EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES ON GLASS FIBRE REINFORCED SELF COMPACTION CONCRETE

M. Dinesh Kumar¹, M. Ponraj², V.R. Raji³, J.P. Praveena⁴

¹ PG Student, Civil Engineering, Jaya Engineering College, India ² Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering, Jaya Engineering College, India 3 Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering, Jaya Engineering College, India 4 Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering, Jaya Engineering College, India

ABSTRACT

Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC) is able to flow under its own weight and completely fill the formwork, even in the presence of congested reinforcement, without any compaction, while maintaining homogeneity of the concrete. Compaction is difficult to be done in conditions where there are dense reinforcement and large casting area. Usage of SCC will overcome the difficult casting conditions and reduce manpower required. Addition of fibres will enhance the tensile and ductile behaviour of concrete with brittle nature. SCC was added with relatively short, discrete, and discontinuous glass fibers to produce Glass Fibre Reinforced Self Compacting Concrete (GFRSCC). The purpose of this study is to investigate the workability and mechanical properties of plain SCC and GFRSCC. The laboratory testing included slump flow test, L-Box test, sieve segregation resistance test, density test, ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV) test, compressive strength test, splitting tensile strength test, and flexural strength test. The dosage of super plasticizer required increased as fibre content increased. There has been a lack of studies for productivity improvement in the construction industry. A review of literature was done where an inventory of productivity related factors was found and interpolated with test results.

Keyword: - Self-Compaction Concrete, Glass Fibres, Productivity Improvement, Glass Reinforced Selfcompacting concrete (GFRSCC), Compressive Strength, Flexural Strength, Split Tension Test, and Concrete.

Δ.

1.INTRODUCTION

Production of durable cement concrete at the construction site is still a very big challenge for the construction industry despite various technological advancements. For producing durable concrete proper compaction is necessary which helps to reduce the voids in the concrete. However it is not done in most of the construction sites leading to poor quality of concrete. Compaction is done using vibration from power equipment which leads to highly undesirable noise, increased construction time and labour and sometimes injurious to workers. Hence to overcome all these difficulties Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC) was developed in Japan in the late 1980s as a solution to achieve durable concrete structures independent of the quality of construction work.

SCC can be considered as a concrete with high flowability that can be placed and compacted under its own weight without any external vibration, assuring

complete filling of formworks and also the complete covering of the reinforcing bars even when the space between the reinforcements is very narrow. SCC is characterized by high flowability in its fresh state and increased strength in its hardened state because of a compact matrix structure. SCC has many advantages that include faster construction, better surface finishes, easier placing, reduction in noise levels and improved durability.

The term Fibre Reinforced Concrete (FRC) can be defined as a concrete structure having randomly oriented and dispersed fibres. Fibres can be defined as small wire-like reinforcements which are made of either steel or polymers having high ductility. The fibres are produced in a wide range of sizes and shapes, stiff or flexible etc. Addition of fibres into concrete improves the overall ductility of the concrete imparting toughness, greater tensile strength, and resistance to fatigue, impact, blast loading and abrasion. Fibres are added not only to improve the ductility of concrete but also, more importantly to control the cracking, by the bridging of the fibres across the cracks, which delay the propagation and widening of localized cracks.

The use of glass fibres in SCC might bring together the advantages of both fibres and SCC. Glass Fibre Reinforced Self-Compacting Concrete (GFRSCC) combines theadvantages of SCC in its fresh state and that of fibres in its hardened state. Because of the superior performance of SCC in its fresh state, addition of fibres will lead to a more uniform dispersion of fibres which is very critical for the performance of any fibre reinforced composite. Also the compactness of SCC matrix due to higher amount of finer particles may improve the interface zone properties and consequently the fibre-matrix bond leading to enhanced post-cracking toughness and energy absorption capacity.

1.1 NEED FOR STUDY

The addition of glass fibres may impart superior mechanical properties to concrete; however its addition causes negative impact on its workability makingmixing and placing very difficult. Although the use of fibres in SCC might reduce the issues associated with workability to some extent, it affects the self-compacting abilities of the SCC. Hence it becomes necessary to determine the possibility of producing SCC with the addition of a considerable quantity of fibres and study the fresh and hardened state properties of the GFRSCC.

1.2 SCOPE OF STUDY

The scope of this project is to produce SCC using S-Glass fibre and to studythe fresh and hardened state properties of GFRSCC

ijariie.com

1.3 OBJECTIVE OF STUDY

The primary objective of this experimental work is,

1) To determine the maximum dosage of fibres that can be added without compromising the flow characteristics of the self-compacting concrete.

2) To study the effective utilization of glass fibre in self-compactingconcrete by conducting the following tests.

- Workability
- Compressive strength
- Flexural strength
- Split tensile strength

3) To study the durability characteristics of GFRSCC by conducting thefollowing tests.

- Water absorption
- RCPT

4) To compare the properties of the proposed GFRSCC with that ofexisting conventional SCC.

2. METHODOLOGY

A Simple flow chart showing the sequence of activities carried out throughout the project:



21716

3. Properties of materials

Table 3.1 Properties of coarse aggregate

S.No	Properties	Values
1	Specific gravity of C.A	2.71
2	Bulk Density in loose state	1346.7 kg/m ³
3	Bulk Density in compacted state	1480.07 kg/m ³
4	Crushing strength	19.93%
5	Impact strength	8.97%

Table3.2 Properties of fine aggregate

S. No	Properties	Values
1	Specific gravity	2.45
2	Bulk Density in loose state	1294.87 kg/m³
3	Bulk Density in compacted state	1442.16 kg/m³
4	Fineness Modules	3.2
5	Zone	П

Table 3.3 Properties of Cement

S. No	Properties	Values
1	Specific gravity	3.15
2	Consistency	31%
3	Initial setting time	40 minutes
4	Final setting time	300 minutes

			Requirements as
S .No	Properties	Cement values	per
			IS12269-1987
1	Lime saturation factor	0.9	0.8-1.02
2	Alumina Modulus	1.23	0.66 (min)
3	Insoluble residue (%)	0.25	4 (max)
4	Magnesia (%)	1.1	6 (max)
5	Sulphuric anhydride SO3 (%)	1.5	3 (max)
6	Loss on ignition (%)	0.8	4 (max)
7	Alkalies		-
8	Chloride (%)	0.002	0.1 (max)
9	C3A Content	7	-
10	Temperature during Testing	27±2	27±2
11	Humidity (%)	65±5	65±5

Table 3.4 Composition of OPC53 grade cement

Table 3.5 Chemical composition of Class-F Flyash(As per ASTM C618)

S .No	Compound	Content in % of weight
1.	Silicon di oxide, SiO2	59
2.	Alumina, Al2O3	21
3.	Iron Oxide, Fe2O3	3.7
4.	Calcium Oxide, CaO	6.9
5.	Magnesium Oxide, MgO	1.4
6.	Sulphur Trioxide, SO3	1
7.	Potassium Oxide, K2O	0.9

Table 3.6 Physical Properties of Class-F Flyash

S.No.	Properties	Value
1.	Colour	Whitish Grey
2.	Bulk Density (g/cm ³)	0.994
3.	Specific Gravity	2.288
4.	Moisture	3.14%
5.	Average particle size (µm)	6.94

Table 3.7 Physical properties of S-Glass fibre

Туре	Tensile	Compressive	Density	Thermal	Softening
1	strength		strength (g/cm3)		temperature
	(MPa)		(MPa)		(°c)
	1.0		111		
S-GLASS	4890	1600	2.46	2.9	1056

Table 3.8 Chemical Composition of S-Glass fibre

SiO2	A12O3	MgO
65%	25%	10%

4. TESTS FOR FRESH PROPERTIES OF SCC

Several test methods have been developed in attempts to characterize the fresh properties of SCC. So far there is no single test is capable of assessing all of the key parameters of SCC. The European Federation of Specialist Construction Chemicals and Concrete Systems (EFNARC) give the list of test methods for workability properties of SCC.

They are characterized by a combination of tests such as Slump Flow, V- Funnel, L-Box, U-Box, J-Ring test, Filling Box Test, Column Segregation etc. Most of these methods merely serve as guidelines and recommendations and have not been internationally standardized. These test methods and values are stated for maximum aggregate size of up to 20 mm. For other aggregate sizes different test methods or different equipment dimensions may be used.

S.No.	Property	Range	Property
1.	Slump Flow Diameter	500-700 mm	Filling ability
2.	T _{500mm}	2-5 sec	Filling ability
3.	V-funnel	8-12 sec	Passing ability
4.	V-funnel-T5min	11-15sec	Segregation resistance
5.	L-Box H2/H1	≥ 0.8	Passing ability

 Table 4.1 Recommended Limits for Different Properties of SCC

Vol-9 Issue-5 2023

5. MIX PROPORTION OF GFRSCC & TEST RESULTS

5.1 MIX DESIGN CALCULATION

S<u>tep 1</u>: Target Mean strength

f'ck = $f_{ck} + 1.65 \times S$ (S.D from table 1 of IS 10262:2009)

$$= 25 + 1.65 \times 4 = 31.6 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

Step 2: Selection of W/C ratio

Maximum w/c ratio for M35 is 1.0 (as per IS 456:2000)

0.9 < 1.0, hence ok.(from graph of IS 10262:1982)

Step 3: Selection of water content

Maximum water content for 12.5mm aggregate – 180 litre (for25-50mm slump from table 2 of IS 10262)

Step 4: Calculation of cement content

w/c ratio = 0.9(from step 3)

Cement content = $(2*180)/0.9 = 360 \text{ kg/m}^3$

From table 5 of IS 456, minimum cement content for 'severe'exposure condition= 320 kg/m^3

 $360 \text{ kg/m}^3 > 320 \text{ kg/m}^3$, hence ok.

Step 5: Proportion of volume of CA and FA content

From table 3 of IS 10262, Volume of aggregate corresponding to 12.5mm size as per IS 383 and fine aggregate(zone 3) for w/c ratio of 0.9 = 0.6

Volume of Coarse aggregate content=0..6

Volume of Fine aggregate content= 1 - 0.60 = 0.40.

Step 6: Mix calculations $= 1 \text{ m}^{3}$ Volume of concrete Volume of Cement = (Mass of Cement/S.G of cement)×(1/1000) $=(360/3.12)\times(1/1000)$ $= 0.280 \text{ m}^3$ Volume of Water = (Mass of Water/S.G of Water)×(1/1000) $=(180/1)\times(1/1000)$ $= 0.180 \text{ m}^3$ Volume of all in aggregates = 1 - (0.28 + 0.18) $= 0.54 \text{ m}^3$ $= 0.54 \times 0.40 \times 2.08 \times 1000$ Mass of Fine aggregate = 850 kg $= 0.54 \times 0.60 \times 2.2 \times 1000$ Mass of Coarse aggregate = 920 kg

After conducting the several trial mixes in the lab, the following mix proportion satisfies all the requirements of SCC in fresh state. The final Mix proportion is shown in Table 5.1 and the workability test results are shown in Table 5.3.

Table 5.1 Mix Proportion of SCC

Designation	Water	Cement	Fly Ash	F.A	C.A	S.P
SCC	180	350	150	850	920	1.00% of
	Kg/m ³	Kg/m ³	Kg/m ³	Kg/m3	Kg/m ³	cementitious
			1000 C	1. Second Second		materials

WATER POWDER RATIO IS 0.36 : 1 F.A-Fine Aggregate. C.A-Coarse Aggregate

S.P-Superplasticizer

About 30% of cement was replaced by Fly Ash.

This SCC mix satisfies all conditions for SCC as per Annex-J in IS456.

Since there is no proper design procedure is available for SCM, the final mix proportions were designed based on the trial and error method in which variousproportions of fly ash and superplasticizer have been tried to arrive at the mix giving the appropriate workability which meets the self compacting standards. The different lengths of fibres (1.2mm, 1.8mm and 2.4mm) were added to the self compacting mix with different percentages (0%, 0.25%, 0.5%, 0.75% and 1%).

S.NO	Designation	Mix proportion
1	SCC 1	S0.25%G1*
2	SCC 2	S0.50%G1
3	SCC 3	S0.75%G1
4	SCC 4	S1%G1
5	SCC 5	S0.25%G2
6	SCC 6	S0.50%G2
7	SCC 7	S0.75%G2
8	SCC 8	S1%G2
9	SCC 9	S0.25%G3
10	SCC 10	S0.50%G3
11	SCC 11	S0.75%G3
12	SCC 12	S1%G3

Table 5.2 Mix Proportion of GFRSCC

S0.25%G1- 0.25% of S-glass fibre content with respect to the binder ratio of lengthG1. (G1-1.2mm; G2-1.8mm; G3-2.4mm)

5.2 WORKABILITY TEST RESULTS FOR GFRSCC

Slump flow, V-funnel and L-box tests were performed in the laboratory on fresh SCC and

GFRSCC to find filling ability, passing ability and segregation resistance. The prescribed limits of the tests as per the EFNARC specifications. The fresh properties of SCC and GFRSCC are shown in Tables 5.3.

S.NO	Designation	Slump	T500m	V-Funnel	V-Funnel at	L-Box
		flow(mm)	m(sec)	(sec)	T5min(sec)	(h2/h1)
1	SCC	720	2	7	9	0.82
2	SCC 1	705	2.5	8	10	0.89
3	SCC 2	695	3	9	11.5	0.93
4	SCC 3	670	4.1	9.5	13	0.99
5	SCC 4	650	5	10	15	1.1
6	SCC 5	701	2.5	7.5	10.5	0.9
7	SCC 6	690	3	8.5	12	0.95
8	SCC 7	665	4	9	13	1.0
9	SCC 8	645	5	10.5	15.5	1.2
10	SCC 9	690	3	8	11	0.91
11	SCC 10	680	4.5	8.9	12.5	0.96
12	SCC 11	660	5	9.6	14	1.1
13	SCC12	635	6	11	16	1.4

Table 5.3 Workability test results for SCC & GFRSCC

 T_{50cm} : time taken for concrete to reach the 500 mm spread circle.

T_f: v-funnel flow time after keeping the concrete in funnel for 10 sec. T_{5min}: v-

funnel flow time after keeping the concrete in funnel for 5 sec H1, H2: Heights of the concrete at both ends of horizontal section of L-

box after allowing the concrete to flow





L-Box (h2/h1)



Fig 5.9 L-Box Graph

S.NO	Designation	Compressive strength at 7 days(N/mm ²)	Compressive strength at 28 days(N/mm ²), fck	
1	SCC	24	32	
2	S0.25%G1	26	32.5	
3	S0.50%G1	27	33	
4	S0.75%G1	28.5	34	
5	S1%G1	30	35	
6	S0.25%G2	26.5	33	
7	S0.50%G2	28	34	
8	S0.75%G2	29	35.5	
9	S1%G2	30.5	36	
10	S0.25%G3	27	33.5	
11	S0.50%G3	28.5	35	
12	S0.75%G3	29.5	36	
13	S1%G3	31	37	

Table 5.4 Compressive strength	results at 7 and 28 days
--------------------------------	--------------------------



Compressive Strength

 Compressive strength at 7 days(N/mm2)

 Compressive strength at 28 days(N/mm2)

Fig 5.16 Compressive strength at 7 and 28 days

Table 5.5 Flexural strength results at 28 days

S.NO	Designation	Flexural strength at
		28 days(N/mm ²)
1	SCC	7.65
2	S0.25%G1	7.95
3	S0.50%G1	8.7
4	S0.75%G1	9.3
5	S1%G1	9.75
6	S0.25%G2	8.175
7	S0.50% G2	8.85
8	S0.75%G2	9.45
9	S1 <mark>%G2</mark>	9.9
10	S0.25%G3	8.55
11	S0.50%G3	8.96
12	S0.75%G3	9.675
13	S1%G3	10.125

Fig 5.18 Flexural strength at 28 days



S.NO	Designation	Split tensile strength at	
		28 days(N/MM)	
1	SCC	3.13	
2	S0.25%G1	3.20	
3	S0.50%G1	3.29	
4	S0.75%G1	3.34	
5	S1%G1	3.40	
6	\$0.25%G2	3.26	
7	S0.50% G2	3.35	
8	S0.75%G2	3.42	
9	S1%G2	3.49	
10	\$0.25%G3	3.33	
11	S0.50%G3	3.40	
12	\$0.75%G3	3.45	
13	\$1%G3	3.52	

Table 5.6 Split tensile strength at 28 days





21716

5.3 RESULTS FOR DURABILITY PROPERTIES OF GFRSCC

5.6.1 Water Absorption Test

The saturated water absorption test was performed on the cube specimens of size 150 mm after 28 days of curing as per the ASTM C 642-81. From the test results, it is found that at 1% volume of fibres (length of fibre 2.4mm), we get higher water absorption compared to the control concrete and other lengths of fibres.

S.NO	Designation	Water absorption at	
ETE		28 days (%)	
1	SCC	1.6	
2	S0.25 <mark>%G1</mark>	1.63	
3	S0.50%G1	1.66	
4	S0.75%G1	1.68	
5	S1%G1	1.72	
6	S0.25%G2	1.74	
7	S0.50%G2	1.78	
8	S0.75%G2	1.80	
9	S1%G2	1.85	
10	\$0.25%G3	1.89	
11	\$0.50%G3	1.94	
12	\$0.75%G3	1.99	
13 S1%G3		2.04	

Table 5.7 Water absorption at 28 days (%)



Fig 5.21 Water absorption at 28 days (%)

5.6.2 Rapid Chloride Penetration Test

The rapid chloride penetration test (RCPT) was performed as per ASTM C 1202 to determine the electrical conductance of the specimens at the age of 28 days curing. From the test results, it is concluded that at 1% volume of fibers (length of fibre 2.4mm), the chloride ion penetration value was decreased compared to the control concrete and other lengths of fibers.



S.NO	Designation	Chloride penetration	Remarks
		value at 28 days	
1	SCC	2500	Moderate
2	\$0.25%G1	2400	Moderate
3	S0.50%G1	2250	Moderate
4	\$0.75%G1	2100	Moderate
5	S1%G1	2000	Low
6	\$0.25%G2	1950	Low
7	\$0.50%G2	1870	Low
8	\$0.75%G2	1750	Low
9	\$1%G2	1590	Low
10	\$0.25%G3	1430	Low
11	\$0.50%G3	1360	Low
12	S0.75%G3	1280	Low
13	S1%G3	1190	Low

Table 5.8 Chloride penetration value at 28 days



Fig 5.22 Chloride penetration value at 28 days

ijariie.com

5.4 COMPARISONS OF TEST RESULTS

The comparison between compressive strength with flexural strength, splittensile strength are shown in Fig 5.23, 5.24





S.NO	Designation	Flexural	Compressive	Modulus of
		strength at 28	strength at 28	rupture
		days(N/mm ²)	days(N/mm ²),	factor
			fck	$x=fr/(fck)^{1/2}$
1	SCC	7.65	32	1.35
2	\$0.25%G1	7.95	32.5	1.39
3	S0.50%G1	8.7	33	1.51
4	S0.75%G1	9.3	34	1.60
5	S1%G1	9.75	35	1.64
6	\$0.25%G2	8.175	33	1.42
7	\$0.50%G2	8.85	34	1.52
8	\$0.75%G2	9.45	35.5	1.58
9	S1%G2	9.9	36	1.65
10	\$0.25%G3	8.55	33.5	1.48
11	\$0.50%G3	8.96	35	1.51
12	\$0.75%G3	9.675	36	1.61
13	S1%G3	10.125	37	1.66

Table 5.9 Modulus of Rupture Factor for Flexural strength

The modulus of rupture factor for flexural strength with repect to square root of fck (charesteristic compressive strength) is found to be in the range 1.25 to 1.75.

S.NO	Designation	Split tensile	Compressive	Modulus of
		strength at 28	strength at 28	rupture
		days(N/mm ²),ft	days(N/mm ²),	factor
		and the state of the	fck	$x_1 = ft/(f_{ck})^{1/2}$
		a second s		2
	and a start of the			-
1	SCC	3.13	32	.55
2	S0.25%G1	3.20	32.5	.56
3	S0.50%G1	3.29	33	.57
4	S0.75%G1	3.34	34	.57
5	S1%G1	3.40	35	.57
6	\$0.25%G2	3.26	33	.56
7	S0.50%G2	3.35	34	.57
8	S0.75%G2	3.42	35.5	.57
9	S1%G2	3.49	36	.58
10	S0.25%G3	3.33	33.5	.57
11	S0.50%G3	3.40	35	.57
12	S0.75%G3	3.45	36	.58
13	S1%G3	3.52	37	.57
		and the second	1000	I

Table 5.10 Modulus of Rupture Factor for Split Tensile Strength

The modulus of rupture factor for Split tensile strength with repect to square root of fck (charesteristic compressive strength) is found to be in the range 0.5 to 0.6.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In the experimental work, the compressive strength, flexural strength, split tensile strength and durability of SCC and GFRSCC were investigated. Based on the observations made during the various tests conducted on the SCC and GFRSCC specimens and also based on the results obtained from these tests, the salient features are concluded as follows:

1) All the SCC and GFRSCC mixes developed satisfy the requirements of self- compacting concrete specified by EFNARC, up to 1% addition of glass fiber does not affect the fresh concrete properties of SCC.

2) For a given length of S-glass fibre, the compressive strength of GFRSCC increases when the content of the S-glass fibres in the mix increases. For 1.2mm legth fibre 1% addition of glass fibre specimen was found to be 7.1% higher than 0.25% addition of glass fiber. In the same way for 1.8mm glass fiber its 8.3% and for 2.4mm its 9.4%.

3) The maximum percentage increase in compressive strength with respect to the reference SCC mix is 13.5% and it is obtained from the mix containing 1% of S- glass fibres of length 2.4 mm.

4) The flexural strength and splitting tensile strength also shows a similar trend as the compressive strength of the GFRSCC. The maximum percentage of increase in splitting tensile strength with respect to the reference SCC mix is 15% and it is obtained from the mix containing 1% of S-glass fibres of length 24 mm. The maximum percentage of increase in flexural strength with respect to the reference SCC mix is 32% and it is obtained from the mix containing 1% of S-glass fibres of length 24 mm.

5) The total charge passed through the GFRSCC specimens decreases the content of S-glass fibres increases in the SCC mix. The lowest total charge passed value of 1190 is obtained for the mix S1%G3 as compared to the maximum total charge passed value of 2500 for the reference mix SCC.

6) The modulus of rupture factor for Flexural strength with repect to the charesteristic compressive strength ranges from 1.25 to 1.75

7 The modulus of rupture factor for Split Tensile strength with repect to the charesteristic compressive strength ranges from 0.5 to 0.7

8) The Flexural strength can be expressed in the form of a polynomial function of the 21716 ijariie.com 1434 ck characteristic compressive strength. The polynomial equation is $f_r = 1.1954 f^2 - 1.326 f_{ck}$.

9) The Split tensile strength can be expressed in the form of a polynomial function of the characteristic compressive strength. The polynomial equation is $f_t = -0.0507 f_{ck}^2 + 3.9711 f_{ck} - 67.477.$

10) In general GFRSCC concrete behavior is better in strength and durability charesteristics than SC.

REFERENCES

- Barluenga G, Hernández-Olivares F (2007) 'Cracking control of concretesmodified with short AR-glass fibers at early age. Experimental results onstandard concrete and SCC', Vol .37, pp 1624–1638.
- 2. Barluenga Gonzalo (2009) 'Fibre-matrix interaction at early ages of concrete with short fibres', Cement and concrete research.
- Kannan S.U, Selvamony C., Ravikumar M.S. and Basil Gnanappa S (2010), 'Investigations and study on the effect of AR glass polymer fibres in self- compacting self-curing concrete', Vol. 5, pp 41-45.
- Libre N.A, Mehdipour I, A. Alinejad, N. Nouri (2008), 'Rheological properties of glass fiber reinforced Highly flowable cement paste', The 3rd ACF International Conference-ACF/VCA, pp 53-58.
- 5. Rathish Kumar P and K. Srikanth (2008), 'Mechanical characteristics of fiber reinforced Self compacting mortars', Vol 9, pp 647-657.
- Seshadri Sekhar. T, Dr. P. Sravana and Dr. Srinivasa Rao P (2007), 'Non- destructive Testing: Pulse Velocity Behaviour of Glass Fibre Self Compacting Concrete after Exposure to Elevated Temperature', Vol 1, pp 57-61.

- Suresh Babu T, Seshagiri Rao M.V and Rama Seshu D (2007), 'Mechanical properties and stress- strain behaviour of self-compacting concrete with and without glass fibres', Vol. 9, No. 5, pp 457-472.
- 8. Shetty M.S (2006), 'Concrete Technology Theory and Practice', Sixth editionS.Chand and company limited.
- 9. K. H. Khayat, Y. Roussel, "Testing and performance of fiber-reinforced, self- consolidating concrete", July 2000, Volume 33, Issue 6, pp 391-397.
- 10. Weiguo Shen, Tao Zhang, Mingkai Zhou, Zhi Cai, Jingqiang Hu, Jiasheng Li, "Investigation on the scattering-filling coarse aggregate self-consolidating concrete", December 2010, Volume 43, Issue 10, pp 1343-1350.

