# STATIC ANALYSIS OF SIGMOID FGM PLATES

Gauri K. Shinde<sup>1</sup>, Atteshamuddin S. Sayyad<sup>2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> PG Student, Department of Structural Engineering, Sanjivani College of Engineering, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Kopargaon, Maharashtra, India
 <sup>2</sup> Head of Department, Department of Structural Engineering, Sanjivani College of Engineering, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Kopargaon, Maharashtra, India

## ABSTRACT

The static characteristics of Sigmoid Functionally Graded Material (S-FGM) plates of double curvature motivates the author to perform this research study. Principle of virtual work are utilised to derive the governing equation of motion and associated natural boundry conditions. Analytical solutions are obtained using Navier's technique for simply supported boundry conditions. Some numerical results are compared with published results and found to be in excellent agreement. Both the effect of shear strain and displacement are included in the theory. Based on a nonlocal elasticity theory, a model for sigmoid functionally graded material (S-FGM) nanoscale plate with first-order shear deformation is studied. The material properties of S-FGM nanoscale plate are assumed to vary according to sigmoid function (two power law distribution) of the volume fraction of the constituents. The solutions of S-FGM nanoscale plates. The effects of nonlocal parameters, power law index, aspect ratio, elastic modulus ratio, side-to-thickness ratio, and loading type on bending response are investigated.

Keyword: - Sigmoid Plate theory, Functionally graded material plates, Static Analysis

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Functionally graded materials (FGMs) are multifunctional materials, which contain a spatial variation in composition and/or microstructure for the specific purpose of controlling variations in thermal, structural or functional properties. FGMs produced using ceramic and metal has the property of metallic tenacity and yet it is heat proof and anti-corrosive like ceramic. It can also be used as a material to withstand thermal stress. The solutions of S-FGM nanoscale plate are presented to illustrate the effect of nonlocal theory on bending and vibration response of the S-FGM nanoscale plates. The effects of nonlocal parameters, power law index, aspect ratio, elastic modulus ratio, side-to-thickness ratio, and loading type on bending and vibration response are investigated. Results of the present theory show a good agreement with the reference solutions. These results can be used for evaluating the reliability of size-dependent SFGM nanoscale plate models developed in the future.

The FGM plate has been modeled using a three-dimensional (3D) theory of linear elasticity or by twodimensional (2D) plate theory of plane stress and plane strain. Mindlin [1] developed the transverse shear effect was, based on the linear variation of transverse shear shape function, which is known as "First-order Shear Deformation Theory (FSDT). Due to the linear variation of transverse shear shape function, transverse shear strain and hence the transverse shear stress results in a constant value which violates the parabolic variation of transverse shear stress along with the thickness. Reddy [2] presented the most widely used polynomial based "Higher-order Shear Deformation Theory" (HSDT) is "Third-order Shear Deformation Theory" (TSDT) by expanding the m-plane displacements up to the third order of thickness coordinate and satisfying the zero transverse shear stresses at the top and the bottom surface of the plate. Thai and Vo [3] proposed a theory that contained four unknowns and also had a strong similarity with CPT Neves et al [4] proposed a Quasi 3D SSDT theory in which polynomial function is considered in the transverse direction.

Mantari et al. [5] proposed mixed sinusoidal and exponential based shear strain function Thai et al and Nguyen et al [6] proposed inverse tangential shear shape function for composite laminated plates and FGM plates respectively. The inverse co-tangent shear shape function is proposed by Grover et al [7] for static buckling and free vibration analysis of composite laminated plates.

The objective of this article is to present the static behaviour of sigmoid FGM plates. The plate may be either perfectly porous homogenous or has a perfect homogeneity shape depending on the values of the volume fraction of voids or of the graded factors.

A Navier solution is used to obtain closed form solutions for simple supported FG plates. Several important aspects, i.e. aspect ratios, exponent graded factor as well as porosity volume fraction, which affect deflections and stresse, are investigated.

# 2. BASIC ASSUMPTIONS OF THE PLATE THEORY

w

Consider a FG thick rectangular plate of length a, width b, and thickness. The coordinate system is taken such that the x-y plane coincides with midplane of the plate, Let the FG plate be subjected to a transverse load q(x, y) The plate is composed of a functionally graded material across the thickness direction. The assumptions of the present plate theory areas follows:-

- the displacements are small in comparison with the plate thickness, therefore, the strains involved are infinitesimal;
- the transverse displacement w includes two components of bending w, and shear w, these components are functions of the coordinates x,y only.

$$w(x, y, z) = w_b(x, y) + w_s(x, y)$$
(1)

- the transverse normal stress σz, is negligible in companson with in-plane stresses σx and σy.
- the displacements u in the x-direction and v in the y-direction consist of extension, bending and shear components,

$$U = u_0 + u_b + u_s, \quad V = v_0 + v_b + v_s \tag{2}$$

The bending components  $u_b$  and  $v_b$  are assumed to be similar to the displacements given by the classical plate theory. Therefore, the expression for  $u_b$  and  $v_b$  can be given as

$$u_b = -z \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} , \quad v_b = -z \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y}$$
(3)

The shear components  $\mathbf{u}_s$  and  $\mathbf{v}_s$  give rise, in conjunction with  $\mathbf{w}_s$  to the parabolic variations of the shear strains  $\mathbf{\gamma}_{xz}$  and  $\mathbf{\gamma}_{yz}$  and hence to shear stresses  $\mathbf{\tau}_{xz}$  and  $\mathbf{\tau}_{yz}$  through the thickness of the plate in such a way that shear stresses  $\mathbf{\tau}_{xz}$  and  $\mathbf{\tau}_{yz}$  are zero at the top and bottom faces of the plate. Consequently, the expression for  $\mathbf{u}_s$  and  $\mathbf{v}_s$  can be given as

$$\mathbf{u}_{s} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_{s}}{\partial_{x}} , \ \mathbf{v}_{s} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_{s}}{\partial_{y}}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where

$$f(z) = \frac{h}{n} \left( \sin \frac{nz}{h} \right) - z \tag{5}$$

#### **3. KINEMATICS**

## 3.1 Displacement field

The displacement field of the FG plate under consideration is given below:

Metal

$$u = u_0 - z \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} + f(z) \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial x}$$

$$v = v_0 - z \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y} + f(z) \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial y}$$

$$w = w_b + w_s$$
(6)
Ceramic



For the small plate deformation, the six strain components

 $(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{x}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{y}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{z}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{xy}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{xz}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{yz})$  and three displacement components (u, v, w) are related according to the well-known linear kinematic relations.

Fig -1: Geometry and coordinates of the FG plate

$$x = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} - z \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} + f(z) \frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial x^2} = y$$

$$= \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial y} - z \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2} + f(z) \frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial y^2}$$

$$z = 0$$

$$y_{xy} = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial y} - 2z \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y} + 2f(z) \frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial x \partial y}$$

$$y_{xz} = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial z} - z \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial z} + f(z) \frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x}$$

$$(7)$$

b

#### 3.3 Stress Strain relationship

The stress strain relationship of FG plate is given as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{x} \\ \sigma_{y} \\ \tau_{xy} \\ \tau_{xz} \\ \tau_{yz} \end{bmatrix}_{=} \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Q_{21} & Q_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q_{66} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{44} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{55} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{x} \\ \varepsilon_{y} \\ \gamma_{xy} \\ \gamma_{xz} \\ \gamma_{yz} \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

Where,

www.ijariie.com

$$Q_{11} = Q_{22} = \frac{E(z)}{(1 - \mu^2)}$$

$$Q_{12} = \frac{\mu E(z)}{(1 - \mu^2)}$$

$$Q_{44} = Q_{55} = Q_{66} = \frac{E(z)}{2(1 + \mu)}$$
(9)

Where,

$$E(z) = E_m + (E_c - E_m) \left(\frac{z}{h} + 0.5\right)^r$$
(10)

Where Ec and Em are the corresponding properties of the ceramic and the metal respectively, and p is the volume fraction exponent, which takes value greater than or equal to zero.

#### 3.4 Governing equations and boundary conditions

For the case of static analysis, According to Principle of virtual work,

$$\int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{b} \int_{-h/2}^{+h/2} \left[ \sigma_{x} \cdot \delta \varepsilon_{x} + \sigma_{y} \cdot \delta \varepsilon_{y} + \tau_{xy} \cdot \delta \gamma_{xy} + \tau_{xz} \cdot \delta \gamma_{xz} + \tau_{yz} \cdot \delta x \gamma_{yz} \right] dx. dy. dz =$$

$$\int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{b} q. \, \delta w. \, dx. \, dy$$
(11)

Where,  $\delta$  is strain energy variation of the plate.

Substituting values of stresses and strains from Eq (7) in Eq (11), then integrating it by parts and equating it to zero we get six governing equations as follows:

$$\delta u_{o} = \left(-A_{11}\frac{\partial^{2}u_{o}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) - \left(A_{66}\frac{\partial^{2}u_{o}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(A_{12}\frac{\partial^{2}v_{o}}{\partial x\partial y}\right) - \left(A_{66}\frac{\partial^{2}v_{o}}{\partial x\partial y}\right) + \left(B_{11}\frac{\partial^{3}wb}{\partial x^{3}}\right) + \left(B_{12}\frac{\partial^{3}wb}{\partial x\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(2B_{66}\frac{\partial^{3}wb}{\partial x\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(C_{11}\frac{\partial^{3}ws}{\partial x^{3}}\right) - \left(C_{12}\frac{\partial^{3}ws}{\partial x\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(2C_{66}\frac{\partial^{3}ws}{\partial x\partial y^{2}}\right) = 0$$
(12)

$$\begin{split} \delta v_{*} &= \left(-A_{66}\frac{\partial^{2}u_{*}}{\partial x \partial y}\right) - \left(A_{21}\frac{\partial^{2}u_{*}}{\partial x \partial y}\right) - \left(A_{66}\frac{\partial^{2}v_{*}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) - \left(A_{22}\frac{\partial^{2}v}{\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(B_{22}\frac{\partial^{3}wb}{\partial y^{3}}\right) + \\ \left(B_{21}\frac{\partial^{3}wb}{\partial x^{2}\partial y}\right) + \left(2B_{66}\frac{\partial^{3}wb}{\partial x^{2}\partial y}\right) - \left(C_{22}\frac{\partial^{3}ws}{\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(C_{21}\frac{\partial^{3}ws}{\partial x^{2}\partial y}\right) - \left(2C_{66}\frac{\partial^{3}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y}\right) = \\ \delta w_{b} &= \left(-B_{11}\frac{\partial^{3}u_{*}}{\partial x^{3}}\right) - \left(B_{21}\frac{\partial^{3}u_{*}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(2B_{66}\frac{\partial^{3}u_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(B_{12}\frac{\partial^{3}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y}\right) - \left(B_{22}\frac{\partial^{3}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(B_{22}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(E_{12}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(E_{21}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(4E_{66}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(E_{22}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{3}}\right) + \left(C_{21}\frac{\partial^{3}u_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(C_{22}\frac{\partial^{3}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(C_{22}\frac{\partial^{3}w_{*}}{\partial x^{3}}\right) + \left(C_{21}\frac{\partial^{3}u_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(C_{22}\frac{\partial^{3}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(E_{21}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(4E_{66}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(E_{22}\frac{\partial^{3}w_{*}}{\partial x^{3}}\right) + \left(C_{21}\frac{\partial^{3}u_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(C_{22}\frac{\partial^{3}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(E_{22}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(E_{21}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(E_{22}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(E_{22}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) - \left(E_{22}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{4}}\right) + \left(F_{12}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(F_{21}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(E_{66}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(E_{66}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(E_{22}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{4}}\right) + \left(E_{12}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(E_{22}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(E_{22}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{4}}\right) + \left(E_{12}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) + \left(E_{66}\frac{\partial^{4}w_{*}}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}}\right) +$$

Solving Eq. 6,7,8,9, and 10 we get stiffness constants.

www.ijariie.com

Where stiffness constants are,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij} &= Q_{ij} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} dz \\ B_{ij} &= Q_{ij} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} z \, dz \\ C_{ij} &= Q_{ij} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} f(z) dz \\ D_{ij} &= Q_{ij} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} z^2 dz \\ E_{ij} &= Q_{ij} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} z f(z) dz \\ F_{ij} &= Q_{ij} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} f(z)^2 dz \\ G_{ij} &= Q_{ij} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} f'(z) dz \end{aligned}$$

(16)

#### 3.5. Navier's Solution

To prove the efficient and validity of presented theory, the Navier's solution technique is employed to determine numerical solution for simply supported FG plate. Following are boundary conditions at simply supported edge of plate.

At x=0, x=a and y=0, y=b

The following solution form is aasumed for unknown variables in displacement fields which satisfies simply supported boundry conditions exactly mentioned in equations.

$$u_{0=}u_{mn}\cos\frac{m\pi x}{a}\sin\frac{n\pi y}{b}$$

$$v_{0=}v_{mn}\sin\frac{m\pi x}{a}\cos\frac{n\pi y}{b}$$

$$wb_{=}wb_{mn}\sin\frac{m\pi x}{a}\sin\frac{n\pi y}{b}$$

$$ws_{=}ws_{nm}\sin\frac{m\pi x}{a}\sin\frac{n\pi y}{b}$$
(17)
Where,  $\alpha = \frac{\pi u}{a}$  and  $\beta = \frac{\pi u}{b}$  and m and n are mode numbers. For the case of a sinusoidally distributed load, we have  $m = n = 1$  and  $q_{11} = q_{o}$  where  $q_{o}$  represents the intensity of the load at the plate's center.  
Substituting Navier's solution form in Eq (12) to (15) we get following four equations,  $\delta u_{0} = (A_{11}\alpha_{2} + A_{66}\beta_{2})u_{mn} + (A_{12} + A_{66})\alpha\beta v_{mn} - [(B_{12} + 2B_{66})\alpha\beta_{2} + B_{12} + B_$ 

 $B_{11}\beta^3 w_{bmn} + \left[ (C_{12} + 2C_{66})\alpha\beta^2 + C_{11}\alpha^3 \right] w_{smn} = 0$ 

 $\delta v_0 = (A_{66\alpha 2} + A_{22}\beta_2)u_{mn} + (A_{12} + A_{66})\alpha\beta v_{mn} - [(B_{12} + 2B_{66})\alpha\beta_2 +$ 

$$B_{11}\beta^3 w_{bmn} + \left[ (C_{12} + 2C_{66})\alpha\beta^2 + C_{22}\alpha^3 \right] w_{smn} = 0$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

$$\delta w_{b} = -[(B_{21} + 2B_{66})\alpha\beta2 + B_{11}\alpha3]u_{mn} - [(B_{12} + 2B_{66})\alpha2\beta + B_{22}\beta3]v_{mn} + [(D_{11}\alpha_{4}) + (D_{12} + D_{21} + 4D_{66})\alpha2\beta2 + (D_{22}\beta_{4})]w_{bmn} - [(E_{11}\alpha_{4}) + (E_{12} + E_{21} + 4E_{66})\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} + (E_{22}\beta^{4})]w_{smn} = -q_{mn}$$

$$(20)$$

(18)

 $\delta w_{s} = [(C_{21} + 2C_{66})\alpha\beta_{2} + C_{11}\alpha_{3}]u_{mn} + [(C_{12} + 2C_{66})\alpha_{2}\beta + C_{22}]v_{mn} - [(E_{11}\alpha_{4}) + (E_{12} + E_{21} + 4E_{66})\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} + (E_{22}\beta_{4})]w_{bmn} + [(F_{11}\alpha_{4}) + (F_{12} + F_{21} + 4F_{66})\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} + (F_{22}\beta_{4}) + (G_{55}\alpha^{2} + G_{44}\beta^{2})]w_{smn} = -q_{mn}$ (21)

One can write equations (18), (19), (20), (21) in following matrix form. Matrix form,

 $[K] \{\Delta\} = \{F\}$ 

 $\begin{bmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} & K_{13} & K_{14} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} & K_{23} & K_{24} \\ K_{31} & K_{32} & K_{33} & K_{34} \\ K_{41} & K_{42} & K_{43} & K_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{mn} \\ v_{mn} \\ w_{bmn} \\ w_{smn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -q_{mn} \\ -q_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$ (22)

Where 
$$[K] = Stiffness Matrix$$
  
The elements of stiffness matrix are:  
 $K_{11} = (A_{11}\alpha_2 + A_{66})\alpha^2$ )  
 $K_{12} = (A_{12} + A_{66})\alpha\beta$   
 $K_{13} = -[(B_{12} + 2B_{66})\alpha\beta_2 + B_{11}\alpha_3]$   
 $K_{14} = [(C_{12} + 2C_{66})\alpha\beta_2 + C_{11}\alpha_3]$   
 $K_{21} = (A_{21} + A_{66})\alpha\beta$   
 $K_{22} = (A_{66}\alpha_2 + A_{22}\beta^2)$   
 $K_{23} = -[(B_{21} + 2B_{66})\alpha^2\beta + B_{22}\beta^3]$   
 $K_{24} = [(C_{21} + 2C_{66})\alpha^2\beta + C_{22}\beta_3]$   
 $K_{31} = -[(B_{21} + 2B_{66})\alpha^2\beta + B_{22}\alpha^3]$   
 $K_{32} = -[(B_{12} + 2B_{66})\alpha^2\beta + B_{22}\alpha^3]$   
 $K_{33} = [(D_{11}\alpha_4) + (D_{12} + D_{21} + 4D_{66})\alpha^2\beta^2 + (D_{22}\beta_4)]$   
 $K_{34} = -[(E_{11}\alpha^4) + (E_{12} + E_{21} + 4E_{66})\alpha^2\beta^2 + (E_{22}\beta^4)]$   
 $K_{41} = [(C_{11}\alpha^3) + (C_{21} + 2C_{66})\alpha\beta^2] K_{42} = [(C_{22}\beta^3) + (C_{12} + 2C_{66})\alpha^2\beta^2 + (E_{22}\beta^4)]$   
 $K_{43} = -[(E_{11}\alpha^4) + (E_{12} + E_{21} + 4E_{66})\alpha^2\beta^2 + (E_{22}\beta^4)]$   
 $K_{44} = [(F_{11}\alpha^4) + (F_{12} + F_{21} + 4F_{66})\alpha^2\beta^2 + (F_{22}\beta^4) + (G_{55}\alpha^2 + G_{44}\beta^2)]$  (23)

Where,  $\alpha = \frac{mu}{a}$  and  $\beta = \frac{mu}{b}$ 

## 3. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present theory is applied to the static analysis of FG plates. The FG plate is supposed to be aluminum and alumina with the following material properties:

Metal (aluminum, Al) :  $E_m = 70 \times 10^9 \text{ N/m}^2$ ;  $\mu = 0.3$ 

Ceramic (Alumina,  $Al_2O_3)$  :  $E_c=380x10^9~N/m^2$  ;  $\mu=0.3$  The various non-dimensionless parameters used are :

$$W = \frac{10hE_0}{a2q0}, W\left(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right)$$
$$\sigma_x = \frac{10h^2}{a2q0}, \sigma_x\left(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{b}{2}, \frac{h}{2}\right)$$
$$\tau_{xz} = \frac{h}{aq0}, \tau_{xz}\left(0, \frac{b}{2}, 0\right)$$

Table -1: Comparison of deflections and dimensionless axial stress of FG plate for different volume fraction v	alues
--	-------

Theory	р	W	бх	τxz
S. Merdaci		0.08122	1.99550	0.24618
FSDPT [62]		0.07791	1.97576	0.15915
PSDPT[49]	Ceramic	0.07791	1.99432	0.23857
SSDPT[61]	1	0.07790	1.999550	0.24618
Present		0.08808	2.70969	0.14941
S. Merdaci		0.19703	0.94407	0.34103
FSDPT [62]	$\mathcal{L}$	0.19609	0.93765	0.26880
PSDPT[49]	1	0.19604	0.94370	0.33433
SSDPT[61]		0.19604	0.94407	0.34103
Present		0.17684	0.87023	0.35334
S. Merdaci		0.28479	1.37702	0.41426
FSDPT [62]		0.28661	1.36934	0.34892
PSDPT[49]		0.28490	1.37662	0.40919
SSDPT[61]	2	0.28479	1.37702	0.41426
Present		0.30864	1.03557	0.41125
S. Merdaci		0.33606	1.62591	0.47502
FSDPT [62]		0.33851	1.61758	0.41003
PSDPT[49]		0.33624	1.62552	0.47133
SSDPT[61]	5	0.33606	1.62591	0.47502
Present		0.32396	1.25657	0.39746

S. Merdaci		0.38090	1.84026	0.57591
FSDPT [62]		0.38402	1.83097	1.83097
PSDPT[49]	10	0.38116	1.83989	0.57591
SSDPT[61]	10	0.38090	1.84026	0.57337
Present		0.37276	1.46967	0.38419

 Table -2: Deflections of S-FG plate

		W		
P=0	P=1	P=2	P=5	P=10
0.08808	0.176836	0.308641	0.323958	0.372755
0.08808	0.176836	0.308641	0.323958	0.372755
0.08808	0.176836	0.308641	0.323958	0.372755
0.08808	0.176836	0.308641	0.323958	0.372755
0.08808	0.176836	0.308641	0.323958	0.372755
0.08808	0.176836	0.308641	0.323958	0.372755
0.08808	0.176836	0.308641	0.323958	0.372755
0.08808	0.176836	0.308641	0.323958	0.372755
0.08808	0.176836	0.308641	0.323958	0.372755
0.08808	0.176836	0.308641	0.323958	0.372755

Table -3: Axial stress of first layer S-FG plate

			бx		
	P=0	P=1	P=2	P=5	P=10
LAYER 1	-2.709688	-0.870229	-1.035571	-1.256572	- 1.469672
	-1.757538	-0.825161	-0.720446	-0.836684	- 0.969539

-1.058202	-0.664131	-0.510557	-0.525398	- 0.598086
-0.573921	-0.46426	-0.354815	-0.307753	- 0.336104
-0.245889	-0.26372	-0.220389	-0.157851	- 0.153705
0	0	0	0	0

# Table -4: Axial stress of second layer S-FG plate

			бx		
	P=0	P=1	P=2	P=5	P=10
LAYER	0	0	0	0	0
2	0.245889	0.26372	0.220389	0.157851	0.153705
	0.573921	0.464 <mark>2</mark> 6	0.354815	0.307753	0.336104
	1.058202	0.664131	0.510557	0.525398	0.598086
	1.757538	0.825161	0.720 <mark>44</mark> 6	0.836684	0.969539
	2.709688	0.870229	1.035571	1.256572	1.469672

Table -5: Transverse shear stress of first layer S-FG plate.

			$ au_{xz}$		
	P=0	P=1	P=2	P=5	P=10
LAYER	0	0	0	0	0
1	-0.149410	-0.083629	-0.071743	-0.083464	- 0.101150
	-0.284194	-0.207896	-0.173823	-0.198976	- 0.192398
	-0.391160	-0.353344	-0.291546	-0.270853	- 0.264820
	-0.459836	-0.494380	-0.411255	-0.378512	- 0.345140
	-0.483510	-0.502886	-0.448456	-0.397460	- 0.384191

			τxz		
	P=0	P=1	P=2	P=5	P=10
LAYER	0.483510	0.502886	0.448456	0.397460	0.384191
2	0.459836	0.494380	0.411255	0.378512	0.345140
	0.391160	0.353344	0.291546	0.270853	0.264820
	0.284194	0.207896	0.173823	0.198976	0.192398
	0.149410	0.083629	0.071743	0.083464	0.101150
	0	0	0	0	0

Table -6: Transverse shear stress of second layer S-FG plate.

Comparison of deflections and dimensionless axial stresses and transverse shear stresses of FG plate for different volume fractions is shown in Table 1. The present predictions are compared with first order, parabolic and sinusoidal shear deformation theories. It is observed that, the value of deflection and transverse shear stresses increases and decreases the axial stress for different volume fraction values. Deflections, axial stresses and transverse shear stresses of S-FG plate for different volume fractions is shown in Table 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. It is observed that, the value of deflection increases with increase in volume fraction values.



Fig -2: Displacement variation of S-FGM plate



Fig -4: Distribution of transverse shear stress of FGM plate.

Variation of the dimensional displacement as a function of geometric ratio (a/b) for a ratio of equal thickness (a/h=10) and a material index p=0 to 10 is shown in Fig. 2.It is observed that the deflection of the FG plate decreases as the geometric ratio increases. Variation of the axial stress across the plate thickness in FGM is shown in Fig. 3. It is seen that the stresses are tensile above the meridian plane and compressive below the meridian plane. The maximum stress depends on the value of the exponent of the volume fraction p. Shear stresses are plotted through the transverse thickness distribution in Fig. 4. It is observed that the transverse

Shear stresses are plotted through the transverse thickness distribution in Fig. 4. It is observed that the transverse shear stress decreases at a point on the meridian plane of FG plate.

# **5. CONCLUSIONS**

The theory is evaluated for simply supported sigmoidal FGM plate subjected to the static conditions. This theory satisfies the nullity of the stresses at the upper and lower surfaces of the plate without using the shear correction factor, contrary to other theories. The effect of various parameters, such as thickness ration, gradient index and

volume fraction of ceramic-metal plates are discussed. From the numerical results and discussion various conclusions are drawn that is the value of deflection and transverse shear stresses increases for different volume fraction values and decreases the axial stresses for different volume fraction values. The value of deflection increases with increase in volume fraction values.

The deflection of the FG plate decreases as the geometric ratio increases. The stresses are tensile above the meridian plane and compressive below the meridian plane. The maximum stress depends on the value of the exponent of the volume fraction p. The transverse shear stress decreases at a point on the meridian plane of FG plate.

#### **5.1 Scope for future work**

This theory is applicable to static and vibrational analysis of plates and shells.

This theory is applicable to dynamic analysis of plates and shells.

This theory can be applied to thermal vibrational analysis of FG plates.

This theory can be applied to bending analysis of FG plates.

This theory can be applied to buckling analysis of FG plates.

#### 6. REFERENCES

[1] R. D. Mindlin, "Influence of Rotatory Inertia and Shear on Flexural Motions of Isotropic, Elastic Plates, Journal of Applied Mechanics-Transactions of the Asme, vol. 18, pp. 31- 38-1951.

[2] I N. Reddy, Theory and analysis of elastic plates and shells," p. 568, 2006.

[3] A M. Zenkour, "A simple four-unknown refined theory for bending analysis of functionally graded plates, Applied Mathematical Modelling, vol. 37, no. 20-21, pp. 9041-9051, 2013.

[4] H. T. Thai and T. P. Vo, "A new sinusoidal shear deformation theory for bending buckling, and vibration of functionally graded plates," Applied Mathematical Modelling vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 3269-3281, 2013.

[5] A. M. A. Neves et al., "A quasi-3D sinusoidal shear deformation theory for the static and free vibration analysis of functionally graded plates," Composites Part B: Engineering.

[6] JL. Mantari, A. S. Oktem, and C. Guedes Soares, "A new trigonometric shear deformation theory for isotropic, laminated composite and sandwich plates." International Journal of Soilds and Structures, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 43-53, 2012.

[7] H. T. Thai, T. K. Nguyen, T. P. Vo, and J. Lee, Analysis of functionally graded sandwich plates using a new first-order shear deformation theory." European Journal of Mechanics, A Solids, vol. 45, pp. 211-225, 2014.

[8] Neeraj Grover, D.K. Maiti, B.N. Singh, "A new inverse hyperbolic shear deformation theory for static and buckling analysis of laminated composite and sandwich plates," Composite Structures, vol 95, no. 95, pp. 667-675, 2012

[9] A M. Zenkour, "A simple four-unknown refined theory for bending analysis of functionally graded plates, Applied Mathematical Modelling, vol. 37, no. 20-21, pp. 9041-9051, 2013.

[10] K. P. Soldatos, "A transverse shear deformation theory for homogeneous monoclinic plates,"

Acta Mechanica, vol 94, no. 3-4, pp. 195-220, 1992

[11] S. S. Akavci, "Buckling and Free Vibration Analysis of Symmetric and Antisymmetric Laminated Composite Plates on an Elastic Foundation," Journal of Reinforced Plasties and Composites, vol. 26, no. 18, pp. 1907-1919, 2007.

[12] L. Iurlaro, M. Gherlone, and M. Di Sciuva, "Bending and free vibration analysis of functionally graded sandwich plates using the Refined Zigzag Theory, Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials, vol. 16, no. 6, pp 669-699, 2014.

[13] D. P. Makhecha, M. Ganapathi and B. P. Patel Dynamic analysis of laminated composite plates subjected to thermal mechanical loads using an accurate theory, Composite Structures, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 221-236, 2001.

[14] M. K. Pandit, A. H. Sheikh, and B. N. Singh, "An improved higher order zigzag theory for the static analysis of laminated sandwich plate with soft core," Finite Elements in Analysis and Design, 2008.

[15] N. Grover B. N. Singh and D. K. Maiti, "A general assessment of a new inverse trigonometric shear deformation theory for laminated composite and sandwich plates using finite element method," Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers,

[16] V. H Nguyen, T. K. Nguyen, H. T. Thai, and T. P. Vo, "A new inverse trigonometric shear deformation theory for isotropic and functionally graded sandwich plates," Composites Part B. Engineering, vol. 66, pp. 233-246, 2014

[17] A.M. Zenkour, "Generalized shear deformation theory for bend- ing analysis of functionally graded plates", Applied Mathemat- ical Modelling 30, 67-84 (2004).

[18] A. R. Saidi, M. Bodaghi, and S. R. Atashipour, "Levy-type solution for bending-stretching of thick functionally graded rectangular plates based on third-order shear deformation theory,

Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures, 2012

[19] J. Singh and K. K. Shukla, "Nonlinear flexural analysis of functionally graded plates under different loadings using RBF based meshless method," Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements, 2012.

[20] M. K. Pandit, B. N. Singh, and A. H. Sheikh, "Stochastic perturbation-based finite element for deflection statistics of soft core sandwich plate with random material properties." International Journal of Mechanical Sciences, 2009

[21] P. A. Demirhan and V. Taskin, "Bending and free vibration analysis of Levy-type porous functionally graded plate using state space approach," Composites Part B: Engineering. 2018. [22] H. Matsunaga, "Stress analysis of functionally graded plates subjected to thermal and mechanical loadings, Composite Structures, vol. 87, no. 4, pp. 344-357, 2009.

[23] A. M. Zenkour, M. N. M. Allam, A. F. Radwan, and H. F. El-Mekawy, "Thermo- Mechanical Bending Response of Exponentially Graded Thick Plates Resting on Elastic Foundations,

International Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 07, no. 04, p. 1550062, 2015

[24] M. Sobhy. "Thermoelastic Response of FGM Plates with Temperature-Dependent Properties Resting on Variable Elastic Foundations," International Journal of Applied Mechantes, vol 07, no. 06, p. 1550082, 2015

[25] A M. Zenkour, "Bending analysis of functionally graded sandwich plates using a simple four-unknown shear and normal deformations theory, Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials, 2013

[26] A M. Zenkour and N. A. Alghamdi, "Thermomechanical bending response of functionally graded nonsymmetric sandwich plates," Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 7-46, 2010.

[27] A.M. Zenkour, 2006. Generalised shear deformation theory for bending analysis of functionally graded plates, Appl. Math. Model. 30. 67-84.

[28] A. Tounsi, M. S. A. Houari, S. Benyoucef and E. A. Adda Bedia, "A refined trigonometric shear deformation theory for thermoelastic bending of functionally graded sandwich plates, Aerospace Science and Technology, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 209-220, 2013.

[29] M. Sid Ahmed Houari, A Tounsi, and O. Anwar Beg. "Thermoelastic bending analysis of functionally graded sandwich plates using a new higher order shear and normal deformation theory," International Journal of Mechanical Sciences, vol 76, pp. 102-111, 2013

[30] D Li, Z. Deng, and H. Xiao, "Thermomechanical bending analysis of functionally graded sandwich plates using four-variable refined plate theory, Composites Part B Engineering, vol. 106, pp. 107-119, 2016.

[31] A Gupta and M. Talha Influence of porosity on the flexural and vibration response of gradient plate using nonpolynomial higher-order shear and normal deformation theory" International Journal of Mechanics and Materials in Design, pp. 1-20, 2017.

[32] Gupta A, Jain NK, Salhotra R. Joshi PV. Effect of crack location on vibration analysis of partially cracked isotropic and FGM micro-plate with non-uniform thickness: an analytical approach. Int J Mech Sci 2018:145:410-29.

[33] S. Coskun, J. Kim, and H. Toutanji, "Bending, Free Vibration, and Buckling Analysis of Functionally Graded Porous Micro-Plates Using a General Third-Order Plate Theory, Journal of Composites Science, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 15, 2019.

[34] P. A. Demirhan and V. Taskin, "Bending and free vibration analysis of Levy-type porous functionally graded plate using state space approach," Composites Part B: Engineering. 2018. [35] Banh-Thien T, Dang-Trung H. Le-Anh L, Ho-Huu V. Nguyen Thoi T. Buckling analysis of non-uniform thickness nanoplates in an elastic medium using the Isogeometric analysis. Compos Struct 2017,162:182-93.

[36] A. M. A. Neves et al., "A quasi-3D sinusoidal shear deformation theory for the static and free vibration analysis of functionally graded plates," Composites Part B: Engineering. vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 711-725, 2012.

[37] M. Bennoun, M. S. A. Houari, and A. Tounsi, "A novel five-variable refined plate theory for vibration analysis of functionally graded sandwich plates," Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 423-431, 2016.

[38] Thai HT, Kim SE. A review of theories for the modeling and analysis of functionally graded plates shells. Composite Structures 2015;128:70-86.

[39] Barati Mohamosad Reza, Zenkour Ashraf M. Electro-thermoelastic vibration of plates made of porous functionally graded piezoelectric materials under various boundary conditions. | Vib Contr 2018:24(10): 1910-26.

[40]Zenkour Ashraf Ahmed Amine Daikh, Free vibration and buckling of porous power-law and sigmoid fundionally graded sandwich plates using a simple higher order their deformation theory. Mater Res Express 2019:6(11): 115707.

[41] M. Sobhy. "Thermoelastic Response of FGM Plates with Temperature-Dependent Properties Resting on Variable Elastic Foundations," International Journal of Applied Mechantes, vol

07, no. 06, p. 1550082, 2015

[42] Gagnon P. Gosseln C. The finite strip element for the analysis of variable thickness rectangular plate. Comput Struct 1997;63(2):349-62.

[43] Saliyana M Huang. Free vibration analysis of rectangular plate with variable thickness. J Sound Vib 1998:216(3):379-97.

[44] Singh B, Saxena V. Transverse vibration of a rectangular plate with bidirectional thickness variation. J Sound Vib 1996:198:51-6.

[45] Nerantzaki MS, Katsikadelis ft. An analog equation solution to dynamic analysis of plates with variable thickness. Eng Anal Bound Elem 1996:17: [145-52.

[46] Mikami T, Yoshimura J. Application of the collocation method to vibration analysis of rectangular Mindlin plates. Comput Struct 1984:18:425-31. Aksu G. Al Kaali SA. Free vibration analysis of mindlin plates with linearly varying thickness | Sound Vib 1987:119-189-205. [47] Mizusawa T. Vibration of rectangular mindlin plates with tapered thickness by the spline strip method. Comput Struct 1993,44:451-63.

[48] Cheung VK Zhou D. Vibration of tapered mindlin plates in terms of static timoshenko beam functions. J Sound Vib 2003:260:693-709.