ROBOTICS IN ASSISTIVE DEVICES FOR PAIN THERAPY – A REVIEW

Adhitya Dhavala [1], Darisi Kedhar Saandeep [2], J. Jeya Jeevahan*, R.B.Durairaj

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology

Chennai, Tamil Nadu, 600119.

ABSTRACT

Chronic pain is a major global healthcare challenge, affecting millions of people and lowering their quality of life. Traditional methods, such as medications and physical therapy, have demonstrated some efficacy, but there is growing interest in using robotics and assistive devices to revolutionize pain therapy. This review article looks at the current state of robotics in pain therapy assistive devices, focusing on emerging trends, challenges, and potential future directions.

KEYWORDS: - *Robotics, Pain, Pain Therapy, Assistive Devices, Medical Robotics, Robotics in Healthcare*

INTRODUCTION: -

Pain in older adults is a complicated issue with many different causes. Individuals' bodies change physiologically as they age, which contributes to the development of pain. Osteoarthritis, neuropathy, and fibromyalgia are all common causes (Reid et al., 2015). Fractures can be caused by age-related conditions such as osteoporosis, which are significant sources of pain in the elderly. Pain is also caused by chronic illnesses such as cancer and heart disease (Redfield et al., 2018). Medications used to treat these conditions may have side effects that cause pain. Depression and anxiety, for example, can exacerbate pain in older adults, while social isolation and loneliness can influence pain perception (Dale & Stacey, 2016). Because of communication difficulties and misconceptions about pain being a natural part of aging, pain in the elderly may go unreported and untreated. Comprehensive assessments and individualized care must be used by healthcare providers (Dale & Stacey, 2016).

Assistive devices are tools, technologies, or equipment that help people with disabilities improve their independence and quality of life (Sidhu et al., 2020). They come in a variety of shapes and sizes, serve a variety of functions, and are classified based on their intended function (Saborowski & Kollak, 2015). Wheelchairs, walkers, canes, speech-generating devices, hearing aids, cochlear implants, assistive listening devices, screen readers, magnifiers, Braille displays, adaptive utensils, dressing aids, teachers, cognitive impairments, memory issues, smart home systems, computer software, apps are examples of assistive technology(Meshram et al., 2019). These devices assist individuals with mobility impairments in moving around independently, assisting with speech and communication difficulties, amplifying sound or providing clearer audio, assisting with visual impairments, assisting with daily tasks, and promoting inclusivity(Karadagur Ananda Reddy et al., 2017). Technological advancements continue to broaden the range and effectiveness of these devices, creating new opportunities for independence (Leo et al., 2017).

The robotic pain therapy device is a game-changing advancement in medical technology that provides a personalized, real-time approach to pain management (Geenen et al., 2018)It adapts and evolves its therapy based on the patient's specific needs using advanced artificial intelligence and robotics technology (Hashimoto et al., 2020). The device continuously assesses pain levels and adjusts therapy using sensors, machine learning algorithms, and biofeedback mechanisms (Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Reasoning in Health Informatics—Case Studies, n.d.).It differs from other pain relief methods due to its non-invasive nature, which includes gentle massage, targeted pressure point stimulation, and thermal therapy. Because the device is highly portable, patients can receive therapy whenever and wherever they need it (O'Connell et al., 2018). It is controlled by a simple mobile app, allowing patients to tailor their pain management experience. This device has the potential to significantly improve people's quality of life (Lee et al., 2018).

2. ROBOTICS IN PAIN THERAPY: AN OVERVIEW: -

Assistive devices in pain therapy are tools and technologies that help patients with various medical conditions manage or alleviate pain. They improve the quality of life for acute or chronic pain patients and are frequently recommended by healthcare professionals. Canes, crutches, braces, and orthopedic shoes are necessary assistive devices for people suffering from musculoskeletal pain, injuries, or conditions such as osteoarthritis. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) machines stimulate specific body parts to reduce pain and promote relaxation. Wearable technology and mobile apps have become more common in pain therapy, allowing patients to track their pain and practice mindfulness techniques. AESOP, for example, has the potential to change medical procedures, but its clinical benefits are still unknown. SAR (socially assistive robotics) is a promising approach to reducing pain during medical procedures, improving cognitive abilities, reducing loneliness and depression, and improving function after stroke (Bussières et al., 2016; Feizerfan & Sheh, 2015; Glare et al., 2019; Gumasing et al., 2019).

Chronic pain is a complicated condition that is frequently treated with pharmacological analgesics. Virtual reality (VR) technologies, such as the "Virtual Meditative Walk" system, which uses biofeedback sensors and an immersive virtual setting to teach mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR), have been successful in treating severe pain. The Georgia Institute of Technology's Meditation Chamber uses VR to reduce stress levels as well. Mechanical circulatory assist devices (LVADs) are increasingly being used to treat severe heart failure, but healthcare professionals must understand their physiology, potential complications, diagnostic procedures, and treatment decision-making. Robots are increasingly being used to manage pain, with dementia patients being more likely to use them for both procedural and chronic pain. Kurt Semm's invention of laparoscopic surgery is regarded as the gold standard for minimizing discomfort, anxiety, and recovery time. Future research, however, must prioritize patient safety and medical ethics. Robotic surgery is a cutting-edge surgical technique that employs computer-controlled instruments with advanced sensing and display capabilities (Gromala et al., 2015; Straudi et al., 2016; Thai et al., 2020; Trost et al., 2019).

3. Robotic Exoskeletons: -

Since the development of the first robotic exoskeleton to determine the current state of the field, we conducted a bibliographic analysis. The analysis evaluated publications in the field of robotics. Biggest contribution to the field of robotic exoskeletons. Research on an average number of publications. Number of citations and h-index per publication.20 scientific journals measured by the number of publications. A collaborative network of robot exoskeletons and assistive devices (Bao et al., 2019).

The purpose of this research is to design and develop a new robotic device for upper limb rehabilitation. The focus is on a new symmetrical robot that can be used for rehabilitation of the right and left upper limbs. The purpose is to automatically provide electrical stimulation based on the condition of the muscle being rehabilitated. However, the proposed approach uses electrical stimulation for recovery, relaxation, and pain relief. Automatically activates the corresponding stimulation mode based on the detected EMG signal. Stimulation activation: manual preset mode and EMG control mode. The developed robotic device is symmetrical and reconfigurable.

A stimulator connected to the robot to improve the quality of the rehabilitation process. Stimulating the physical rehabilitation process allows effective rehabilitation sessions for neuromuscular upper extremity recovery. The software interface allows physical therapists to set exercise movement parameters, define stimulation modes, and record patients. Signal extraction – Functional electrical stimulation and robotic motor rehabilitation are the main techniques used. The main purpose of incorporating robots into the rehabilitation process is to compensate for the resulting loss of functionality. This stimulation technique can be used for pain relief, recovery, relaxation, and elimination (Bouteraa et al., 2020).

3.1 Soft Robotics: -

Soft robotics is a branch of robotics that focuses on the development of robots and robot components made of flexible and deformable materials. Unlike traditional rigid robots, soft robots are designed to mimic the mechanical properties and flexibility of living organisms such as animals and plants. This approach allows robots to interact with the environment in unique ways and perform tasks that are difficult for traditional robots (Albu-Schaffer et al., 2008). Soft robots are typically made of materials such as silicone, rubber, and elastomers and can bend, deform, and adapt to their environments. This flexibility is useful for tasks such as grasping irregularly shaped objects and navigating complex environments (Chua et al., 2019)Soft robotics can be used in a wide range of applications, including healthcare, search and rescue, exploration, and human-robot interaction(Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, n.d.).Soft robotics presents unique challenges in control, sensing, and materials, and the development of robust and reliable soft robots relies on advances in these areas to make them suitable for a variety of applications (Rus & Tolley, 2015). Soft robotics is a rapidly growing field with the potential to revolutionize the industry and improve human-robot interaction by giving robots the flexibility and adaptability to perform a wide range of tasks efficiently and safely. It's an evolving field (Yim et al., 2022).

3.1.3 Prosthetics and Orthotics: -

Prosthetics and orthotics are specialized fields of healthcare that focus on providing solutions for individuals with limb impairments or musculoskeletal conditions(Sunny et al., 2016). Prosthetics involves the design, manufacture, and adjustment of artificial limbs (prostheses) to replace missing or missing body parts (usually arms or legs). These devices are intended to restore mobility, function, and aesthetics to people who have suffered amputations or congenital limb defects. Prosthetists work closely with patients to create customized prosthetics that meet the patient's specific needs and preferences. Modern prosthetics are made from advanced materials such as carbon fiber and often include sophisticated mechanisms to mimic natural joint movements (Crowe et al., 2019). Orthotics is a medical field that deals with the development, manufacture, and use of orthotics. These are external devices that support, correct, and adjust different parts of the body, especially the musculoskeletal system. Orthopedic devices include braces, splints, and shoe inserts. Prosthetists work with patients to assess their condition and develop customized orthopedic solutions to correct problems such as limb deformities, joint instability, and spinal disorders. These devices can help improve posture, reduce pain, and increase mobility while providing structural support (Spaulding et al., 2020). Both prosthetics and orthotics aim to improve the quality of life for people with physical disabilities by providing customized solutions that support mobility, functionality, and comfort. Advances in materials and technology continue to drive innovation in these areas, resulting in increasingly effective and personalized devices for patients (Magnusson et al., 2019). In addition, multidisciplinary collaboration with prosthetists, orthotics, physical therapists, and other medical professionals ensures comprehensive care for people requiring prosthetics orthotics, and orthopedic procedures (ROSICKY et al., 2016).

3.2 Software and Control Systems: -

To ensure safe, accurate, and aligned operation, robotics in pain therapy rely on advanced control systems and software. Control algorithms enable robot movement precision and accuracy, while kinematic control determines the position and orientation of end-effectors (Wang, 2017). The system can adjust force, speed, and position based on real-time feedback from sensors such as force sensors and motion capture devices. To adapt therapy parameters based on patient data, machine learning algorithms can be integrated (Sathish & Dhanabalan, 2018). Artificial intelligence-powered systems can optimize treatment plans, predict patient responses, and personalize therapy. To protect patients, software systems must include fail-safes and emergency stop mechanisms(K. Rajan & Saffiotti, 2017). Intuitive user interfaces help healthcare professionals communicate with the robotic device, and patients can interact with the software to provide pain feedback (*Software DefineD HealtHcare Networks*, n.d.).

Robotics in pain therapy assistive devices is rapidly evolving, driven by miniaturization, machine learning, and AI. These advancements will make devices more portable and versatile, as well as improve real-time treatment adaptation. Collaboration among robotics engineers, medical professionals, and software developers will spur innovation and ensure that devices meet the needs of patients (Trost et al., 2019). The incorporation of robotic pain therapy devices into telehealth platforms will increase access to specialized care, particularly for patients who live in remote or underserved areas. Collaborative robots (cobots) will become more common, increasing the overall quality of care (Kragic et al., 2018).

By providing precision, personalization, and remote monitoring, robotics, and assistive devices are revolutionizing pain therapy. The integration of advanced control systems and software ensures that the system operates safely and effectively. As technology advances and challenges are addressed, the future of robotics in pain therapy appears promising, with the potential to improve the lives of countless people suffering from chronic pain.

3.2.1 Machine Learning and AI Algorithms: -

Robots are progressively being equipped with machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms, revolutionizing their capabilities and allowing them to do difficult jobs on their own. Robots can now learn from data, adapt to shifting settings, and make wise judgments thanks to these algorithms (Helm et al., 2020). Robots can recognize and comprehend their environment thanks to ML algorithms. Through the use of image and sensor data, they can recognize things, people, and barriers, which is essential for interaction and navigation (Alzubi et al., 2018). Robots can plan the best routes and move about on their own thanks to AI systems. They can make modifications in real-time to avoid hazards and get to their destinations without incident (Brougham & Haar, 2018). ML algorithms can make robots more socially aware, allowing them to understand human gestures, emotions, and intentions, making them safer and easier to use. By analyzing sensor data, AI algorithms predict when robotic systems need maintenance, reducing downtime and operational costs (Sarker, 2021). Integrating ML and AI algorithms into robots not only improves their capabilities but also makes them more adaptable to a wide range of applications, from manufacturing and medicine to agriculture and space exploration. These algorithms are constantly evolving, promising a future in which robots become smarter, more versatile, and integrated into our daily lives (Bharatiya & Bharadiya, 2023)**.**

3.2.2 Human-Machine Interaction: -

Human-machine interaction (HMI) is the term used to describe how people and machines communicate and interact, usually using different technologies and user interfaces. It is essential to how people engage with and manage tools, gadgets, Vehicles, and computer programs (S. Li et al., 2019). To give users flexible and natural methods to engage with machines, modern HMI frequently mixes many modes of interface, including touch, voice, and gesture detection. This improves usability and accessibility. Effective HMI systems give users feedback to validate their actions or warn them of mistakes. Feedback may be tactile, visual, or audible(*2019 IEEE Conference on Information and Communication Technology.*, n.d.)**.**Artificial intelligence-driven HMIs utilize machine learning and natural language processing to better interpret user input and offer more individualized replies. The demands, capabilities, and preferences of the user should be given priority in HMI design. To produce interfaces that fulfill user expectations, human-centered design approaches include user research, prototyping, and usability testing (Hoc, 2000). It is essential to ensure that HMIs are usable by those with impairments. This entails creating user interfaces that may be customized to suit different requirements, such as screen readers for the blind or voice commands for people with restricted mobility. Particularly in applications containing sensitive information, like banking or healthcare, securing user data and ensuring secure interactions are crucial components of HMI design (Faas et al., 2021)HMI may try to make socially interactive or emotionally engaging experiences. For instance, chatbots or social robots may be created to build relationships with people.HMIs are continuously improved and optimized based on user input and advancing technology. To stay up with evolving customer expectations and technology breakthroughs, regular upgrades and changes are necessary (Guzman & Lewis, 2020).

3.2.3 Teleoperation and Remote Assistance: -

Technologies like teleoperation and remote assistance allow people to interface and operate equipment and gadgets remotely. These ideas have important applications in a variety of fields, including manufacturing, healthcare, and space exploration (Nakanishi et al., 2020). Robots that can be operated remotely are frequently utilized in hazardous material handling, remote surgery, and bomb disposal. Robotic arms that can be operated remotely by surgeons make it possible to operate on patients in a variety of areas while minimizing invasiveness (Majstorovic et al., 2022). Advanced sensors, cameras, and other data-capturing tools are frequently used in teleoperation to give operators a real-time perspective of the remote environment. For making decisions and exercising precise control, this knowledge is essential (Zhang, 2020). The goal of remote help is to use technology to support and direct people or workers in the field. Live video communication is frequently used for remote help, which enables specialists to observe what users or field employees are going through and offer assistance in real-time (Yang et al., 2020). With advancements in communication networks, sensor technology, and augmented reality, both teleoperation and remote support technologies have seen tremendous development. They allow for safer, more effective, and more affordable operations in a variety of industries, which ultimately boosts output and lowers risks in difficult or distant situations (Nakanishi et al., 2020).

4. APPLICATIONS OF ROBOTICS IN PAIN THERAPY: -

Pain is a universal human experience that has a significant impact on the overall quality of life. Effective pain management is critical in healthcare, and robotics is a game changer in this field. It provides novel pain management solutions that go beyond traditional methods (Kumar & Elavarasi, 2016). This review investigates the applications of robotics in pain therapy, with a focus on its role in improving pain assessment accuracy and objectivity. Robotics is transforming the way we diagnose, treat, and manage pain, thereby improving the overall quality of life (Cifuentes et al., 2020).

Robots with advanced sensors can measure physiological responses to determine pain levels, providing more accurate assessments than subjective self-reports. This data assists clinicians in tailoring treatment plans to specific areas (Thai et al., 2020). Robotic surgical systems are becoming increasingly popular in the treatment of pain, particularly in spine and nerve-related conditions. Robotic-assisted spinal surgery improves implant placement accuracy while reducing post-operative pain and complications (Peters et al., 2018)Robots are also used in neuromodulation techniques such as spinal cord stimulation and deep brain stimulation, which can reduce chronic pain by modifying neural activity (Peters et al., 2018).

Robots are revolutionizing pain management by providing immersive environments for distraction and relaxation during painful procedures. They are also important in pain research, helping to develop new treatments and therapies (Peters et al., 2018). Robots are used to conduct high-throughput screening of potential pain medications, which speeds up drug discovery. They use human movement and biomechanics simulations to better understand pain mechanics and develop targeted interventions.

4.1 Assistive Devices for Chronic Pain Management: -

Conventional therapies cannot manage chronic pain, which carries on after healing or without tissue damage. Instead, patients must be given the means to effectively manage their pain. Analgesics, interventions, stimulations, and irritants are all part of treatment plans that also address psychosocial issues. Pain clinics provide a biopsychosocial approach, empowering patients to manage their pain and lead fulfilling lives (Hylands-White et al., 2017).

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are antipyretic and anti-inflammatory drugs that prevent the COX pathway from metabolizing arachidonic acid, thereby inhibiting prostaglandin synthesis. While more recent NSAIDs selectively inhibit COX-2, they are less toxic than older NSAIDs like aspirin and naproxen, which are nonselective. Effective for chronic pain that is mild to moderate (Schwan et al., 2019).

Non-specific low back pain (LBP), which affects quality of life, workability, and productivity, is a serious public health issue. It affects 60–70% of industrialized nations and is responsible for 37% of all LBP worldwide. LBP restricts daily activities, which increases absenteeism from work and places a financial burden on healthcare systems and economies. It carries socioeconomic costs, such as the fact that it is the leading cause of disability among young adults in the UK and accounts for 149 million lost working days annually (Sowah et al., 2018).

4.1.1 Assistive Devices for Back Pain: -

Back pain, which affects people of all ages and socioeconomic backgrounds, can seriously negatively impact daily activities and general well-being. The use of assistive technology is growing in popularity as a non-invasive, economical treatment for this condition.

Lumbar support cushions, which are frequently found in office chairs, car seats, and standalone cushions, are made to reduce pain and discomfort in the lower back. They are designed to keep the spine's natural curve, ease the strain on the lumbar discs, and enhance posture. These accessories bridge the space between the lower back and the chair, encouraging a healthy sitting posture and reducing pressure on the lumbar spine. Many can be modified to meet the unique needs of users (Rawal, 2016).

By preserving a neutral spinal posture, lumbar support devices can lessen lower back pain, especially during extended periods of sitting. However, depending on specific factors, their efficacy may vary. The comfort and effectiveness of these devices could be improved in the future by improvements in materials and ergonomics, as well as integration with smart technology like sensors and feedback mechanisms, which would offer individualized support and posture feedback (Guo et al., 2016).

Posture-correcting tools, like wearable braces and smartphone apps, assist users in maintaining proper spinal and shoulder alignment, which decreases back pain. When a user deviates from the ideal posture, these devices gently press or give feedback to the user's body. Smartphone apps use the accelerometer to detect slouching and send reminders to correct posture, while wearable braces pull the shoulders back (Bertrand et al., 2021).

4.1.2 ASSISTIVE DEVICES FOR ARTHRITIS: -

Joint pain, stiffness, and decreased mobility are all symptoms of arthritis, an international disease. Despite the lack of treatment, assistive technology has been created to help arthritis sufferers manage their symptoms and enhance their quality of life. Simple tools and cutting-edge mobility aids like powered wheelchairs are among these gadgets. They improve well-being overall, increase independence, and lessen pain.

Due to joint pain and stiffness, arthritis frequently has an impact on mobility. Canes and walkers are examples of assistive devices that support an active way of life. Canes provide support and stability while walking, easing joint stress. Walkers, which come in a variety of designs like standard, rolling, and knee walkers, offer strong support and stability and are therefore perfect for people with severe arthritis (Bullock et al., n.d.)

Crutches are designed to shift weight away from painful joints and can be adjusted to fit different heights, which is especially important for people with lower extremity arthritis. Wheelchairs provide advanced arthritis patients with independence and mobility, with manual and powered options available. Splints and braces, which are available for various joints such as the wrists, knees, and fingers, are essential for managing pain and preventing further damage (Amaral et al., 2018).

Individuals with arthritis should use compression gloves, knee supports, and ankle and foot orthotics to relieve pain and swelling in their hands. Knee braces and supports provide stability and comfort, whereas AFOs provide ankle and foot support and alignment. Adaptive tools and devices are designed to assist people with arthritis in maintaining their independence and performing daily tasks more easily. Larger-handled jar openers and kitchen utensils with specialized grips make cooking and meal preparation easier. Dressing sticks and sock aids make it easier for people with limited mobility or dexterity to put on and take off clothing. Assistive devices and apps that can help manage arthritis and maintain independence have been developed as a result of technological advancements (Rodriguez et al., 2021).

4.2 POSTOPERATIVE PAIN REHABILITATION: -

Postoperative pain rehabilitation is an important aspect of post-surgery patient care, involving a variety of strategies and interventions to manage and alleviate pain. Effective management not only improves patient comfort but also speeds up recovery time. Assessment, pharmacological interventions, non-pharmacological approaches, and the role of a multidisciplinary team in optimizing patient outcomes are all important components.

A thorough assessment is performed to tailor treatment plans to the patient's specific needs during postoperative pain rehabilitation. Clinicians can measure pain intensity, location, and impact on daily life using a variety of tools, including visual analog scales and the Brief Pain Inventory. Because pain perception differs between individuals, a holistic assessment approach takes patient-reported outcomes and subjective experiences into account. Previous pain experiences, psychological state, and cultural background can all have an impact on pain perception (Rawal, 2016).

Postoperative pain management is heavily reliant on pharmacological interventions, which frequently include the administration of analgesic medications. Opioids such as morphine, oxycodone, and fentanyl are widely used, but they must be monitored for addiction, tolerance, and side effects. To reduce opioid-related complications, multimodal analgesia, which combines opioids with non-opioid medications, is becoming standard practice. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as ibuprofen and naproxen are effective pain relievers and are frequently used in conjunction with or as an alternative to opioids. Acetaminophen is a common pain reliever that reduces opioid consumption and the side effects that come with it (Deyo et al., 2015).

Infiltration, nerve blocks, and epidural anesthesia are examples of local anesthetic agents that provide targeted pain relief without affecting the entire body. To treat neuropathic pain or sleep disturbances, medications such as anticonvulsants, antidepressants, and muscle relaxants are sometimes combined with traditional analgesics. Physical therapists and cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), for example, play an important role in postoperative pain rehabilitation. These approaches seek to alleviate pain and improve overall well-being without relying solely on medications. Physical therapists assist patients in regaining mobility and strength, while CBT techniques assist in the management of pain-related anxiety, depression, and distress, resulting in improved pain control and emotional well-being.

Acetaminophen is a common pain reliever that can be used alone or in conjunction with other analgesics to reduce opioid consumption and side effects. Infiltration, nerve blocks, and epidural anesthesia are examples of local anesthetic agents that provide targeted pain relief without affecting the entire body. To treat neuropathic pain or sleep disturbances, anticonvulsants, antidepressants, and muscle relaxants can be combined (Duncan et al., 2019).

4.2.1 Robotic-Assisted Physical Therapy: -

Robotic-assisted physical therapy is a revolutionary rehabilitation method that combines robotic precision with physical therapist expertise. This novel method provides patients with more effective, personalized, and engaging therapy sessions. This summary will look at this exciting field's key aspects, applications, benefits, challenges, and prospects (Wu et al., 2021).

Traditional physical therapy, which is essential for rehabilitation, frequently relies on manual techniques, the effectiveness of which varies depending on the therapist. By incorporating advanced technology into the rehabilitation process, robotic-assisted physical therapy aims to address these limitations. Robotic-assisted physical therapy incorporates robotic devices into rehabilitation to improve therapist capabilities, provide precise movements, and collect data for tailored treatment plans. Sensors in these systems monitor patient progress and adjust therapy in real time, ensuring accurate and efficient treatment (Franceschini et al., 2020).

Robotic-assisted therapy is a highly effective postoperative care method that helps patients recover from surgeries such as joint replacements or spinal procedures. It offers controlled movements and support, which reduces complications and speeds up healing. It helps stroke victims regain motor skills and independence. Neurological conditions such as Parkinson's disease and multiple sclerosis can be managed with targeted robotic therapy. It is beneficial in the treatment of orthopedic injuries, allowing patients to regain strength and mobility more quickly. It can also provide athletes with a customized, progressive approach to rehabilitation, allowing them to return to sports sooner (Hobbs & Artemiadis, 2020).

4.2.2 Pain Management in Amputees: -

Amputees often experience residual pain from amputation due to trauma, vascular disease, or other causes, which can significantly impact their quality of life and functional outcomes, posing unique challenges for healthcare providers (Seretny & Colvin, 2016).

Phantom Lung Pain (PLP), Stump Pain, Neuropathic Pain, Phantom Sensations, and Overuse Pain are all common complaints among amputees. Pain, itching, or other sensations in the missing limb are symptoms of PLP, which is thought to be caused by neural plasticity and changes in the brain's representation of the body. Stump pain develops at the site of amputation and can be caused by surgical trauma, neuromas, infection, or inadequate wound healing. Neuropathic pain, which is caused by nerve damage, is severe and chronic and is frequently accompanied by burning, shooting, or stabbing sensations. Phantom sensations are non-painful sensations felt in a missing limb. Overuse pain in the intact limb can develop as a result of compensatory movements and increased load-bearing after amputation. It is critical to address this type of pain to avoid further complications (Kent et al., 2017).

Amputee pain is a complicated issue that can be exacerbated by a variety of factors. Chronic pain frequently involves central sensitization, a condition in which the central nervous system becomes hypersensitive to pain signals, making management more difficult. Pain signals can also be generated by neuromas, which are tangled nerve tissue at the amputation site. Amputation can also alter the brain's representation of the body, resulting in phantom limb pain. Anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder can all exacerbate pain perception, worsening the conditions and creating a vicious cycle (Buvanendran et al., 2018).

A thorough assessment using various tools and approaches is required for pain management in amputees. Patients rate their pain intensity using scales such as the Numerical Rating Scale or Visual Analogue Scale. Assessment of cognitive and emotional states is critical because psychological factors can influence pain perception. Physical examination of the residual limb is required, including examination for infection or neuroma formation. Neuromas or structural issues that contribute to pain can be visualized using imaging techniques such as ultrasound or MRI (Alexander et al., 2019).

A multimodal approach to pain management in amputees is required, including NSAIDs for stump and overuse pain, neuropathic pain medications such as gabapentin or pregabalin, and opioids used with caution due to the risk of dependence. Pain signals can be modulated and symptoms reduced using transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) or implanted nerve stimulators. Counseling, cognitive-behavioral therapy, and mindfulness-based interventions help patients cope with chronic pain, while physical therapy improves mobility and function. Intractable pain may necessitate surgical revision of neuromas or stump revisions. Mirror therapy, graded motor imagery, and sensory discrimination training can all aid in retraining the brain's representation of the missing limb. Prosthetic devices that are properly fitted can relieve pain by redistributing weight and reducing strain (De Jong & Shysh, 2018).

4.3 Neuropathic Pain Treatments: -

Neuropathic pain is a debilitating condition caused by nervous system damage or dysfunction, which results from abnormal nerve signaling. It is chronic, resistant to traditional pain management, and has a significant impact on a person's quality of life. Despite this, significant advances in treatment have been made over the years. This paper investigates the various treatment modalities available today and their importance in alleviating the suffering of people suffering from neuropathic pain (Finnerup et al., 2020)

Neuropathy can have a significant impact on a person's psychological well-being, and therapy and counseling can help people manage anxiety, depression, and stress associated with chronic pain (Gilron et al., 2015).

Robotics has the potential to significantly improve the treatment of neuropathic pain, a chronic pain condition caused by damage or dysfunction of the nervous system. Robotic surgical systems, such as the da Vinci Surgical System, can perform precise and minimally invasive procedures like tumor removal or nerve repair, which reduces complications. Robotics can also be used in neuromodulation techniques such as spinal cord stimulation or deep brain stimulation, and implantable devices for effective pain management can be precisely placed and programmed. Furthermore, robotic devices can help patients maintain or regain mobility and function during the rehabilitation process (Pommier et al., 2016).

Sensory feedback from robotic systems can help with pain management therapies. Virtual reality and haptic feedback can provide patients with immersive experiences. Remote robots equipped with cameras and sensors can assess patients' conditions, provide guidance, and adjust treatments without requiring in-person visits, which is advantageous for those who have mobility issues. Robotics can also be used to precisely deliver pain-relieving medications, ensuring that the correct dose is delivered directly to the affected area. Robots can also be used in research settings to study neuropathic pain and test potential treatments, performing repetitive tasks with high accuracy while collecting data and experimenting to better understand the condition. Overall, robotic technology is changing the face of healthcare and patient care (Quesada et al., 2020).

4.3.1 Robotics in Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation: -

Because of its precision, consistency, and ability to provide intensive and repetitive training, robotics is increasingly being used in spinal cord injury (SCI) rehabilitation. Exoskeletons, which are wearable robotic devices, help people with SCI stand, walk, and climb stairs by providing partial or full body support and mimicking human movement. Robotic arms and hand rehabilitation devices target specific muscle groups and movements to help patients regain fine motor skills and arm strength. FES, a technique that uses electrical stimulation to activate paralyzed or weakened muscles, can be improved by precisely controlling the timing and intensity of electrical stimulation, improving muscle strength and coordination (Mekki et al., 2018).

Robotics can be combined with virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) technologies to create immersive rehabilitation environments that aid in motor recovery and cognitive rehabilitation. It can also provide real-time data on a patient's progress, allowing therapists to tailor programs and assess intervention efficacy (Garcia-Gonzalez et al., 2022). Robotics can also be used to enable remote rehabilitation programs for people with SCI, improving access to care in rural areas. Gait training robots are designed to assist patients in regaining their walking abilities. Robotics can also be used to create assistive devices like robotic wheelchairs and smart home systems, which increase independence and autonomy. This information assists researchers in developing more effective interventions (Lusardi et al., 2021).

4.3.2 Nerve Stimulation Devices: -

Nerve stimulation devices are medical devices that modulate the activity of nerves in the body using electrical or magnetic impulses. They are used to treat pain, and neurological disorders, and to improve overall well-being. There are various types of nerve stimulation devices, each with its own set of applications and action mechanisms. TENS devices are portable and non-invasive pain relief devices, whereas NMES devices stimulate muscles and nerves to improve muscle strength and rehabilitation (Ben-Menachem et al., 2015). Deep brain stimulation (DBS) devices, which are implanted deep within the brain, treat neurological conditions such as Parkinson's disease, essential tremor, and dystonia. VNS devices, which are implanted in the chest, are used to treat epilepsy and depression. PNS devices, which are located near the peripheral nerves, treat chronic pain and neurological disorders. Urinary and fecal incontinence are treated with SNS devices. Magnetic fields are used by TMS devices to stimulate specific nerves in the brain. Nerve stimulation devices provide relief for medical conditions, but they must be carefully evaluated by healthcare professionals and, in some cases, surgically implanted. Patients and providers should work together to determine the best therapy (Herrington et al., 2016).

Nerve-stimulating pain therapy devices provide a novel approach to chronic pain management by focusing on specific body areas and providing personalized treatment based on pain intensity. These devices are portable and user-friendly, allowing for pain management at home or on the go. However, challenges include optimizing stimulation parameters and treatment protocols for various pain conditions, as well as ensuring safety and efficacy through rigorous testing and regulatory compliance (Yao et al., 2018). Despite these obstacles, nerve-stimulating pain therapy devices offer a promising alternative for chronic pain management due to their non-invasive nature, personalized treatment capabilities, and potential to reduce medication reliance. These devices, with further research and development, have the potential to revolutionize pain therapy and improve the quality of life for millions of chronic pain patients (Yap et al., 2020).

5. Clinical Efficacy and Patient Outcomes: -

Pain therapy assistive devices, such as TENS devices, can provide significant clinical efficacy and patient outcomes based on the specific device, pain type, and individual patient characteristics. TENS devices are commonly used to manage acute and chronic pain, including musculoskeletal and neuropathic pain. While TENS can provide relief, the degree of relief varies. Patient outcomes may include reduced pain intensity, improved functional abilities, and decreased medication reliance. TENS is generally considered safe when used as directed (Zambianchi et al., 2020).

Ultrasound therapy generates heat and stimulates tissues, thereby reducing pain and promoting healing. It is commonly used for tendonitis and muscle strains, with patients reporting pain relief, improved tissue healing, and increased range of motion. Infrared therapy heats tissues and increases blood flow, potentially relieving pain and reducing inflammation. Clinical evidence is conflicting, with some studies indicating benefits for conditions such as arthritis and musculoskeletal pain. Reduced pain, improved joint mobility, and increased comfort are possible patient outcomes (Papadopoulos & Mani, 2020).

Lymphedema, venous insufficiency, and swelling can all be treated with compression therapy devices. They improve circulation and reduce swelling, resulting in less limb swelling, greater comfort, and fewer complications. For best results, custom-fitted compression garments may be required. For pain management and muscle rehabilitation, electrical impulse stimulation (EMS) devices stimulate muscle contractions. Clinical efficacy is determined by the specific condition and treatment goals. Reduced pain, increased strength, and improved functional capacity are possible patient outcomes. Proper instruction and supervision are essential for safe and effective use. Assistive pain therapy devices may not be consistent across individuals or conditions, and healthcare providers should evaluate the device's suitability for each patient's specific needs (Sdrulla et al., 2018).

5.1 Safety and Side Effects: -

TENS units, braces, splints, and mobility aids are essential for managing pain and improving the quality of life in people with medical conditions. They do, however, have potential safety concerns and side effects. Before beginning any pain therapy with assistive devices, it is critical to consult with a healthcare professional, who can assess your condition, recommend appropriate devices, and provide guidance on their safe use (Rannou et al., 2016). TENS therapy is generally safe when used correctly, but it can cause skin irritation, muscle twitching, and discomfort if not used correctly. Skin irritation and pressure sores can be avoided with proper fitting and regular skin checks. The incorrect use of mobility aids, such as crutches or wheelchairs, can cause muscle strain or discomfort, so proper training is required (Igwea et al., 2016).

Because of prolonged use or improper fitting, assistive devices can cause skin irritation, redness, or pressure sores. It is critical to inspect and clean the skin in contact with the device regularly. Some people may be allergic or sensitive to the materials used in assistive devices, so it's critical to check the materials and notify your healthcare provider. Overuse or misuse of assistive devices can result in injuries or worsening of existing conditions. It is critical to strike a balance between device use and therapeutic exercises. Follow battery replacement and device maintenance guidelines for devices that use batteries or electrical currents. Proper device use and maintenance training is essential to avoid misuse and minimize side effects. Evaluate the device's effectiveness regularly and keep an eye out for any changes in your condition. Consult your healthcare provider right away if you notice any new or worsening symptoms (Yusif et al., 2016).

5.2 Patient Satisfaction and Quality of Life: -

Pain therapy assistive devices are essential for managing chronic pain, increasing mobility, and improving overall well-being. Their effectiveness is determined by their ability to reduce pain and increase comfort. The ability of the device to improve daily activities and independence has a significant impact on quality of life. Patients are more likely to be satisfied with comfortable devices. Size, weight, and ease of operation are also important considerations. The device's effectiveness is dependent on consistent use. The willingness of patients to adhere to prescribed usage is critical. Noncompliance can have an impact on outcomes. Chronic pain has a significant impact on a patient's mental health and quality of life. Evaluating the device's effectiveness requires determining whether it improves the patient's psychological well-being by reducing anxiety and depression associated with pain (Jerry Starling Randall C Horstmanshof Douglas A Milano Carmelo A Selzman Craig H Shah Keyur B Loebe Matthias Moazami Nader Long James W Stehlik Josef Kasirajan Vigneshwar Haas Donald C O & John Boyle Andrew J Farrar David J Rogers Joseph G null, 2015).

Mobility aids and other assistive devices can improve a patient's mobility and independence. Their effectiveness, however, is dependent on patient education and training. It is critical to ensure that patients understand their device and use it correctly. The long-term effect of an assistive device on a patient's quality of life and pain management is also critical. It is critical to monitor changes in satisfaction and quality of life over time, as well as to assess side effects and address any negative events as soon as possible (Camara et al., 2015). Patients should provide feedback and improvement suggestions. In many cases, a multidisciplinary approach involving healthcare providers, physical therapists, and patient-reported outcomes is required. Regular follow-up appointments and ongoing communication can aid in the identification of issues and the implementation of necessary changes (C. T. L. Li et al., 2022).

5.3 Cost-Effectiveness of Robotic Assistive Devices: -

The cost-effectiveness of robotic assistive devices varies depending on the type, application, and individual or institution implementing the technology. The initial investment in a robotic assistive device can be significant, ranging from inexpensive toys to advanced medical or industrial robots. Maintenance and repairs are critical when determining long-term cost-effectiveness. User and operator training can also be costly. However, robotic assistive devices, such as those used in manufacturing, healthcare, and rehabilitation, can improve efficiency and productivity ("Robotics in Knee and Hip Arthroplasty," 2019). Robotic surgery systems can improve surgical precision, and exoskeletons and assistive robotic limbs can help people with mobility issues regain their independence. When determining cost-effectiveness, the value of these improvements is critical. As a result, determining the worth of these advancements is critical when assessing the cost-effectiveness of robotics (Howard et al., 2021).

Long-term benefits of robotic assistive devices in healthcare include reduced hospital stays and medication requirements. Insurance coverage and reimbursement for these devices can have a significant impact on their cost-effectiveness, making them more financially viable for patients and healthcare providers. As competition and market demand grow, the cost of robotic technology may fall over time, making it more cost effective than traditional alternatives (Hua & Salcedo, 2022). It is critical to assess the cost-effectiveness of robotic assistive devices in comparison to other methods or technologies, such as surgery, manufacturing, or rehabilitation. Patients', users', and healthcare providers' satisfaction with the outcomes and experiences associated with these devices can also influence their cost-effectiveness. The cost-effectiveness of robotic assistive devices is determined by the user's or caregiver's specific use case, goals, and economic considerations (J. Rajan et al., n.d.).

6. Challenges and Future Directions: -

Robots have become a valuable tool in pain therapy, providing personalized care while reducing the workload of healthcare professionals. Personalization, sensitivity, learning, adaptation, and cost, on the other hand, are significant challenges in the development of these devices. They must be sensitive, and gentle, and adapt their force, pressure, or movements to the pain profiles of the patients. Cobots, or collaborative robots, can help healthcare professionals with tasks like long-term patient monitoring, medication adherence, and mobility exercises. Voice commands, touchscreens, and gesture recognition will improve the usability and accessibility of these devices. Robotics in pain therapy assistive devices must overcome technical challenges such as design, control, and integration with the healthcare system to reach their full potential (Adel et al., 2021; Germanotta et al., 2018; Riek, 2017).

Controlling force and pressure requires sensory feedback, which necessitates machine learning and AI algorithms. Effective pain management necessitates access to a patient's medical history as well as real-time health data. Emergency stop features, collision avoidance, and fault detection are critical safety features. Robotic device efficiency is critical to avoiding frequent battery replacements. It is difficult to integrate these devices into existing healthcare infrastructure, and creating affordable, accessible devices necessitates cost reduction while maintaining quality and safety. Meeting regulatory requirements, ensuring medical device compliance, and ensuring secure connectivity are all critical. Strong cybersecurity safeguards are required, and devices must be easy to maintain and repair. Risk-based clinical trials are time-consuming and difficult to conduct to validate the efficacy of robotic pain therapy devices. To address technical challenges and improve pain management and rehabilitation performance and accessibility, interdisciplinary collaboration between engineers, roboticists, medical professionals, and regulatory experts is required (Awad et al., 2020; Sunny et al., 2016).

Advances in technology and engineering have addressed challenges related to the reliability and durability of robotic pain therapy devices, resulting in stronger, more resilient materials, predictive maintenance algorithms, remote monitoring capabilities, and user interfaces. These advancements improve the device's dependability and durability, which benefits both patients and healthcare providers. Robotic pain therapy devices take an innovative approach by tailoring treatment to each patient's specific needs, allowing healthcare professionals to consider factors such as medical history, pain intensity, and preferences when developing a treatment plan. Furthermore, these devices are adaptable, allowing them to continuously monitor the patient's response to treatment and adjust treatment parameters automatically if pain levels change or unforeseen events occur. Robotic pain therapy devices with customizability and adaptability are beneficial for treating a wide range of pain conditions, including chronic, postoperative, and conditions such as arthritis or cancer. Healthcare providers can use lower medication doses or less invasive interventions when treating patients based on their specific needs, lowering the risk of complications and adverse reactions (Choi et al., 2020; Serrezuela et al., 2020).

6.2 Future Trends and Research Directions: -

Robots have progressed from simple machines to sophisticated, intelligent assistants, making significant advances in a variety of fields, including medicine. In pain therapy, robotics is becoming increasingly important in assisting patients to manage and alleviate their pain. Machine learning and artificial intelligence advancements enable robots to adapt and tailor pain management strategies to individual patients, improving treatment effectiveness and reducing side effects. Robotic exoskeletons and wearable devices are gaining popularity in pain therapy, offering relief to patients suffering from chronic pain or musculoskeletal disorders. Lightweight and ergonomic designs, better integration with the human body, and enhanced sensory feedback are among the future trends in this field (Young & Ferris, 2017).

Advanced sensors and artificial intelligence algorithms can continuously assess and monitor a patient's pain levels, allowing for timely interventions and changes to pain management strategies. Robots are being developed to replicate human touch and precision in pain relief techniques such as massage, acupuncture, and physical therapy. The incorporation of virtual and augmented reality for distraction therapy and pain modulation is expected to improve pain relief even further. Robotics and telemedicine integration is a game changer in pain therapy, allowing patients to access expert care remotely and facilitating remote consultations and treatment. Robotic devices can also be used for at-home monitoring, allowing healthcare providers to track patients' progress and make necessary adjustments without having to visit them in person regularly (Lan & Litscher, 2019). A patient's pain levels can be continuously assessed and monitored using advanced sensors and artificial intelligence algorithms, allowing for timely interventions and changes to pain management strategies. Human touch and precision are being replicated by robots in pain relief techniques such as massage, acupuncture, and physical therapy. The use of virtual and augmented reality for distraction therapy and pain modulation is expected to further improve pain relief. The integration of robotics and telemedicine in pain therapy is a game changer, allowing patients to access expert care remotely and facilitating remote consultations and treatment. Robotic devices can also be used for at-home monitoring, allowing healthcare providers to track patients' progress and make necessary adjustments without having to physically visit them regularly (Luu & Jean Uy-Kroh, 2017).

6.3. Human-Machine Interface Advancements: -

Human-machine interfaces (HMI) for robotic-assisted pain therapy devices are being significantly improved to improve user experience and accessibility. These devices are designed to adapt to individual patient needs by analyzing patient data and adjusting treatment protocols in real time. Through the interface, patients can also provide feedback, allowing the device to improve its strategies over time. Telemedicine is becoming more common, with many devices now including HMIs that allow for remote monitoring and adjustments. Some devices incorporate gamification elements into their HMIs to encourage patient adherence to pain therapy regimens. Patients are more likely to participate actively in their pain management when they use interactive interfaces, progress tracking, and reward systems (Herbert et al., 2018).

Another exciting development is the collaboration of robotic-assisted pain therapy devices and wearable technology. HMIs can communicate with wearable devices such as smartwatches and fitness trackers to collect real-time health data that can be used to inform treatment decisions and align therapy with the patient's current physical condition. Some devices use predictive analytics through their HMIs to anticipate pain flare-ups and intervene proactively. These technological advances in HMIs are changing the landscape of pain management by making pain therapy more engaging, precise, and accessible. More groundbreaking developments in this field will offer hope and relief to those in need as technology advances (Norman, 2017).

7. Conclusion: -

This review article investigates the increasing use of robotics in pain therapy assistive devices, emphasizing their potential to improve patient care and rehabilitation. The article investigates various robotic technologies, such as exoskeletons, robotic arms, and virtual reality systems, which provide a variety of benefits such as pain relief, rehabilitation enhancement, and improved patient quality of life. By providing more precise, personalized, and consistent care, robotics is breaking down traditional barriers in pain therapy. The combination of artificial intelligence, haptic feedback, and telemedicine has created new opportunities for remote monitoring and treatment, ensuring patients receive the care they require regardless of geographical limitations. The article also addresses the challenges and ethical concerns associated with the implementation of these technologies, emphasizing the importance of responsible innovation and patient-centered design. As robotics advances, researchers, healthcare professionals, and engineers must work together to address remaining issues, improve devices, and broaden accessibility.

REFERENCES: -

1. *2019 IEEE Conference on Information and Communication Technology.* (n.d.). IEEE.
2. Adel, S., Zaher, A., El Harouni, N., Venugopal, A., Premjani, P., & Vaid, N. (2021). Robotic Applications in Orthodontics: Changing the Face of Contemporary Clinical Care. In *BioMed Research International* (Vol. 2021). Hindawi Limited. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9954615
3. Albu-Schaffer, A., Eiberger, O., Grebenstein, M., Haddadin, S., Ott, C., Wimböck, T., Wolf, S., & Hirzinger, G. (2008). Soft robotics. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine*, *15*(3), 20–30. https://doi.org/10.1109/MRA.2008.927979
4. Alexander, J. H., Jordan, S. W., West, J. M., Compston, A., Fugitt, J., Bowen, J. B., Dumanian, G. A., Pollock, R., Mayerson, J. L., Scharschmidt, T. J., & Valerio, I. L. (2019). Targeted muscle reinnervation in oncologic amputees: Early experience of a novel institutional protocol. *Journal of Surgical Oncology*, *120*(3), 348–358. https://doi.org/10.1002/jso.25586
5. Alzubi, J., Nayyar, A., & Kumar, A. (2018). Machine Learning from Theory to Algorithms: An Overview. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1142*(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1142/1/012012
6. Amaral, D. S., Duarte, A. L. B. P., Barros, S. S., Cavalcanti, S. V., Ranzolin, A., Leite, V. M. M., Dantas, A. T., Oliveira, A. S. C. R. C., Santos, P. S., Silva, J. C. A., & Marques, C. D. L. (2018). Assistive devices: an effective strategy in non-pharmacological treatment for hand osteoarthritis—randomized clinical trial. *Rheumatology International*, *38*(3), 343–351. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-017-3892-1
7. *Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Reasoning in Health Informatics—Case Studies*. (n.d.).
8. Awad, L. N., Esquenazi, A., Francisco, G. E., Nolan, K. J., & Jayaraman, A. (2020). The ReWalk ReStore™ soft robotic exosuit: A multi-site clinical trial of the safety, reliability, and feasibility of exosuit-augmented post-stroke gait rehabilitation. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, *17*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-020-00702-5
9. Bao, G., Pan, L., Fang, H., Wu, X., Yu, H., Cai, S., Yu, B., & Wan, Y. (2019). Academic Review and Perspectives on Robotic Exoskeletons. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, *27*(11), 2294–2304. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2019.2944655
10. Ben-Menachem, E., Revesz, D., Simon, B. J., & Silberstein, S. (2015). Surgically implanted and non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation: A review of efficacy, safety and tolerability. In *European Journal of Neurology* (Vol. 22, Issue 9, pp. 1260–1268). Blackwell Publishing Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.12629
11. Bertrand, H., Reeves, K. D., Mattu, R., Garcia, R., Mohammed, M., Wiebe, E., & Cheng, A.-L. (2021). Self-Treatment of Chronic Low Back Pain Based on a Rapid and Objective Sacroiliac Asymmetry Test: A Pilot Study. *Cureus*. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.19483
12. Bharadiya, J., & Bharadiya, J. P. (2023). Machine Learning and AI in Business Intelligence: Trends and Opportunities. *International Journal of Computer (IJC) International Journal of Computer*, *48*(1), 123–134. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371902170

13. Bouteraa, Y., Ben Abdallah, I., & Elmogy, A. (2020). Design and control of an exoskeleton robot with EMG-driven electrical stimulation for upper limb rehabilitation. *Industrial Robot*, *47*(4), 489–501. https://doi.org/10.1108/IR-02-2020-0041
14. Brougham, D., & Haar, J. (2018). Smart Technology, Artificial Intelligence, Robotics, and Algorithms (STARA): Employees' perceptions of our future workplace. In *Journal of Management and Organization* (Vol. 24, Issue 2, pp. 239–257). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2016.55
15. Bullock, J., Rizvi, S. A. A., Saleh, A. M., Ahmed, S., Do, D. P., Ansari, R. A., & Ahmed, J. (n.d.). *Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Brief Overview of the Treatment*.
16. Bussières, A. E., Stewart, G., Al-Zoubi, F., Decina, P., Descarreaux, M., Hayden, J., Hendrickson, B., Hincapié, C., Pagé, I., Passmore, S., Srbely, J., Stupar, M., Weisberg, J., & Ornelas, J. (2016). The Treatment of Neck Pain–Associated Disorders and Whiplash-Associated Disorders: A Clinical Practice Guideline. *Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics*, *39*(8), 523-564.e27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmpt.2016.08.007
17. Buvanendran, A., Kroin, J. S., Rajagopal, A., Robison, S. J., Moric, M., & Tuman, K. J. (2018). Oral Ketamine for acute pain management after amputation surgery. *Pain Medicine (United States)*, *19*(6), 1265–1270. https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnx229
18. Camara, C., Peris-Lopez, P., & Tapiador, J. E. (2015). Security and privacy issues in implantable medical devices: A comprehensive survey. In *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* (Vol. 55, pp. 272–289). Academic Press Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2015.04.007
19. Choi, Y., Choi, M., Oh, M., & Kim, S. (2020). Service robots in hotels: understanding the service quality perceptions of human-robot interaction. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management*, *29*(6), 613–635. https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2020.1703871
20. Chua, M. C. H., Lim, J. H., & Yeow, R. C. H. (2019). Design and Characterization of a Soft Robotic Therapeutic Glove for Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Assistive Technology*, *31*(1), 44–52. https://doi.org/10.1080/10400435.2017.1346000
21. Cifuentes, C. A., Pinto, M. J., Céspedes, N., & Múnera, M. (2020). Social Robots in Therapy and Care. *Current Robotics Reports*, *1*(3), 59–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43154-020-00009-2
22. Crowe, C. S., Impastato, K. A., Donaghy, A. C., Earl, C., Friedly, J. L., & Keys, K. A. (2019). Prosthetic and orthotic options for lower extremity amputation and reconstruction. In *Plastic and Aesthetic Research* (Vol. 6). OAE Publishing Inc. https://doi.org/10.20517/2347-9264.2018.70
23. Dale, R., & Stacey, B. (2016). Multimodal Treatment of Chronic Pain. In *Medical Clinics of North America* (Vol. 100, Issue 1, pp. 55–64). W.B. Saunders. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcna.2015.08.012
24. De Jong, R., & Shysh, A. J. (2018). Development of a Multimodal Analgesia Protocol for Perioperative Acute Pain Management for Lower Limb Amputation. In *Pain Research and Management* (Vol. 2018). Hindawi Limited. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/5237040
25. Deyo, R. A., Von Korff, M., & Duhrkoop, D. (2015). Opioids for low back pain. In *BMJ (Online)* (Vol. 350). BMJ Publishing Group. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g6380
26. Duncan, R. W., Smith, K. L., Maguire, M., & Stader, D. E. (2019). Alternatives to opioids for pain management in the emergency department decreases opioid usage and maintains patient satisfaction. *American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, *37*(1), 38–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2018.04.043
27. Faas, S. M., Stange, V., & Baumann, M. (2021). Self-Driving Vehicles and Pedestrian Interaction: Does an External Human-Machine Interface Mitigate the Threat of a Tinted Windshield or a Distracted Driver? *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, *37*(14), 1364–1374. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2021.1886483
28. Feizerfan, A., & Sheh, G. (2015). Transition from acute to chronic pain. *Continuing Education in Anaesthesia Critical Care & Pain*, *15*(2), 98–102. https://doi.org/10.1093/bjaceaccp/mku044
29. Finnerup, N. B., Kuner, R., & Jensen, T. S. (2020). *Neuropathic pain 1 NEUROPATHIC PAIN: FROM MECHANISMS TO TREATMENT*.
30. Franceschini, M., Mazzoleni, S., Goffredo, M., Pournajaf, S., Galafate, D., Criscuolo, S., Agosti, M., & Posteraro, F. (2020). Upper limb robot-assisted rehabilitation versus physical therapy on subacute stroke patients: A follow-up study. *Journal of Bodywork and Movement Therapies*, *24*(1), 194–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbmt.2019.03.016
31. Garcia-Gonzalez, A., Fuentes-Aguilar, R. Q., Salgado, I., & Chairez, I. (2022). A review on the application of autonomous and intelligent robotic devices in medical rehabilitation. In *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering* (Vol. 44, Issue 9). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40430-022-03692-8

32. Geenen, R., Overman, C. L., Christensen, R., Åsenlöf, P., Capela, S., Huisinga, K. L., Husebø, M. E. P., Köke, A. J. A., Paskins, Z., Pitsillidou, I. A., Savel, C., Austin, J., Hassett, A. L., Severijns, G., Stoffer-Marx, M., Vlaeyen, J. W. S., Fernández-De-Las-Peñas, C., Ryan, S. J., & Bergman, S. (2018). EULAR recommendations for the health professional's approach to pain management in inflammatory arthritis and osteoarthritis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, *77*(6), 797–807. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212662
33. Germanotta, M., Cruciani, A., Pecchioli, C., Loreti, S., Spedicato, A., Meotti, M., Mosca, R., Speranza, G., Cecchi, F., Giannarelli, G., Padua, L., & Aprile, I. (2018). Reliability, validity and discriminant ability of the instrumental indices provided by a novel planar robotic device for upper limb rehabilitation. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, *15*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-018-0385-8
34. Gilron, I., Baron, R., & Jensen, T. (2015). Neuropathic pain: Principles of diagnosis and treatment. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, *90*(4), 532–545. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2015.01.018
35. Glare, P., Aubrey, K. R., & Myles, P. S. (2019). Transition from acute to chronic pain after surgery. In *The Lancet* (Vol. 393, Issue 10180, pp. 1537–1546). Lancet Publishing Group. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30352-6
36. Gromala, D., Tong, X., Choo, A., Karamnejad, M., & Shaw, C. D. (2015). The virtual meditative walk: Virtual reality therapy for chronic pain management. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings, 2015-April,* 521–524. https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702344
37. Gumasing, M. J. J., Villapando, A. C., & Pernia, K. C. (2019). An ergonomic design of wheelchair bed transfer for post stroke patients. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 275–279. https://doi.org/10.1145/3335550.3339900
38. Guo, L. X., Dong, R. C., & Zhang, M. (2016). Effect of lumbar support on seating comfort predicted by a whole human body-seat model. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, *53*, 319–327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2016.03.004
39. Guzman, A. L., & Lewis, S. C. (2020). Artificial intelligence and communication: A Human–Machine Communication research agenda. *New Media and Society*, *22*(1), 70–86. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444819858691
40. Hashimoto, D. A., Witkowski, E., Gao, L., Meireles, O., & Rosman, G. (2020). Artificial intelligence in anesthesiology: Current techniques, clinical applications, and limitations. *Anesthesiology*, 379–394. https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000002960
41. Helm, J. M., Swiergosz, A. M., Haeberle, H. S., Karnuta, J. M., Schaffer, J. L., Krebs, V. E., Spitzer, A. I., & Ramkumar, P. N. (2020). Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence: Definitions, Applications, and Future Directions. In *Current Reviews in Musculoskeletal Medicine* (Vol. 13, Issue 1, pp. 69–76). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12178-020-09600-8
42. Herbert, R., Kim, J. H., Kim, Y. S., Lee, H. M., & Yeo, W. H. (2018). Soft material-enabled, flexible hybrid electronics for medicine, healthcare, and human-machine interfaces. In *Materials* (Vol. 11, Issue 2). MDPI AG. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11020187
43. Herrington, T. M., Cheng, J. J., & Eskandar, E. N. (2016). Mechanisms of deep brain stimulation. *J Neurophysiol*, *115*, 19–38. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00281.2015.-Deep
44. Hobbs, B., & Artemiadis, P. (2020). A Review of Robot-Assisted Lower-Limb Stroke Therapy: Unexplored Paths and Future Directions in Gait Rehabilitation. In *Frontiers in Neurorobotics* (Vol. 14). Frontiers Media S.A. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbot.2020.00019
45. Hoc, J. M. (2000). From human – machine interaction to human – machine cooperation. *Ergonomics*, *43*(7), 833–843. https://doi.org/10.1080/001401300409044
46. Howard, R., Gathercole, R., Bradley, R., Harper, E., Davis, L., Pank, L., Lam, N., Talbot, E., Hooper, E., Winson, R., Scutt, B., Montano, V. O., Nunn, S., Lavelle, G., Bateman, A., Bentham, P., Burns, A., Dunk, B., Forsyth, K., … Gray, R. (2021). The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of assistive technology and telecare for independent living in dementia: a randomised controlled trial. *Age and Ageing*, *50*(3), 882–890. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afaa284
47. Hua, Y., & Salcedo, J. (2022). Cost-effectiveness analysis of robotic-arm assisted total knee arthroplasty. *PLoS ONE*, *17*(11 November). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0277980
48. Hylands-White, N., Duarte, R. V., & Raphael, J. H. (2017). An overview of treatment approaches for chronic pain management. In *Rheumatology International* (Vol. 37, Issue 1, pp. 29–42). Springer Verlag. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-016-3481-8
49. Igwea, S. E., Tabansi-Ochuogu, C. S., & Abaraogu, U. O. (2016). TENS and heat therapy for pain relief and quality of life improvement in individuals with primary dysmenorrhea: A

systematic review. In *Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice* (Vol. 24, pp. 86–91). Churchill Livingstone. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctcp.2016.05.001

50. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. (n.d.). *2017 24th International Conference on Mechatronics and Machine Vision in Practice (M2VIP).*
51. Jerry Starling Randall C Horstmanshof Douglas A Milano Carmelo A Selzman Craig H Shah Keyur B Loebe Matthias Moazami Nader Long James W Stehlik Josef Kasirajan Vigneshwar Haas Donald C O, E. D., & John Boyle Andrew J Farrar David J Rogers Joseph G null null, C. B. (2015). *TY AU Y1 PY DA N1 DO T2 JF JO SP EP VL IS PB M3 UR Y2 T1 Risk Assessment and Comparative Effectiveness of Left Ventricular Assist Device and Medical Management in Ambulatory Heart Failure Patients JOUR*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j
52. Karadagur Ananda Reddy, C., Shankar, N., Shreedhar Bhat, G., Charan, R., & Panahi, I. (2017). An Individualized Super-Gaussian Single Microphone Speech Enhancement for Hearing Aid Users with Smartphone as an Assistive Device. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, *24*(11), 1601–1605. https://doi.org/10.1109/LSP.2017.2750979
53. Kent, M. L., Hsia, H. L. J., van De Ven, T. J., & Buchheit, T. E. (2017). Perioperative pain management strategies for amputation: A topical review. In *Pain Medicine (United States)* (Vol. 18, Issue 3, pp. 504–519). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw110
54. Kragic, D., Gustafson, J., Karaoguz, H., Jensfelt, P., & Krug, R. (2018). *Interactive, Collaborative Robots: Challenges and Opportunities*.
55. Kumar, K. H., & Elavarasi, P. (2016). Definition of pain and classification of pain disorders. *Journal of Advanced Clinical & Research Insights*, *3*, 87–90. https://doi.org/10.15713/ins.jcri.112
56. Lan, K.-C., & Litscher, G. (2019). Robot-Controlled Acupuncture—An Innovative Step towards Modernization of the Ancient Traditional Medical Treatment Method. *Medicines*, *6*(3), 87. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicines6030087
57. Lee, J. A., Choi, M., Lee, S. A., & Jiang, N. (2018). Effective behavioral intervention strategies using mobile health applications for chronic disease management: A systematic review. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, *18*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-018-0591-0
58. Leo, M., Medioni, G., Trivedi, M., Kanade, T., & Farinella, G. M. (2017). Computer vision for assistive technologies. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, *154*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cviu.2016.09.001
59. Li, C. T. L., Hung, G. K. N., Fong, K. N. K., Gonzalez, P. C., Wah, S. H., & Tsang, H. W. H. (2022). Effects of home-based occupational therapy telerehabilitation via smartphone for outpatients after hip fracture surgery: A feasibility randomised controlled study. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, *28*(4), 239–247. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X20932434
60. Li, S., Blythe, P., Guo, W., & Namdeo, A. (2019). Investigation of older drivers' requirements of the human-machine interaction in highly automated vehicles. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, *62*, 546–563. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2019.02.009
61. Lusardi, R., Tomelleri, S., & Wherton, J. (2021). Living With Assistive Robotics: Exploring the Everyday Use of Exoskeleton for Persons With Spinal Cord Injury. *Frontiers in Medical Technology*, *3*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmedt.2021.747632
62. Luu, T. H., & Jean Uy-Kroh, M. (2017). *New Developments in Surgery for Endometriosis and Pelvic Pain*. www.clinicalobgyn.com
63. Magnusson, L., Ghosh, R., Jensen, K. R., Göbel, K., Wågberg, J., Wallén, S., Svensson, A., Stavenheim, R., & Ahlström, G. (2019). Quality of life of prosthetic and orthotic users in South India: A cross-sectional study. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, *17*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-019-1116-y
64. Majstorovic, D., Hoffmann, S., Pfab, F., Schimpe, A., Wolf, M. M., & Diermeyer, F. (2022). Survey on Teleoperation Concepts for Automated Vehicles. *Conference Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, *2022-October*, 1290–1296. https://doi.org/10.1109/SMC53654.2022.9945267
65. Mekki, M., Delgado, A. D., Fry, A., Putrino, D., & Huang, V. (2018). Robotic Rehabilitation and Spinal Cord Injury: a Narrative Review. In *Neurotherapeutics* (Vol. 15, Issue 3, pp. 604–617). Springer New York LLC. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13311-018-0642-3
66. Meshram, V. V., Patil, K., Meshram, V. A., & Shu, F. C. (2019). An Astute Assistive Device for Mobility and Object Recognition for Visually Impaired People. *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*, *49*(5), 449–460. https://doi.org/10.1109/THMS.2019.2931745
67. Nakanishi, J., Itadera, S., Aoyama, T., & Hasegawa, Y. (2020). Towards the development of an intuitive teleoperation system for human support robot using a VR device. *Advanced Robotics*, *34*(19), 1239–1253. https://doi.org/10.1080/01691864.2020.1813623

68. Norman, D. (2017). Design, business models, and human-technology teamwork. In *Research Technology Management* (Vol. 60, Issue 1, pp. 26–29). Taylor and Francis Inc. https://doi.org/10.1080/08956308.2017.1255051
69. O'Connell, N. E., Marston, L., Spencer, S., Desouza, L. H., & Wand, B. M. (2018). Non-invasive brain stimulation techniques for chronic pain. In *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* (Vol. 2018, Issue 3). John Wiley and Sons Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008208.pub4
70. Papadopoulos, E. S., & Mani, R. (2020). The Role of Ultrasound Therapy in the Management of Musculoskeletal Soft Tissue Pain. In *International Journal of Lower Extremity Wounds* (Vol. 19, Issue 4, pp. 350–358). SAGE Publications Inc. https://doi.org/10.1177/1534734620948343
71. Peters, B. S., Armijo, P. R., Krause, C., Choudhury, S. A., & Oleynikov, D. (2018). Review of emerging surgical robotic technology. In *Surgical Endoscopy* (Vol. 32, Issue 4, pp. 1636–1655). Springer New York LLC. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-018-6079-2
72. Pommier, B., Créac'H, C., Beauvieux, V., Nuti, C., Vassal, F., & Peyron, R. (2016). Robot-guided neuronavigated rTMS as an alternative therapy for central (neuropathic) pain: Clinical experience and long-term follow-up. *European Journal of Pain (United Kingdom)*, *20*(6), 907–916. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.815
73. Quesada, C., Pommier, B., Fauchon, C., Bradley, C., Créac'H, C., Murat, M., Vassal, F., & Peyron, R. (2020). New procedure of high-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for central neuropathic pain: A placebo-controlled randomized crossover study. *Pain*, *161*(4), 718–728. https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001760
74. Rajan, J., Khlopas, P. V, Klika, A., Molloy, A., Krebs, R., & Piuzzi, V. (n.d.). *TY A1 Y1 JF KW ID IS UR VL N2 SN T1 The Cost-Effectiveness of Robotic-Assisted Versus Manual Total Knee Arthroplasty : A Markov Model-Based Evaluation*.
75. Rajan, K., & Saffiotti, A. (2017). Towards a science of integrated AI and Robotics. In *Artificial Intelligence* (Vol. 247, pp. 1–9). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artint.2017.03.003
76. Rannou, F., Pelletier, J. P., & Martel-Pelletier, J. (2016). Efficacy and safety of topical NSAIDs in the management of osteoarthritis: Evidence from real-life setting trials and surveys. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism*, *45*(4), S18–S21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2015.11.007
77. Rawal, N. (2016). Current issues in postoperative pain management. In *European Journal of Anaesthesiology* (Vol. 33, Issue 3, pp. 160–171). Lippincott Williams and Wilkins. https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.0000000000000366
78. Redfield, R. R., Kenzie, W. R. Mac, Kent, C. K., Damon, G., Dunworth, S., Hood, T. M., Leahy, M. A., Martinroe, J. C., Spriggs, S. R., Yang, T., Doan, Q. M., King, P. H., Starr, T. M., Yang, M., Jones, T. F., Boulton, M. L., Caine, V. A., Daniel, K. L., Fielding, J. E., … Schaffner, W. (2018). Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report Centers for Disease Control and Prevention MMWR Editorial and Production Staff (Weekly) MMWR Editorial Board. In *Rep* (Vol. 67). https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis/index.htm.
79. Reid, M. C., Eccleston, C., & Pillemer, K. (2015). Management of chronic pain in older adults. In *BMJ (Online)* (Vol. 350). BMJ Publishing Group. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h532
80. Riek, L. D. (2017). Healthcare robotics. In *Communications of the ACM* (Vol. 60, Issue 11, pp. 68–78). Association for Computing Machinery. https://doi.org/10.1145/3127874
81. Robotics in Knee and Hip Arthroplasty. (2019). In *Robotics in Knee and Hip Arthroplasty*. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-16593-2
82. Rodriguez, A., Rabunal, J. R., Pazos, A., Rodriguez Sotillo, A., & Ezquerra, N. (2021). Wearable Postural Control System for Low Back Pain Therapy. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, *70*. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIM.2021.3057935
83. ROSICKY, J., GRYGAR, A., CHAPCAK, P., BOUMA, T., & ROSICKY, J. (2016). *Application of 3D Scanning in Prosthetic and Orthotic Clinical Practice*. 88–97. https://doi.org/10.15221/16.088
84. Rus, D., & Tolley, M. T. (2015). Design, fabrication and control of soft robots. In *Nature* (Vol. 521, Issue 7553, pp. 467–475). Nature Publishing Group. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14543
85. Saborowski, M., & Kollak, I. (2015). "How do you care for technology?" - Care professionals' experiences with assistive technology in care of the elderly. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *93*, 133–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2014.05.006
86. Sarker, I. H. (2021). Machine Learning: Algorithms, Real-World Applications and Research Directions. In *SN Computer Science* (Vol. 2, Issue 3). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-021-00592-x
87. Sathish, A., & Dhanabalan, T. (2018). Transforming Indian industries through artificial intelligence and robotics in industry 4.0. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology (IJMET*, *9*(10), 835–845.

http://www.iaeme.com/IJMET/index.asp835http://www.iaeme.com/ijmet/issues.asp?JType=IJMET&VType=9&IType=10http://www.iaeme.com/IJMET/issues.asp?JType=IJMET&VType=9&IType=10http://www.iaeme.com/IJMET/index.asp836
88. Schwan, J., Sclafani, J., & Tawfik, V. L. (2019). Chronic Pain Management in the Elderly. In *Anesthesiology Clinics* (Vol. 37, Issue 3, pp. 547–560). W.B. Saunders. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anclin.2019.04.012
89. Sdrulla, A. D., Guan, Y., & Raja, S. N. (2018). Spinal Cord Stimulation: Clinical Efficacy and Potential Mechanisms. In *Pain Practice* (Vol. 18, Issue 8, pp. 1048–1067). Blackwell Publishing Inc. https://doi.org/10.1111/papr.12692
90. Seretny, M., & Colvin, L. A. (2016). Pain management in patients with vascular disease. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, *117*, ii95–ii106. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aew212
91. Serrezuela, R. R., Quezada, M. T., Zayas, M. H., Pedrón, A. M., Hermosilla, D. M., & Zamora, R. S. (2020). Robotic therapy for the hemiplegic shoulder pain: A pilot study. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, *17*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-020-00674-6
92. Sidhu, K., Lam, P. H., & Mehra, M. R. (2020). Evolving trends in mechanical circulatory support: Clinical development of a fully magnetically levitated durable ventricular assist device. In *Trends in Cardiovascular Medicine* (Vol. 30, Issue 4, pp. 223–229). Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcm.2019.05.013
93. *Software DefineD HealtHcare networks*. (n.d.).
94. Sowah, D., Boyko, R., Antle, D., Miller, L., Zakhary, M., & Straube, S. (2018). Occupational interventions for the prevention of back pain: Overview of systematic reviews. *Journal of Safety Research*, *66*, 39–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.05.007
95. Spaulding, S. E., Kheng, S., Kapp, S., & Harte, C. (2020). Education in prosthetic and orthotic training: Looking back 50 years and moving forward. *Prosthetics and Orthotics International*, *44*(6), 416–426. https://doi.org/10.1177/0309364620968644
96. Straudi, S., Fanciullacci, C., Martinuzzi, C., Pavarelli, C., Rossi, B., Chisari, C., & Basaglia, N. (2016). The effects of robot-assisted gait training in progressive multiple sclerosis: A randomized controlled trial. *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*, *22*(3), 373–384. https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458515620933
97. Sunny, T. D., Aparna, T., Neethu, P., Venkateswaran, J., Vishnupriya, V., & Vyas, P. S. (2016). Robotic Arm with Brain – Computer Interfacing. *Procedia Technology*, *24*, 1089–1096. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PROTCY.2016.05.241
98. Thai, M. T., Phan, P. T., Hoang, T. T., Wong, S., Lovell, N. H., & Do, T. N. (2020). Advanced Intelligent Systems for Surgical Robotics. *Advanced Intelligent Systems*, *2*(8). https://doi.org/10.1002/aisy.201900138
99. Trost, M. J., Ford, A. R., Kysh, L., Gold, J. I., & Matarić, M. (2019). Socially assistive robots for helping pediatric distress and pain. In *Clinical Journal of Pain* (Vol. 35, Issue 5, pp. 451–458). Lippincott Williams and Wilkins. https://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.0000000000000688
100. Wang, H. (2017). Adaptive Control of Robot Manipulators with Uncertain Kinematics and Dynamics. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, *62*(2), 948–954. https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2016.2575827
101. Wu, J., Cheng, H., Zhang, J., Yang, S., & Cai, S. (2021). Robot-Assisted Therapy for Upper Extremity Motor Impairment after Stroke: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. In *Physical Therapy* (Vol. 101, Issue 4). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/pzab010
102. Yang, G., Lv, H., Zhang, Z., Yang, L., Deng, J., You, S., Du, J., & Yang, H. (2020). Keep Healthcare Workers Safe: Application of Teleoperated Robot in Isolation Ward for COVID-19 Prevention and Control. *Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering (English Edition)*, *33*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s10033-020-00464-0
103. Yao, G., Kang, L., Li, J., Long, Y., Wei, H., Ferreira, C. A., Jeffery, J. J., Lin, Y., Cai, W., & Wang, X. (2018). Effective weight control via an implanted self-powered vagus nerve stimulation device. *Nature Communications*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07764-z
104. Yap, J. Y. Y., Keatch, C., Lambert, E., Woods, W., Stoddart, P. R., & Kameneva, T. (2020). Critical Review of Transcutaneous Vagus Nerve Stimulation: Challenges for Translation to Clinical Practice. In *Frontiers in Neuroscience* (Vol. 14). Frontiers Media S.A. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2020.00284
105. Yim, Y., Noguchi, Y., & Tanaka, F. (2022). A wearable soft robot that can alleviate the pain and fear of the wearer. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-21183-7
106. Young, A. J., & Ferris, D. P. (2017). State of the art and future directions for lower limb robotic exoskeletons. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, *25*(2), 171–182. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2016.2521160

107. Yusif, S., Soar, J., & Hafeez-Baig, A. (2016). Older people, assistive technologies, and the barriers to adoption: A systematic review. In *International Journal of Medical Informatics* (Vol. 94, pp. 112–116). Elsevier Ireland Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2016.07.004
108. Zambianchi, F., Franceschi, G., Rivi, E., Banchelli, F., Marcovigi, A., Khabbazè, C., & Catani, F. (2020). Clinical results and short-term survivorship of robotic-arm-assisted medial and lateral unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, *28*(5), 1551–1559. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-019-05566-4
109. Zhang, T. (2020). Toward Automated Vehicle Teleoperation: Vision, Opportunities, and Challenges. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, *7*(12), 11347–11354. https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2020.3028766