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ABSTRACT
Neural networks are being used to solve all kinds of problems from a wide range of disciplines. The topic is highly interdisciplinary in nature, and so it is extremely difficult to develop an introductory and comprehensive treatise on the subject in a short manuscript. An LMI(linear matrix inequality) approach and an embedding technique are employed to derive some sufficient conditions for the global exponential stability of discrete-time neural networks with time-dependent delays and constant parameters. An discrete recurrent neural network described by a set of difference equations may be used to approximate uniformly a state-space trajectory produced by either a discrete time nonlinear system or a continuous function on a closed discrete-time interval.
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INTRODUCTION
When a neural network updated discretely, the model describing the network is in the form of system of differential equations. Also, in numerical simulations and practical implementation of a continuous-time neural network, discretization is needed, which leads again to a system of difference equations. Therefore it is of both theoretical and practical importance to study the dynamics of discrete-time neural networks. In this paper, we consider the discrete-time neural network model with constant parameters and variable delays,

\[ x_i(n+1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j \left(n-k(n)\right) \right) + I_i, \quad n = 0,1,2,... \]

And a model with time-dependent parameters and constant delay,

\[ x_i(n+1) = a_i(n) x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j \left(n-k(n)\right) \right) + I_i, \quad n = 0,1,2,... \]

In, \[ x_i(n+1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j \left(n-k(n)\right) \right) + I_i, \quad n = 0,1,2,... \]

\(0 \leq k(n) \leq k\) (nor necessarily increasing \(a \in (0,1)\), \(i \in \{1,2,...,m\} \Rightarrow N(1,m)\).

In, \[ x_i(n+1) = a_i(n) x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j \left(n-k\right) \right) + I_i(n), \quad n = 0,1,2,... \]

\(a_i(n) \rightarrow a_i, \quad w_{ij}(n) \rightarrow w_{ij}, \quad I_i(n) \rightarrow I_i \) as \(n \rightarrow \infty, \quad i,j \in N(1,m).\)
We will apply an LMI approach and an embedding technique to derive some delay-dependent and delay-independent conditions under the system

\[ x_i(n+1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j(n-k(n)) \right) + I_i, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

Admits a unique equilibrium and which is globally exponentially stable.

We point out the LMI approach has been used by Liao, Chen and Snachez to establish some stability criteria for delayed continuous-time neural networks and for discrete-time periodic systems. In this paper, we attempt to establish some LMI based stability criteria for the discrete time neural network model

\[ x_i(n+1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j(n-k(n)) \right) + I_i, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

Which can be easily tested by efficient and reliable algorithms.

Where an embedding techniques was used for a simple discrete-time neural network model having specific performance, and for the attractivity of some Hopfield type continuous-time neural networks with delays, we apply the embedding technique to system,

\[ x_i(n+1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j(n-k(n)) \right) + I_i, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

To derive some sufficient conditions for its exponential stability. That system

\[ x_i(n+1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j(n-k) \right) + I_i, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

Has the anamolous system

\[ x_i(n+1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j(n-k(n)) \right) + I_i, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

As its limiting system. In this paper, we will obtain a convergence result for the asymptotically autonomous system

\[ x_i(n+1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j(n-k) \right) + I_i, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

By relating (2) to (1).

i.e.,

\[ x_i(n+1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j(n-k) \right) + I_i(n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

to

\[ x_i(n+1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j(n-k(n)) \right) + I_i(n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

With relatively chain transitive sets. In section 2 we establish some criteria for exponential stability of,

\[ x_i(n+1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j(n-k(n)) \right) + I_i(n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

By combining the LMI approach, Liapunov functional method, embedding technique and the comparison method for discrete monotone system. In section 3 is devoted to the convergence of the asymptotic discrete-time

neural networks, \( x_i(n+1) = a_i(x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j(n-k) \right) + I_i(n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)

In which the chain transitive set and the strong attractivity theorem play a crucial role.
**EXPONENTIAL STABILITY OF**

\[ x_i(n + 1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j(n - k(n)) \right) + I_i, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

We use the following notations: \( \lambda_{\text{max}}(W) \)

The largest eigenvalue of the symmetric matrix \( W; \lambda_{\text{max}}(W) \)

The smallest eigenvalue of the symmetric matrix \( W; W^T \)

The transpose of the matrix \( W; W^{-1} \)

The inverse of the matrix \( W; \|x\| = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2) \)

The Euclidean norm of the vector \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)^T \in \mathbb{R}^m \) and \( \|W\| = (\max_{\lambda}(W^T W))^{\frac{1}{2}} \)

The matrix norm introduced by the Euclidean vector norm. The initial condition associated with

\[ x_i(n + 1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j(n - k(n)) \right) + I_i, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

Are of the form,

\[ x_i(k) = \phi_i(k), \quad i = N(1, m), \quad \text{see} N(-k, 0). \tag{3} \]

Throughout this we assume that, For each \( i = N(1, m), g_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \)

Is globally Lipschitz continuous with, \( \sup_{u, v \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|g_i(u) - g_i(v)|}{|u - v|} = I_i \) and

\[ |g_i(u)| \leq M_i, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad M_i > 0. \]

If we let, \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)^T, A = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m), W = (w_{ij})_{n \times n}, I = (I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_m)^T, \)

\( g(x(n)) = (g_1(x_1(n)), g_2(x_2(n)), \ldots, g_m(x_m(n)))^T. \)

Then, \( x_i(n + 1) = a_i x_i(n) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{ij} g_j \left( x_j(n - k(n)) \right) + I_i, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)

Can be written in form of matrices:

\[ x(n + 1) = Ax(n) + Wg \left[ x(n - k(n)) \right] + I, \quad n \in N(0) \tag{4} \]

As usual, a vector \( x^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \ldots, x_m^*)^T. \) Is said to be the equilibrium of

\[ x(n + 1) = Ax(n) + Wg \left[ x(n - k(n)) \right] + I, \quad n \in N(0) \]

If it satisfies, \( x^* = Ax^* + Wg(g^*) + I. \) Based on our assumption on the activation functions, it is easily seems that \( x(n + 1) = Ax(n) + Wg \left[ x(n - k(n)) \right] + I, \quad n \in N(0). \) Admits atleast one equilibrium.
LMI based criteria: 

It follows $S \geq 0$ means the matrix $S$ is symmetric and positive definite. From the theory of matrices, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem:**

1. If $A > 0, B > 0, \alpha > 0$, then $A + B > 0, \alpha A > 0$;

2. $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} > 0$ if and only if $A_{11} > 0$ and $A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} > 0$;

3. For any real matrix $A, B, C$ and a scalar $\epsilon > 0$ with $C > 0$, the identity $A^T B + B^T A \leq \epsilon^{-1} A^T C A + \epsilon^{-1} B^T C^{-1} B$ holds.

**Proof:**

Suppose $x^*$ is an equilibrium system of $x(n + 1) = Ax(n) + Wg[x(n - k(n))] + I, \quad n \in N(0)$.

And let $y(n) = x(n) - x^*$ and $f(y(n)) = g(x(n)) - g(x^*)$. Then the stability of equilibrium $x^*$ of $x(n + 1) = Ax(n) + Wg[x(n - k(n))] + I, \quad n \in N(0)$ corresponds to that of the zero solution of the following system.

$$y(n + 1) = Ay(n) + Wf[y(n - k(n))]$$

It follows that $f$ has the property,

$$\|f(y)\| \leq \|L\|\|y\|$$

With $L = \text{diag}(l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_m)$.

**Theorem:**

Assume that the time-dependent delay $k(n)$ is the bounded satisfying $0 \leq k(n) \leq k$ and $\Delta k(n) = k(n + 1) - k(n) < 1$. If there exist two squares $Q > 1, \epsilon > 0$ and two matrices $P > 0, R > 0$ such that,

$$\begin{pmatrix} R & W^T P A \\ \epsilon \text{APW} & P - q^2 A P A - LQL \end{pmatrix} > 0$$

Then the equilibrium $x^*$ of $x(n + 1) = Ax(n) + Wg[x(n - k(n))] + I$, is exponentially stable. More precisely, for any solution $x(n)$ of
\[ x(n + 1) = Ax(n) + Wg \left[ x(n - k(n)) \right] + I, \]

The following inequality holds,

\[ \| x(n) - x^* \|^2 \leq q^{-n} C_1 \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \| x(n) - x^* \|^2 \]

where

\[ C_1 = \frac{\lambda_0 (P) + c \lambda_0 (Q)}{\lambda_0 (P)} \]

with \( c = \frac{1 - 2 \alpha}{q - 1} \)

And \( Q = q^{1+k} \epsilon R + q^{1+k} W^T PW > 0 \)

**Proof:**

Define \( V(n) = V(y(n)) \) by

\[ V(n) = q^n y^T (n) Py(n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-k(n)} q^{i-n} f^T (y(s)) Q f(y(s)) \] (9)

Then we have,

\[ \Delta V(n) = V(n + 1) \]

\[ = q^{n+1} y^T (n + 1) Py(n + 1) - q^n y^T (n) Py(n) + \sum_{i=n+1-k(n)}^{n-1} q^i f^T (y(s)) Q f(y(s)) - \sum_{i=n-k(n)}^{n-1} q^{i-n} f^T (y(n) - k(n)) Q f(y(n) - k(n)) \]

\[ \leq q^{n+1} y^T (n) P A_y (n) + q^n y^T (n) Py(n) + q^{n+1} f^T (y(n)) Q f(y(n)) + q^n y^T (n) A P W f (y(n) - k(n)) + f^T (y(n) - k(n)) W^T P A_y (n) + f^T (y(n) - k(n)) (q^{n+1} W^T PW - q^{n-k(n)} Q) f(y(n) - k(n)) \]

Letting \( B = W^T P A_y, C = R \),

\[ y^T (n) A P W f (y(n) - k(n)) + f^T (y(n) - k(n)) W^T P A_y (n) \leq f^T (y(n) - k(n)) R f(y(n) - k(n)) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} y^T (n) A P W R^{-1} W^T P A_y (n) \]

Which shows,

\[ \Delta V(n) \leq -q^n y^T (n) \left( P - q A P A - L Q L - \frac{Q}{\epsilon} A P W R^{-1} W^T P A \right) y(n) - q^{n-k(n)} f^T (y(n) - k(n)) (Q - W^T P W') f^T (y(n) - k(n)) \]

Recalling that \( Q = q^{1+k(n)} (\epsilon R + W^T PW) f^T (y(n) - k(n)) \), we know from before theorem.

i.e.,

(1) if \( A > 0, B > 0, \alpha > 0 \), then \( A + B > 0, \alpha A > 0 \);
(2) $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} > 0$ if and only if $A_{11} > 0$ and $A_{22} - A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} A_{12} > 0$.

(3) for any real matrix $A, B, C$ and a scalar $\epsilon > 0$ with $C > 0$, the identity $A^T B + B^T A \leq \epsilon A^T C A + \epsilon^{-1} B^T C^{-1} B$ holds.

Proof:

Suppose $x^*$ is an equilibrium system of $x(n + 1) = Ax(n) + Wg[x(n - k(n))] + I$, $n \in N(0)$. And let $y(n) = x(n) - x^*$ and $f(y(n)) = g(x(n)) - g(x^*)$. Then the stability of equilibrium $x^*$ of $x(n + 1) = Ax(n) + Wg[x(n - k(n))] + I$, $n \in N$.Corresponds to that of the zero solution of the following system: $y(n + 1) = Ay(n) + Wf[y(n - k(n))]$. It follows that $f$ has the property, $\|f(y)\| \leq L\|y\|$ With $Q = diag(I_{1}, I_{2}, ..., I_{m})$. That $Q > 0$ and $(Q - q^{k(n)}(R + W^T PW)) f^T (y(n - k(n)))$ this shows that, $\Delta V(n) \leq -\hat{q}^T y^T(n) \Omega y(n)$.

Where, $\Omega = P - qAP + LQL - \frac{\hat{q}}{q} APW R^{-1} W^T PA$ condition

$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{Q}{q} APW - LQL & W^T PA \\ e^{-R} PW & P - qAP + LQL \end{pmatrix} > 0$

And $\Omega > 0$, hence $\Delta V(n) \leq 0$. Therefore, we have

$V(y(n)) \leq V(0) = y^T(0) P y(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^k f^T(y(s)) Q f(y(s)) \leq \lambda_M(P) \|y(0)\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_M(Q) \|L\|^2 \|y(s)\|^2 \leq \frac{\lambda_M(P) \|y(0)\|^2 + \delta \lambda_M(Q) \|L\|^2}{\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|y\|^2}$

On the other hand, from the definition of $V(y(n))$ it follows that,

$V(y(n)) \geq q^n \lambda_m(P) \|y(n)\|^2$

We then obtain $\|y(n)\|^2 \leq q^{-n} \frac{\lambda_m(P) \|y(0)\|^2 + \delta \lambda_m(Q) \|L\|^2}{\lambda_m(P)} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|y(s)\|^2$

Which gives $\|x(n) - x^*\|^2 \leq q^{-n} C_\|x(n) - x^*\|^2$

Hence the proof.
Conclusion:

It has been have proved in this note that a discrete-time DRNN may be used to uniformly approximate a discrete-time state-space trajectory which is produced by either a dynamic system or a continuous-time function to any degree of precision. The analytical results show that some of hidden units of such a DRNN may be selected as output units of the network and the states of these output units may be used to uniformly approximate a desired state-space trajectory. The proof is used in this note is constructive and may be extended to study the approximation issue for other types of DRNN’s. also it has been indicated that this approximation process has to be carried out by an adaptive learning process.
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