

Navigating Consumer Skepticism in Cause-Related Marketing: Insights, Implications, and Strategies

Amit Kumar

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

Abstract

The practice of cause-related marketing (CRM), in which companies align themselves with social or environmental causes to enhance their brand reputation and boost sales, has gained widespread adoption. However, questions persist about its effectiveness in influencing consumer behavior. This study explores the relationship between cause-related marketing and consumer skepticism, investigating the causes of skepticism and its potential consequences for both consumers and businesses. The study employs a comprehensive review of existing literature, empirical research, and analysis to offer valuable insights into the complex interplay between CRM and consumer skepticism.

1. Introduction

Cause-related marketing (CRM) is a marketing approach where businesses associate themselves with a social or environmental cause and promote their products or services by committing to contribute a portion of their sales revenue to the chosen cause. This strategy allows companies to demonstrate their commitment to social responsibility while aiming to enhance their brand image and boost sales. However, the impact of CRM on consumer skepticism has raised considerable interest. Consumer skepticism refers to the level of doubt or mistrust consumers may harbor regarding a company's intentions and the authenticity of its cause-related marketing efforts. This study delves into the relationship between consumer skepticism and cause-related marketing, examining the underlying causes of skepticism and offering guidance to businesses seeking to navigate this complex terrain successfully.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Cause-Related Marketing

Cause-related marketing (CRM) has evolved as a significant marketing strategy, dating back to the early 1980s when American Express pioneered a promotional campaign (Cunningham, 1997). In the contemporary business landscape, companies are increasingly expected to contribute to societal well-being by forming alliances with charitable causes, which, in turn, helps cultivate a favorable corporate image and boost brand equity (Rim et al., 2016). Woodroof et al. (2019) argue that participating in CRM partnerships can enhance financial performance for firms.

The appeal of cause-related marketing lies in its potential to establish a positive corporate image, foster consumer loyalty, and increase sales (Varadarajan & Menon, 1988). Companies engage in CRM for various reasons, including improving brand reputation, engaging with customers, and addressing societal issues (Maignan & Ferrell, 2001). The alignment between the company and the chosen cause is a critical factor determining the effectiveness of CRM campaigns (Lafferty & Goldsmith, 1999).

In a competitive marketplace marked by product parity and discerning consumers, cause-related marketing is hailed as a unique win-win-win strategy (Holmes and Kilbane, 1993; Ptacek and Salazar, 1997). The success of CRM relies on its credibility, relevance, and its impact on consumer purchasing decisions, presenting a challenge for many businesses (Sargeant & Wymer, 2007).

Pringle and Thompson (2001) emphasize that CRM is an effective means of enhancing corporate image, differentiating products, and concurrently boosting sales and customer loyalty. It offers opportunities that align with the common good. Moreover, research has indicated that CRM can positively influence consumer purchasing behavior (Ross et al., 1991). In some cases, consumers are even willing to pay a premium for products that support community causes (Barone et al., 2000).

Key drivers for implementing a successful CRM strategy include ensuring a strategic fit between the cause and brand (Adkins, 1999). Adkins further categorizes motivating factors into general business drivers, marketing and fundraising drivers, community affairs drivers (maintaining relationships with the social environment), and human resources drivers (enhancing attractiveness to current and future employees) as additional drivers influencing the use of CRM.

Consumers often find value in showcasing their social responsibility through the purchase of a CRM product, while also experiencing intrinsic satisfaction from supporting a good cause (Polonsky & Wood, 2001). Additionally, the prominence of a product emphasized by competitors due to its association with a charitable cause can significantly influence consumer purchasing decisions (Huppertz, 2007).

In summary, cause-related marketing has gained prominence as a potent strategy for enhancing corporate image, engaging consumers, and driving sales. The effectiveness of CRM campaigns depends on factors such as the alignment between the chosen cause and brand, the credibility of the initiative, and its impact on consumer behavior. CRM creates value for customers in terms of social recognition and the satisfaction of contributing to a good cause, making it a compelling marketing approach with multifaceted benefits.

2.2. Consumer Skepticism

Consumer skepticism is a critical aspect of understanding how individuals approach and evaluate products, services, and marketing claims in the marketplace. This literature review explores the concept of consumer skepticism, its underlying causes, and its implications for consumer behavior. Drawing insights from various studies, we examine the origins and effects of skepticism in consumer decision-making.

Consumer skepticism is a multifaceted concept rooted in consumer psychology. It encompasses doubts regarding a company's sincerity in supporting a cause, concerns about profit motives, and the perception that CRM is primarily a marketing tactic (Hoeffler & Keller, 2002). Several factors can influence skepticism, including the perceived authenticity of a company's commitment to the cause and the alignment between the company's core values and the chosen cause (Sen & Bhattacharya, 2001).

Skepticism reflects an attitude of doubt and caution that individuals exhibit when they encounter various situations or events, particularly when they lack complete information about the subject of interest (Ju, 2017). According to Newman and Trump (2019), skeptics tend to view all truth claims with a degree of ambiguity and doubt, often leading them to delay decisions until they have more information. This skepticism can significantly impact consumer behavior, particularly in the realm of marketing and product promotion.

Consumer skepticism tends to rise when consumers perceive a lack of authenticity or transparency in a company's CRM efforts (Sen & Bhattacharya, 2001). Companies that genuinely commit to their chosen cause and are transparent in their actions are more likely to reduce consumer skepticism. Additionally, a common cause of consumer skepticism is the absence of comprehensive information about a product or service. When consumers feel that they lack essential information, skepticism tends to increase (Ju, 2017).

The communication strategies employed by companies can also contribute to consumer skepticism. If marketing messages are unclear or misleading, consumers may become skeptical of the claims made by the company (Pomeroy & Johnson, 2009). Similarly, Chen and Chang (2012) emphasize the importance of effective communication in reducing consumer skepticism. When a company fails to adequately convey the benefits of a product, especially regarding health and environmental impact, consumers may remain skeptical.

The alignment between the chosen cause and the company's core values plays a pivotal role in consumer skepticism (Lafferty & Goldsmith, 1999). A strong fit between the cause and the company's identity can reduce skepticism, while incongruence can raise doubts about the company's motives. Awamate and Deb's (2021) research suggests that doubts can arise from uncertainties about the materials used in a product and incomplete information on packaging. Consumer skepticism can also heighten when individuals perceive that a company's primary motive for engaging in CRM is profit maximization rather than genuine social or environmental concern (Ellen et al., 2006).

Consumer skepticism can lead to negative perceptions of a company's brand and reputation, potentially damaging long-term customer relationships (Du et al., 2010). Skeptical consumers may view the company as opportunistic or insincere. High levels of consumer skepticism can deter consumers from purchasing products or services associated with

CRM initiatives (Beard et al., 2017). Skeptical consumers may opt for brands they perceive as more authentic and socially responsible. Consumer skepticism significantly impacts purchasing intentions and decision-making, with studies indicating that heightened skepticism delays purchase decisions, leading to extended evaluation processes (Newman & Trump, 2019).

3. Analysis and Findings:

This study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining a comprehensive literature review with empirical research. Data on consumer attitudes and perceptions regarding CRM initiatives were collected through surveys and focus group discussions. The data was analyzed using both statistical analysis and qualitative content analysis.

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Consumer's Skepticism	3.5467	.86930	709
CRM	3.6645	.83655	709

Correlations

		Consumer Skepticism	CRM
Pearson Correlation	Consumer Skepticism	1.000	.540
	CRM	.540	1.000

The analysis of Cause-Related Marketing (CRM) reveals the role of consumer skepticism within this specific marketing context. The mean value of approximately 3.66 for CRM suggests that consumers exhibit a moderate level of skepticism regarding marketing campaigns that associate a product or service with a social or environmental cause. This implies that a substantial proportion of respondents express doubt or reservation in their attitudes toward CRM initiatives.

Furthermore, the study identifies a moderate yet statistically significant correlation of 54% between Consumer Skepticism and CRM. This correlation indicates that more than half (54%) of the variation in Consumer Skepticism can be attributed to variations in CRM. In simpler terms, changes in consumer skepticism regarding cause-related marketing initiatives are directly associated with changes in the perception of cause-related marketing. These findings underscore the significance of addressing and managing consumer skepticism when planning and executing CRM campaigns. Skepticism can hinder consumer engagement with marketing messages and calls for understanding consumer concerns and actively building trust and credibility within CRM initiatives. The study highlights the pivotal role of consumer skepticism in shaping consumer perceptions and responses in the context of cause-related marketing. In summary, this research underscores the need for strategies aimed at addressing and mitigating skepticism to achieve the desired positive impact of cause-related marketing efforts.

4. Limitations and Implications

4.1. Need for Skepticism Mitigation:

The study emphasizes the importance of addressing and managing consumer skepticism in the planning and execution of cause-related marketing campaigns. To maximize the effectiveness of CRM initiatives, marketers should consider strategies that specifically target the reduction of skepticism. This might involve transparent communication, clear impact reporting, and demonstrating genuine commitment to the chosen cause.

4.2. Understanding Consumer Mindset:

Marketers must gain an in-depth understanding of the consumer mindset and concerns. This entails conducting thorough research into the specific doubts and reservations consumers may have regarding CRM. Tailoring messaging and campaign strategies to address these concerns can go a long way in winning consumer trust and support.

4.3. Building Trust and Credibility:

One of the primary implications of this research is the need for CRM campaigns to actively work on building trust and credibility. This might involve partnerships with well-established and reputable nonprofit organizations, providing concrete evidence of the impact of their initiatives, and ensuring consistency between messaging and actions.

4.4. Strategies to Shape Perceptions:

Given the strong correlation between consumer skepticism and CRM, marketers should focus on strategies that shape consumer perceptions positively. This could involve highlighting the genuine social or environmental impact achieved through CRM initiatives and effectively communicating this to consumers.

In conclusion, this study highlights the essential role of consumer skepticism in the context of Cause-Related Marketing and underscores the need for strategies aimed at addressing and mitigating skepticism. By doing so, marketers can enhance the positive impact of CRM efforts and win consumer trust and support in their initiatives.

5. Conclusion

Cause-related marketing has become a prevalent strategy for companies seeking to enhance their brand image and drive sales while supporting social or environmental causes. Nonetheless, the impact of CRM campaigns on consumer skepticism remains a subject of debate. This research paper has provided an in-depth exploration of the factors influencing consumer skepticism within the context of CRM and the potential consequences for consumers and businesses. By shedding light on this intricate relationship, this study aims to offer valuable insights for marketers and companies looking to navigate the landscape of CRM effectively.

References:

1. Adkins, S. (1999). *Cause-Related Marketing: Who Cares Wins*. Oxford, Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann.
2. Amawate, V., & Deb, M. (2021). Antecedents and consequences of consumer skepticism toward cause-related marketing: Gender as a moderator and attitude as a mediator. *Journal of Marketing*.
3. Bae, M. (2018). Overcoming Skepticism toward Cause-Related Marketing Claims: The Role of Consumers' Attributions and a Temporary State of Skepticism. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 35(2), 194–207.
4. Barone, M. J., Miyazaki, A. D., & Taylor, K. A. (2000). The Influence of Cause-Related Marketing on Consumer Choice: Does One Good Turn Deserve Another? *Academy of Marketing Science*, 28(2), 248-262.
5. Chen, Y.-S., & Chang, C.-H. (2012). Enhance green purchase intentions: The roles of green perceived value, green perceived risk, and green trust. *Management Decision*, 50(3), 502-520.
6. Holmes, J. H., & Kilbane, C. J. (1993). Selected Effects of Price and Charitable Donations. *Journal of Nonprofit and Public Sector Marketing*, 1(4), 67-83.
7. Huppertz, A. (2007). *Cause-Related Marketing, Auswirkungen und Einflussfaktoren*. Berlin: VDM Verlag Dr. Müller; Auflage.
8. Ju, I. (2017). The effects of advertising skepticism in consumer prescription drug advertising: The moderating role of risk disclosure location. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Marketing*, 11(4), 395-411.
9. Lafferty, B. A., & Edmondson, D. R. (2009). Portraying the cause instead of the brand in cause-related marketing ads: Does it really matter? *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 17(2), 129-144.
10. Lafferty, B. A., & Goldsmith, R. E. (1999). Corporate Credibility's Role in Consumers' Attitudes and Purchase Intentions When a High Versus Low Credibility Endorser Is Used in the Ad. *Journal of Business Research*, 44, 109-116.
11. Maignan, I., Ferrell, O. C., & Hult, G. T. M. (1999). Corporate Citizenship: Cultural Antecedents and Business Benefits. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 27(4), 455-469.
12. Newman, K. P., & Trump, R. K. (2019). Reducing skepticism about corporate social responsibility: Roles of gender and agentic-communal orientations. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 36(1), 189-196.

13. Ptacek, J. J., & Salazar, G. (1997). Enlightened Self-Interest: Selling Business on the Benefits of Cause-Related Marketing. *Nonprofit World*, 15(4), 9-13.
14. Polonsky, M. J., & Wood, G. (2001). Can the Overcommercialization of Cause-Related Marketing Harm Society. *Journal of Macromarketing*, 21(1), 8-22.
15. Polonsky, M. J., & Speed, R. (2001). Linking Sponsorship and Cause-Related Marketing: Complementarities and Conflicts. *European Journal of Marketing*, 35(11/12), 1361-1389.
16. Pomeroy, A., & Johnson, L. W. (2009). Advertising corporate social responsibility initiatives to communicate corporate image: Inhibiting skepticism to enhance persuasion. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 14(4), 420-439.
17. Pringle, H., & Thompson, M. (2001). *Brand Spirit: How Cause-Related Marketing Builds Brands*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
18. Rim, H., Yang, S. U., & Lee, J. (2016). Strategic partnerships with nonprofits in corporate social responsibility (CSR): The mediating role of perceived altruism and organizational identification. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(9), 3213-3219.
19. Ross, J. K., Stutts, M. A., & Patterson, L. T. (1991). Tactical Considerations for the Effective Use of Cause-Related Marketing. *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 7(2), 59-65.
20. Sargeant, A., & Wymer, W., Jr. (2007). *The Routledge Companion to Nonprofit Marketing*. London, New York: Routledge, pp. 217-230.
21. Varadarajan, P. R., & Menon, A. (1988). Cause-Related Marketing: A Coalignment of Marketing Strategy and Corporate Philanthropy. *Journal of Marketing*, 52(3), 58-74.
22. Woodroof, P. J., Deitz, G. D., Howie, K. M., Evans, R., & D. (Jr). (2019). The effect of cause-related marketing on firm value: A look at Fortune's most admired all-stars. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 47(5), 899-918.