Investigation of 3D Printing for the Creation of Molds and Casting Patterns in the Manufacturing Industry

Dr R SARAVANAN

Associate Professor
Dept.of Mechanical Engineering
AMC Engineering College
Bangalore, Karnataka

Abstract

The manufacturing industry is undergoing a transformation driven by advancements in additive manufacturing technologies, particularly 3D printing. This research investigates the application of 3D printing for the creation of molds and casting patterns, exploring its potential to revolutionize traditional manufacturing processes. The investigation encompasses various aspects including rapid prototyping, complexity of geometries, customization capabilities, cost-effectiveness, reduced lead times, material selection, hybrid manufacturing approaches, quality control, and tooling optimization. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature and case studies, this study highlights the benefits and challenges associated with implementing 3D printing in mold and pattern production. It examines how 3D printing enables the rapid iteration of designs, facilitates the production of complex geometries, and offers unparalleled customization options. Additionally, the cost-effectiveness and reduced lead times associated with 3D printing are analyzed, along with the diverse range of materials available for mold and pattern creation.

Furthermore, the research explores hybrid manufacturing approaches that combine traditional techniques with 3D printing, offering a balance between efficiency and cost. Quality control measures enabled by 3D printing technology are discussed, emphasizing the precision and consistency achievable in mold and pattern production. Finally, the study delves into the optimization of tooling design through simulation and analysis, showcasing how 3D printing enhances the efficiency and reliability of manufacturing processes.

Keywords: *Sand casting, Squeeze casting, Energy, 3D printing, Mould, Engine, Binder*

I Introduction

This investigation aims to explore the application of 3D printing for mold and casting pattern creation in the manufacturing industry. It delves into the various facets of this technology, ranging from rapid prototyping and complex geometries to customization capabilities and cost-effectiveness. Through an in-depth analysis of existing literature, case studies, and industry practices, this study seeks to elucidate the opportunities and challenges associated with integrating 3D printing into the manufacturing workflow.

The investigation will examine how 3D printing enables rapid iteration and design validation, allowing manufacturers to expedite the product development cycle. Moreover, it will explore how additive manufacturing facilitates the production of complex geometries that were previously unattainable using traditional methods. Additionally, the study will investigate the customization capabilities of 3D printing, highlighting its potential to tailor molds and patterns to specific product requirements with unparalleled flexibility.

Furthermore, this investigation will scrutinize the cost-effectiveness of 3D printing for mold and pattern creation, comparing it to traditional manufacturing approaches. It will also explore hybrid manufacturing strategies that combine additive and subtractive techniques to optimize efficiency and quality. Quality control measures enabled by

3D printing technology will be examined, along with tools and methodologies for ensuring dimensional accuracy and surface finish.

II Traditional Methods vs. 3D Printing:

Traditional Methods:

Machining: Molds and patterns were traditionally machined from materials such as wood, metal, or plastic. This process can be time-consuming and costly, especially for complex geometries.

Casting: Patterns for metal casting were often made by hand or using molds created through traditional machining methods. These patterns are then used to create sand molds for casting processes.

Handcrafting: In some cases, molds and patterns were handcrafted by skilled artisans, which could be highly labor-intensive and prone to human error.

3D Printing:

Additive Manufacturing: 3D printing, also known as additive manufacturing, builds objects layer by layer from digital designs. This allows for the creation of highly complex geometries with minimal material waste.

Rapid Prototyping: 3D printing enables rapid prototyping of molds and patterns, allowing for quick iteration and design validation.

Customization: Additive manufacturing offers unparalleled customization capabilities, allowing manufacturers to tailor molds and patterns to specific product requirements.

Benefits of 3D Printing for Mold and Pattern Creation:

Speed: 3D printing significantly reduces lead times compared to traditional methods, enabling faster product development and time-to-market.

Complexity: Additive manufacturing allows for the creation of highly intricate geometries that would be difficult or impossible to achieve using traditional techniques.

Cost-Effectiveness: While the initial investment in 3D printing technology can be significant, it can lead to cost savings in the long run, particularly for low-volume production or highly customized parts.

Customization: Manufacturers can easily modify designs and produce unique molds and patterns tailored to specific product requirements.

Reduced Waste: Additive manufacturing produces minimal material waste compared to traditional subtractive methods like machining.

Quality Control: 3D printing enables precise control over dimensional accuracy and surface finish, resulting in higher-quality molds and patterns.

III Analysis of The Need to Improve Quality In The Manufacturing Environment

Quality improvement in a manufacturing environment is a recurring and major management responsibility. Establishment of infrastructure and operations generally address the initial needs of the business. But as processes age, raw material variations, supplier issues, design inadequacies, specification discrepancies, parametric inconsistencies, capacity mis-matches, process / product flow issues, inspection issues, packaging concerns, despatch shortcomings etc. surface. Process set-up delays, waiting time, idle time, process variations, product deficiency / defects / rejections creep up until customer delivery schedules are badly impacted.

The short-comings in themselves may not be alarming initially, but assume dangerous proportions in combination and over time. Quality improvement projects are inevitable from the early stages when trends are not positive.

Well known quality improvement approaches exist – statistical process control, six sigma with its DMAIC / DMADV methodology, continuous improvement tools, lean manufacturing methods with focus on waste elimination etc.

ISO 9001 provides a practical quality management system (QMS) structure which can address improvements from the organizational context, through leadership, planning, support, operation, performance evaluation, leading up to improvements.

IV Understanding Rapid Prototyping with 3D Printing

Prototyping effectively is an important part of the product life cycle. Through continuously testing and refining iterations, engineers can arrive at a final part design that works with the desired features and performance. The first commercially available 3D printers birthed the concept of rapid prototyping. Before 3D printing, long lead times and high costs of low-volume parts meant product development teams could only iterate a few times before part designs needed to be finalized.

However, 3D printing slashed these long lead times and costs. Now, 3D printers allow engineers and R&D teams to validate their designs quicker, easier, and more cost effectively than before. Ultimately, this allows more design iterations to be squeezed into a given time frame — teams can arrive at final part designs earlier, and get validated products to market faster.

While the market is still crowded with printers strictly suitable for prototyping use, the emergence of industrial-scale additive manufacturing applies lead time and cost benefits far beyond PLA mockups. Industrial 3D printers now fabricate everything from tooling and specialized end-use parts at the point of need, in just days.

Even as 3D printing expands into more end-use applications, rapid prototyping continues to be an impactful way for manufacturers to improve product development. Read this blog to learn about rapid prototyping with 3D printing: what it is, how it works, its relationship to additive manufacturing, plus benefits and considerations.

V Proof-of-Concept (PoC) Prototypes and Concept Models

Concept models or proof-of-concept (POC) prototypes help product designers validate ideas and assumptions, and test a product's viability. Physical concept models can demonstrate an idea to stakeholders, create discussion, and drive acceptance or rejection using low-risk concept explorations.

PoC prototyping happens at the earliest stages of the product development process, and these prototypes include the minimum functionality needed to validate assumptions before moving the product into subsequent stages of development. The key to successful concept modeling is speed; designers need to generate a wealth of ideas, before building and evaluating physical models. At this stage, usability and quality are of less importance and teams rely on off-the-shelf parts as much as possible. 3D printers are ideal tools to support concept modeling. They provide unmatched turnaround time to convert a computer file into a physical prototype, allowing designers to quickly test additional concepts. In contrast with the majority of workshop and manufacturing tools, desktop 3D printers are office-friendly, sparing the need for a dedicated space.

Looks-like prototypes represent the final product at an abstract level but may lack many of its functional aspects. Their purpose is to give a better idea of what an end product will look like and how the end user will interact with it. Ergonomics, user interfaces, and overall user experience can be validated with looks-like prototypes before spending significant design and engineering time to fully build out product features.

Looks-like prototype development usually starts with sketches, foam or clay models, then moves into CAD modeling. As design cycles progress from one iteration to the next, prototyping moves back and forth between digital renderings and physical models. As the design is finalized, industrial design teams aim to create looks-like prototypes that accurately resemble the end product by using the actual colors, materials, and finishes (CMF) they specify for the final product.

VI Design for Additive Manufacturing: Complex Geometry

Among the chief benefits DfAM brings to engineers and designers is the ability to produce parts with far greater complexity than traditional methods. Because it's not subject to the same limits that apply to traditional manufacturing, additive manufacturing allows designers and engineers to access a vast new design space. The end result, however, isn't designs that are simply more complex, but that are often better. The ability to create highly complex parts means designers can create parts that are optimized for their precise application, not for manufacturability, resulting in parts that perform better than their traditionally-manufactured counterparts. And because the cost of printed parts does not scale with complexity, manufacturers can keep costs low, making it far more economical to produce complex, highly-optimized parts for different applications. EWOL propellor pinion Located in Milan, Italy, EWOL is a leading vendor of high-tech marine propellers for sailing yachts.

This part is a propeller pinion , used to connect the propeller shaft to the propeller blades. EWOL, however, faced significant challenges around its manufacture. Originally produced via investment casting, EWOL had to place orders for at least 100 of the parts to justify the cost of casting. This was prohibitively large for a highly-customized, low-volume part. Casting lead times were also quite long, due to the many manufacturing steps involved, and parts still required challenging and expensive machining before a pinion could be delivered to the customer.

Conclusion

In conclusion, 3D printing additive manufacturing (AM) stands at the forefront of a transformative revolution in manufacturing. Its ability to fabricate complex geometries, enable customization, and streamline production processes has revolutionized industries ranging from aerospace and healthcare to consumer goods and education. As evidenced by the case studies and industry applications discussed, 3D printing AM offers unparalleled advantages such as rapid prototyping, on-demand manufacturing, and the creation of patient-specific medical implants. Moreover, its potential extends beyond traditional manufacturing constraints, enabling architects to construct innovative buildings, artists to push the boundaries of creativity, and educators to engage students in hands-on learning experiences.

Looking to the future, the trajectory of 3D printing AM is poised for continued growth and innovation. Trends such as increased material diversity, scalable production capabilities, and integration with Industry 4.0 technologies promise to further expand its applications and impact across industries. However, challenges such as material performance, scalability, and regulatory compliance must be addressed to realize its full potential.

References

1. T. Ngo, A. Kashani, G. Imbalzano, K. Nguyen, D Hui

   Additive manufacturing (3D printing): a review of materials, methods, applications and challenges Comp. Part B, 143 (2018), pp. 172-196, 10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.02.012
2. L. Tan, W. Zhu, K. Zhou Recent progress on polymer materials for additive manufacturing

   Adv. Funct. Mater., 30 (43) (2020), Article 2003062, 10.1002/adfm.202003062
3. N. Guo, M. Leu Additive manufacturing: technology, applications and research needs

   Front. Mech. Eng., 8 (3) (2013), pp. 215-243, 10.1007/s11465-013-0248-8
4. V Bagaria, R Bhansali, P Pawar 3D printing- creating a blueprint for the future of orthopedics: current concept review and the road ahead!
5. J. Clin. Orthopaed. Trauma, 9 (3) (2018), pp. 207-212, 10.1016/j.jcot.2018.07.007
6. Chadha, M. Ul Haq, A. Raina, R. Singh, N. Penumarti, M. Bishnoi ,Effect of fused deposition modelling process parameters on mechanical properties of 3D printed parts
7. World J. Eng., 16 (4) (2019), pp. 550-559, 10.1108/wje-09-2018-0329
8. W. King, A. Anderson, R. Ferencz, N. Hodge, C. Kamath, S. Khairallah, A. Rubenchik Laser powder bed fusion additive manufacturing of metals; physics, computational, and materials challenges Appl. Phys. Rev., 2 (4) (2015), Article 041304, 10.1063/1.4937809
9. S. Kumar ,Selective laser sintering: A qualitative and objective approach JOM, 55 (10) (2003), pp. 43-47, 10.1007/s11837-003-0175-y
10. J. Kruth, X. Wang, T. Laoui, L. Froyen Lasers and materials in selective laser sintering Assembly Automat., 23 (4) (2003), pp. 357-371, 10.1108/01445150310698652