A Review on Tool materials, geometries and welding variables used for Friction Stir Welding

Raktate Omesh¹, Dhananjay Dolas²,

PG student, Jawaharlal Neharu Engineering College, Aurangabad, MS, India.
 Associate Professor, MGM'S Jawaharlal Neharu Engineering College, Aurangabad, MS, India.

ABSTRACT

Friction Stir welding Process is an Innovative solid state joining process which has been employed in different applications like Automotive, Rail, Aerospace, Marine industries for joining the various Aluminum, Magnesium, Zinc & Copper alloys. The various distinct parameters which plays a vital role while joining the alloys with help of Friction Stir Welding process are, selection of tool material, selection of tool geometries, selection of process parameters like tool rotational speed, tool traverse speed, axial force, weld angle, etc. Also these factors plays a major role to obtain a good quality welds. Many researchers have experimented on FSW and reported about the relations between welding properties as well as the various process conditions. The objective of this paper is to carry out critical literature review of tool materials, geometries & welding variables used for friction stir welding. This review is helpful for upcoming researcher to optimize process parameters in friction stir welding

Keywords: Friction Stir Welding, Process parameters, FSW Tools, Advance Mfg. Process,

1. INTRODUCTION:

Wayne Thomas and his colleagues developed friction stir welding In 1991 and they suggest that joint efficiency obtained by the process is 90% acceptable and defect free and doesn't melt the workpiece as in case of fusion welding processes. After this newly discovered method of FSW, industries started implementing this technique for manufacturing of aluminium components. Thus, its eco-friendly and energy efficient behavior establish FSW as a green technology. [1] In Friction welding two pieces are joined by frictional heat, which is generated when a moving workpiece and a fixed component are thrust together to obtain the required heat and temperature for welding. Friction Stir Welding can be considered as a multi input multi output process, because the weld quality is directly influenced by the welding parameters during the weld for getting good welding joints with the required weld quality with minimal residual stresses and distortion [2]. The Friction Stir Welding is often a preferred joining technique for aluminum alloys as well as for other difficult-to-weld metals such as metal-matrix composites, magnesium alloys, and titanium alloys etc. The technique is now widely used in many industrial sectors such as transportation, marine, aerospace, railway[3].

2. FRICTION STIR WELDING PROCESS:

FSW is a solid-phase joining process in which a virtually wear free, rotating welding pin is traversed along the weld path between the work pieces to be joined. The rotation of the tool in the firmly clamped parts as shown in fig. 1 is to be joined to generate frictional heat, which causes the material to plasticize. The subsequent advance motion, combined with continuing rotation of the tool, mixes the plasticized material in the joining area, resulting in a high-quality weld seam. This process of the tool traversing along the weld line in a plasticized tubular shaft of metal results in solid state deformation involving dynamic re-crystallization of the base material.



The principle of operation of friction stir processing is shown in figure 2. which is suitable in the welding operation of non-ferrous metals with low melting points, such as aluminum and brass. The process can also be used for copper, titanium, magnesium, zinc and lead. As because of melting does not occur and joining takes place below the melting temperature of the material, a good-quality weld is created. This characteristic eventually reduces the ill effects of high heat input, including distortion, and eliminating the welding defects during solidification. The process was limited to low melting temperature materials because of tools could not hold up to the stress of stirring higher temperature materials such as high-strength materials, steels and its alloys.

3. MICROSTRUCTURE OF THE FSW JOINTS:

In order to facilitate a complete understanding of heat generation and material flow mechanics of FSW welding process, Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 shows the micrographs of different microstructure zones with advancing and retreating sides. The microstructure of the weld nugget is characterized by a dynamically recrystallized region of the base material due to the conjoint influence of heavy plastic deformation and locally high temperature[7,9].

The phenomenon of recrystallization in FSW weld nuggets is reported to be a continuous-dynamicrecrystallization. TMAZ is a region that undergoes plastic deformation at the "local" level coupled with a temperature rise that is lower than that of the weld nugget. HAZ is the region in which the material is affected by the heat generated during the process [5,9]. However, the material flow behavior is predominantly influenced by the FSW tool profiles, FSW tool dimensions and FSW process parameters[9].



4. PROCESS PARAMETERS IN FSW:

There are various optimization studies for process parameters have been conducted by various researchers for the friction stir welding process. The process parameters are highly depends on the type of tool pin profile preferred for the stir welding. Fig. 6 shows the various types of tools normally experimented for the process are plain cylindrical, conical, threaded, hexagonal, triangular, square, whorl, MX triflute, flared triflute, skew, re-stir etc.



The important geometric parameter required in the FSW tool design is the diameter of shoulder, which is currently designed according to trial and error methods. The various effects of shoulder diameter on thermal cycles, peak temperatures, power requirements, and torque during FSW processes are very complex and remain to characterization procedure could be used to optimize the FSW process. The material which is used for preparation of the tool pin profile for nonferrous metals are HSS or mild steel with special heat treatment. Tool material developments in this field provides advancement in design as well as material selection for respective applications.

5. REVIEW OF STUDIES ON TOOL MATERIALS, GEOMETRIES AND WELDING VARIABLES USED FOR FSW:

In these section different tools, tool shape and size, tool materials, operating parameters and the metals that were successfully joined together using FSW are presented. The various efforts and investigations of different researchers on different materials similar or dissimilar with respect to the FSW tool and its profile are compared for their respective findings. The table 1 presents a broader idea of material and profile of the FSW tool required for joining different metals for varied applications.

 Table 1: Tool materials, geometries and welding variables used for FSW of several Aluminum and magnesium alloys

Tool	Tool shape and size	Workpiece	Operating parameters	Remarks	Ref.
material		materia			110.
H13 steel	SS: flat with scroll;	6111-T4	2000 rev/min; dwell	Obtained better	10
	SD: 10 mm;	Al alloy,	time: 2.5/S, plunge rate:	quality with pinless	
	PL: 0–1.6 mm	0.9 mm	2.5 mm/S, FSSW	tool	
		thick			
-	PS: Triflute, Trivex	7075-T7351,	394 and 457 rev/min,	Weld UTS:	15
		6.35 mm	300–540 mm/min	470–488 MPa	
Tool	PS: threaded	7075-T7351,	190–457 rev/min,	Identified surface	21
steel;		6.35 mm,	0.3–1.4 mm/rev	scaling	
				and voiding	
Tool	SS: concave,	Al alloys,	600-1500 rev/min,	Peak joint	17
steel	SD: 15 mm,	5 mm	25–1000 mm/min	efficiencies:	
	PS: SC, SCT,		3° tilt	70-100%	
	triangular;				
	PL: 4.7, 6 mm				
H13 steel	SD: 10 mm,	AZ31 Mg,	1000-3000 rev/min,	-	16
	PD: 4 mm,	1.5 mm thick	dwell		

	1			1				
	PL: 1.8 mm, PS: SCT, 3F with M4 threads		time: 1, 4/S plunge rate:0–10mm/S FSSW					
H13	SD: 10-20 mm	7020-T6 A1	1400 rev/min	Peak joint	23			
Steel	Flat	allov	80 mm/min	efficiency: 92%	-0			
Bieei	PD: 3_8 mm	4 mm		efficiency. <i>J27</i> 0				
	$\mathbf{D} \cdot 12 \text{ mm}$							
	DS: fructum and SC							
1112 / 1	PS: Inustum and SC		(50) / : 150		10			
H13 steel	SD: 25.4 mm, PD: 5.2-	6061-16 Al,	650 rev/min, 150	-	13			
	7.6 mm,	9.5 mm and	or 200 mm/min,					
	PL: 1.8–7.1 mm	12.7 mm	3° tilt					
H13	SD: 10 mm,	AZ31 Mg,	1000–3000 rev/min,	Welds with	18			
steel,	PD: 4 mm;	1.5 mm	dwell	3F/threaded				
46-48	PL: 1.8 mm,	for a	time: 1/S plunge rate: 2.5	superior to				
HRC	PS: SCT, and	di second	mm/S FSSW	those with SCT				
	threaded and		200	the.				
	unthreaded 3F							
-	SS: concave.	6061-T6A1	286–1150 rev/min.		22			
	SD: 26 mm	63 mm	30-210 mm/min					
	PD: 56 mm							
	$PI \cdot 5.0 \text{ mm}$	1						
	DS: SCT							
H12 staal		5754 41	1500 rou/min_dwall		25			
HIS steel	SS. concave, convex,	5754 AI,		-	23			
	flat,	1.32 mm	time: 2/S; plunge rate: 20					
	SD: 12 mm,		mm/min,					
	PD: 5 mm,	and here	FSSW					
	PL: 1.6 mm							
-	PD: 3.1 mm,	AZ31B-H24	1000–2000 rev/min,	Joint efficiencies:	20			
	PL: 1.65 mm,	Mg alloy,	300–1800 mm/min	74–83%				
	PS: SC, LHT, RHT	2 mm						
Tool	PD: 6 mm	A319 and	1000 rev/min	No property	26			
steel	N. N.	A413 A1	120 mm/min	degradation in				
	22A - W	alloy, 6 mm		weld metal				
1.MS.	SD: 15, 18, 21 mm,	AZ31B Mg	1600 rev/min,	Joint efficiencies:	24			
2.SS.	PS: SC, TC,	alloy, 6 mm	40 mm/min,	48.8-96.7%				
3.Armour	Tri. & Sq.	A A A	0° tilt	1.5 1. 1.8				
steel,	PL: 5.7 mm,			11 1				
4.HCS	PD: 6 mm			Company of the State				
5. HSS		3		J. Same				
-	SS: scroll, cavity.	6082-T6 Al.	1810 rev/min.	Joint efficiencies:	19			
	Fillet.	1.5 mm	460 mm/min	76%				
	PD: 1.7 mm:		2° tilt	, 0,0				
	PS: SC	and the second	Contraction of the second seco					
	$PI \cdot 12 \text{ mm}$		and the second					
H13 steel	SD: 10 mm	A 731B H24	1200 mm/min	Ioint afficiencies:	14			
III5 steel	$DL \cdot 2.25 \text{ mm}$	Mg allow	500,2000, row/min	$y_{\rm m}$ to $620/$	14			
	PL. 2–3.5 mm,	Nig alloy,	500-2000 Tev/IIIII	up to 02%				
TT' 1	PD: 6.35 mm	2 mm	200 1620 / :		10			
High	SS: concave,	7020-16 Al,	300–1620 rev/min	-	12			
carbon	SD: 15 mm,	4 mm	100–900 mm/min					
steel	PS: SC, TC3F,		2.5° tilt					
	PL: 3.19 mm, PD: 5							
	mm							
Note: SS:- Shoulder Surface shape, SD:- Shoulder Diameter; PD:- Pin Diameter; PL:- Pin Length; PS:- Pin Shape;								
SC:- Straight Circular; SCT:- Straight Circular Threaded; TC3F:- Tapered Circular with 3 Flats; UTS:- Ultimate								
Tensile Strength; FSSW:- Friction Stir Spot Welding.								

www.ijariie.com

6. THE CRITICAL WELDING PARAMETERS:

The various welding process parameters which are generally considered in friction Stir Welding are as follows:

7.1 Tool tilt and plunge depth : It is defined as depth of the lowest point of the shoulder below the surface of the welding plate and plunging the shoulder below the plate surface increases the pressure below the tool and helps ensure adequate forging of the material at the rear of the tool [6]. Tilting the tool by 2 to 4 degrees, such that the rear face of the tool is lower than the front face, will assist this forging process. It will gives the necessary downward force hence tool fully penetrates the weld addressing defects such as pin rubbing on the backing plate surface or a significant under-match of the weld thickness [7].

7.2 Tool rotation and traverse speeds : These two parameters have more importance and must be chosen with care to ensure a successful and efficient welding cycle [7]. Increasing the rotation speed or decreasing the traverse speed will result in a hotter weld also for good weld quality, and material surrounding the tool should be hot enough to enable the extensive plastic flow required and minimize the forces acting on the tool leading to tool breakage. On the other hand excessively high heat input may be harm the final properties of the weld joint.[6]

7.3 Welding forces : To prevent tool fracture and to minimize excessive wear and tear on the tool and associated machinery, the welding cycle is to be modified by finding the best combination of welding parameters. The different forces will act on the tool during welding, a downward force to maintain the position of the tool, the traverse force acts parallel to the tool motion, and torque is required to rotate the tool.[6,11]

7.4 Flow of material : Mode of material flow through extrusion chamber and frozen pin technique will lead to better forging of material. The above discussed process parameters plays a vital role in friction stir welding process [11]

7. CONCLUSIONS

This review of studies on FSW shows that there are number of research conducted on similar and dissimilar Al alloys, Cu, Mg, steel, titanium. From this review it is clear that material movement in the region of tool rotation is important to understand the optimization of FSW process parameters and design of tool geometry. Therefore, it is required to develop suitable tool designs with more robust FSW models of material flow for its better optimization. Shape and material of FSW tool are important factors that change the welding and metallurgical properties of joining metals. The selection of FSW tool material is also a important concern that may be required to address by comparative studies on steel, titanium, and their composites for joining different metals using FSW process.

The review also suggest that lot of work has been carried out with different FSW tools like Cylindrical, Concave Cylindrical Threaded, Cylindrical Taper, Straight Square, Tapered Square, Straight Hexagon, Straight Octagon, Tapered Octagon, threaded, without threaded, Trivex, Trapezoidal, Taper Threaded, Threaded Conical etc. with variety of independent parameters like Tool rotational speed, feed rate or welding rate, axial force, Tilt Angle, Dwell Time, Clamping Force, Plunge depth for the want of different dependent parameters like Tensile strength, Ultimate Tensile Strength, % of Elongation, Shear Tensile, Fracture Load, Hardness, Grain Size etc.

This literature review outlines that the tool wear is generally not considered as a serious issue in FSW of Al alloys. But for high melting point materials like steel and titanium and wearable materials such as metal matrix composites using FSW tool wear becomes a important issue that needs to be addressed. But, a very few researchers have reported on the FSW tool wear. This review suggest that tool designs for FSW with help of computational models and algorithms may provide suboptimal or near optimal design parameters of FSW tools.

REFERENCES:

[1] Verma, S. M. & Misra, J.P. " A Critical Review of Friction Stir Welding Process," DAAAM International Scientific Book 2015 pp. chapter 22, 249-266.

[2] Balasubramanian V, Lakshminarayanan A K, "Comparison of RSM with ANN in predicting the TS of FSW RDE-40 AL alloy," J. on Design and MFG. Tech., Vol.1, No.1, 2007 PP- 41-46.

[3] Xiaocong He, Fengshou Gu, Andrew Ball, "A review of numerical analysis of friction stir welding," Progress in Materials Science 65 (2014) 1–66.

[4] K. Elangovan, V. Balasubramanian. "Influences of tool pin profile and welding speed on the

formation of FSP zone in AA2219 AL alloy", J. of materials process tech. 200 (2008) 163-175.

[5] K. Elangovan, V. Balasubramanian, "Influences of tool pin profile and tool shoulder diameter on the formation of FSP zone in AA6061 Al alloy". Materials and Design 29 (2008) 362–373.

[6] Prashant Prakash, Prakash Lal, Sanjay Kumar Jha, "Emerging Modeling And Simulation Techniques For FSW - A Review". ELK Asia Pacific Journals ,ISBN: 978-81-930411-4-7

[7] Diogo Mariano Neto, Pedro Neto, "Numerical modeling of the friction stir welding process: a literature review," Int. Journal of Adv. Mfg. Technology, DOI 10.1007/s00170-012-4154-8

[8] Omar S.Salih, Hengan Ou,W.Sun, D.G. McCartney, "A review of friction stir welding of aluminium matrix composites," Materials and Design 86 (2015) 61–71

[9] Lingaraju.Dumpala, D. Lokanadham, "Low Cost Friction Stir Welding Of Aluminium Nanocomposite - A Review," Procedia Materials Science 6 (2014) 1761 – 1769

[10] D. Bakavos and P. B. Prangnell: 'Effect of reduced or zero pin length and anvil insulation on FSSW thin gauge 6111 automotive sheet', Sci. Tech. Weld. Joint., 2009, 443–456.

[11] Xiaocong He, Fengshou Gu, Andrew Ball, "A Review of Numerical Analysis of Friction Stir Welding", Journal of Progress in Materials Science, March 2014, S0079-6425(14)00026-7

[12] O. Lorrain, V. Favier, H. Zahrouni and D. Lawrjaniec: 'Understanding the material flow path of FSW process using unthreaded tools', J. Mater. Process. Tech., 2010, 210, (4), 603-609.

[13] C. D. Sorensen and A. L. Stahl: 'Experimental measurements of load distributions on friction stir weld pin tools', Metall. Mater. Trans. B, 2007, 38B, (3), 451–459.

[14] X. Cao and M. Jahazi: 'Effect of tool rotational speed and probe length on lap joint quality of a friction stir welded magnesium alloy', Mater. Des., 2011, 32, (1), 1–11.

[15] P. A. Colegrove and H. R. Shercliff: 'Development of Trivex FSW tool Part 2D flow modeling and experimental validation', Sci. Technol. Weld. Joint., 2004, 9, (4), 345-351.

[16] N. Sun, Y. H. Yin, A. P. Gerlich and T. H. North: 'Tool design and stir zone grain size in AZ31 friction stir spot welds', Sci. Technol. Weld. Joint., 2009, 14, (8), 747–752.

[17] H. Fujii, L. Cui, M. Maeda and K. Nogi: 'Effect of tool shape on mechanical properties and microstructure of FSW aluminum alloys', Mater. Sci. Eng. A, 2006, A419, (1-2), 25-31.

[18] Y. H. Yin, N. Sun, T. H. North and S. S. Hu: 'Influence of tool design on mechanical properties of AZ31 friction stir spot welds', Sci. Technol. Weld. Joint., 2010, 15, (1), 81–86.

[19] A. Scialpi, L. A. C. Filippis P. Cavaliere: 'Influence of shoulder geometry on microstructure and mechanical properties of FSW 6082 aluminium alloy', Mater. Des., 2007, PP-1124–1129.

[20] S. M. Chowdhury, D. L. Chen, S. D. Bhole and X. Cao: 'Tensile prop. of a FSW Mg alloy: Effect of pin tool thread orientation and weld pitch', Mater. Sci. Eng. A, (21–22), 6064–6075.

[21] P. A. Colegrove and H. R. Shercliff: 'Experimental and numerical analysis of aluminium alloy 7075-T7351 friction stir welds', Sci. Technol. Weld. Joint. 2003, 8, (5), 360–368.

[22] H. Atharifar, D. C. Lin and R. Kovacevic: 'Numerical and experimental investigations on the loads carried by the tool during FSW, J. Mater. Eng. Perform, 2009, 18, (4), 339–350.

[23] K. Kumar, S. V. Kailas and T. S. Srivatsan: 'Influence of tool geometry in friction stir welding', Mater. Manuf. Process., 2008, 23, (2), 189–195.

[24] G. Padmanaban and V. Balasubramanian: 'Selection of FSW tool pin profile, shoulder diameter and material for joining AZ31B mg. alloy Mater. Des., 2009, 30, (7), 2647–2656.

[25] H. Badarinarayan, Y. Shi, X. Li and K. Okamoto: 'Effect of tool geometry on hook formation and static strength of FSSW aluminum 5754-O sheets', Int. J. Mach. Tools MFG., 2009, 49, (11), 814–823.

[26] N. A. Rodriguez, E. Almanza, C. J. Alvarez and L. E. Murr: 'Study of friction stir welded A319 and A413 aluminum casting alloys', J. Mater. Sci., 2005, 40, (16), 4307–4312.