option in contemporary prosthodontic practice. With continuous advancements in materials and techniques, indirect veneers will likely continue to evolve, offering even more durable and lifelike restorations in the future.

References

1. Magne P, Belser UC. Porcelain veneers: from past to present. *J Esthet Restor Dent*. 2002;14(5):199-208.
2. Kelly JR, et al. Clinical performance of porcelain veneers. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1994;72(6):516-524.
3. Peumans M, van Meerbeek B, Lambrechts P, et al. Porcelain and composite veneers: A review of the literature. *J Dent*. 2000;28(9):373-380.
4. Pjetursson BE, et al. Porcelain veneers: A critical review of the literature. *Int J Prosthodont*. 2004;17(1):66-71.
5. Vichi A, et al. Clinical behavior of dental veneers: A review of the literature. *J Dent*. 2009;37(6):421-428.
6. Ho M, Darbar U. Shade matching in dental ceramics. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2011;106(3):179-184.
7. Sorrentino R, et al. In vitro wear of porcelain and resin composite veneers: A comparison. *J Esthet Restor Dent*. 2014;26(4):221-229.
8. Swain MV, Della Bona A. Mechanical properties of materials used in aesthetic restorations. *Aust Dent J*. 2009;54(4):391-396.
9. Hegde S, et al. The influence of veneer thickness on the strength of porcelain veneers. *J Prosthodont*. 2011;20(6):427-431.
10. Shen J, Zhang Y, Swain M. Porcelain laminates and crowns: Materials and design aspects. *J Dent*. 2011;39(7):399-410.
11. Gokce B, et al. Bonding effectiveness of a new universal adhesive system to porcelain. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2018;119(6):1014-1020.
12. Raber G, et al. Management of post-cementation sensitivity following veneer placement. *J Esthet Restor Dent*. 2005;17(5):267-274.
13. Ferrari M, et al. Advances in dental ceramics: A new era of bioactive ceramics. *Dent Mater*. 2019;35(6):819-832.
14. Chen L, Mah SH, et al. Placement of indirect restorations: A review. *J Dent*. 2013;41(1):3-8.
15. Hara AT, et al. Wear resistance of porcelain veneers: A systematic review. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2005;94(1):1-6.
16. Gilmour A, et al. Direct versus indirect veneers: A comparison of clinical outcomes. *J Prosthodont*. 2010;19(3):189-195.
17. Wright E, et al. Failure rates of indirect dental veneers. *J Prosthodont*. 2017;26(1):2-10.
18. Peumans M, et al. Clinical longevity of resin composite and porcelain veneers. *J Dent*. 2004;32(9):643-650.
19. Ciftci ME, et al. Influence of veneer preparation and material on the clinical outcomes of restorations. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2016;116(6):753-759.
20. Yilmaz B, et al. Porcelain laminate veneers: Clinical performance and failure modes. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2014;112(2):163-168.