TAX AVOIDANCE PRACTICES AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR CORPORATE TAXPAYERS

Anwar Hidayat[1*], Dian Suryani[2], Garin Sukmawan[3], Rini Irianti Sundary[4]

[1,2,,43] *Magister of Notary Study Program, Faculty of Law Science, Universitas Islam Bandung*

ABSTRACT

Tax avoidance practices among corporations present a complex landscape with profound implications for both financial governance and ethical considerations. This study systematically examines the legal, financial, and ethical dimensions of tax avoidance, focusing on its impacts on corporate taxpayers and broader societal implications. Utilizing a juridical method, the analysis reviews national and international tax laws, regulatory frameworks, and judicial precedents to understand the legality and boundaries of tax planning strategies. The results highlight that while tax avoidance can enhance corporate profitability through reduced tax liabilities, it also entails significant risks. Financially, penalties and fines imposed by tax authorities can disrupt company cash flows and lead to substantial losses, while reputational damage can erode investor confidence and public trust. Ethically, aggressive tax planning practices raise concerns about fairness in tax distribution and societal equity. In response, recent legal reforms and international initiatives aim to close loopholes and ensure tax systems align with economic activities, emphasizing transparency and accountability. Moving forward, effective tax governance and enhanced regulatory measures are essential to mitigate the negative impacts of tax avoidance, uphold tax system integrity, and restore public confidence.

Keyword: - *tax avoidance, taxpayers, legal, financial, ethical.*

---

1. INTRODUCTION

The phenomenon of tax avoidance is on the rise, drawing significant attention from academics and policymakers who are keen to investigate its implications and methodologies. According to the Directorate General of Taxes (DJP), it is suspected that companies frequently employ tax avoidance through transfer pricing strategies. Tax avoidance involves the use of strategic techniques that legally and safely reduce tax liabilities for taxpayers, adhering to existing tax regulations and provisions. This practice takes advantage of the inherent weaknesses and ambiguities in tax laws and regulations [1].

Suboptimal tax revenue can stem from several factors, one of which is the deliberate efforts by taxpayers or companies to minimize their tax liabilities. By reducing the tax burden, companies can lower their expenses and thereby increase their net profit, which subsequently enhances their firm value. The primary goal of a company is to maximize profits to achieve corporate prosperity and elevate firm value [2]. Management can employ various strategies to boost firm value, including tax planning and tax avoidance. It is believed that such practices can lead to a consistent increase in firm value over time [3].

The practice of tax avoidance exploits the weaknesses in tax laws without technically violating them. While this can provide financial benefits to a company, it can also have negative repercussions. Tax avoidance often reflects personal interests by manipulating profits, leading to inaccurate information for investors. A notable case of tax avoidance involves PT CCI. The Directorate General of Taxes (DJP) investigated CCI and found discrepancies in their reported taxable income. While the DJP calculated CCI's total taxable income for the period to be IDR 603.48 billion, CCI claimed only IDR 492.59 billion. This discrepancy indicated a revenue shortfall that could result in losses to the country's foreign exchange amounting to IDR 49.24 billion. The DJP's investigation concluded that CCI had engaged in tax avoidance by inflating costs, thus reducing their tax payments [4].

Tax avoidance is a legal and strategic activity for taxpayers, leveraging weaknesses in tax laws and regulations. While it can benefit companies by reducing tax liabilities and increasing net profits, tax avoidance can also have negative repercussions [5]. It often reflects managers' personal interests by manipulating profits, resulting in inaccurate information for investors. Consequently, this can lead to investors giving a lower assessment of the company [6]. However, there is evidence of a positive influence of tax avoidance on company value. Transparent companies that engage in tax avoidance can signal good information to investors, potentially increasing company value [7].

In the increasingly intricate landscape of global finance, corporate taxation has emerged as a focal point for both businesses and regulatory bodies. Tax avoidance, a practice that involves legally minimizing tax liabilities through strategic planning and exploitation of tax laws, stands at the center of this dynamic. For corporate taxpayers, the ability to effectively manage tax obligations can be a powerful tool for maintaining profitability and competitive advantage [8]. However, the ramifications of such practices are complex and far-reaching, touching on ethical, legal, and economic dimensions.

The practice of tax avoidance hinges on understanding the intricate details of tax codes and utilizing methods such as deductions, credits, income shifting, and international tax treaties to reduce taxable income. Unlike tax evasion, which is illegal and involves deceitful tactics to avoid paying taxes, tax avoidance operates within the legal framework. Nevertheless, the ethical implications of tax avoidance are hotly debated. Critics argue that aggressive tax avoidance undermines the social contract, as corporations that benefit from public infrastructure and services contribute less than their fair share to their upkeep [9].

From a regulatory standpoint, governments face significant challenges in addressing tax avoidance. The erosion of tax bases and the resultant loss of public revenue due to sophisticated tax avoidance strategies have prompted international cooperation to combat these practices. Initiatives such as the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) project represent coordinated efforts to close loopholes and ensure that profits are taxed where economic activities generating the profits are performed and where value is created. Such measures aim to restore fairness and integrity to international tax systems [9], [10].

The economic implications of tax avoidance are also profound. On one hand, it can enhance a corporation's financial performance by reducing tax burdens, thereby increasing funds available for investment, dividends, and other corporate activities. On the other hand, widespread tax avoidance can distort market competition, favoring large multinational corporations with the resources to exploit tax laws over smaller businesses that cannot engage in similar strategies. This imbalance can stifle innovation and growth within economies [11].

This article delves into the multifaceted practices of tax avoidance employed by corporations, examining the legal frameworks that facilitate these strategies and the broad economic and societal consequences they engender. Through a detailed exploration of real-world examples, regulatory responses, and emerging trends, we aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of how tax avoidance shapes corporate financial strategies and impacts global tax systems. By shedding light on this complex issue, we seek to inform ongoing debates and contribute to the development of more equitable and effective tax policies.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Tax avoidance is a strategy or technique used to legally minimize tax liabilities, ensuring safety for taxpayers as it does not contravene tax provisions [12], [13], [14]. According to the fiscal affairs committee of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), there are three primary types of tax avoidance. The first type involves creating artificial scenarios that appear to comply with tax rules, even though these rules are not genuinely applicable. This approach often involves fabricating circumstances that ostensibly meet the requirements of tax regulations, despite the absence of substantive tax factors. By doing so, taxpayers can benefit from tax provisions that were not intended to apply to their actual situation [15], [16], [17], [18].

The second type of tax avoidance exploits gaps or ambiguities in legislation or legal provisions for purposes not intended by the legislators. Taxpayers utilizing this method identify and take advantage of loopholes within the tax code, manipulating the legal text to serve their interests. This strategy relies on the intricate understanding of tax laws and regulations, allowing taxpayers to reduce their tax burden in ways that lawmakers did not foresee or intend [5], [19]. The third type involves a high degree of confidentiality. In this form, consultants and tax advisors provide sophisticated tools or methods for tax avoidance, with the stipulation that taxpayers maintain strict confidentiality about these practices. This secrecy ensures that the methods remain effective and undisclosed to tax authorities or the general public, thereby preserving their utility for reducing tax liabilities [20].

These strategies highlight the sophisticated and often contentious ways in which taxpayers can reduce their tax burdens within the legal framework. While tax avoidance is legal, it raises significant ethical and regulatory concerns. Lawmakers and regulatory bodies continually strive to close loopholes and clarify ambiguities in tax laws to prevent their exploitation. Moreover, the ethical implications of tax avoidance are widely debated, as it often undermines the intended equity and fairness of tax systems. By manipulating tax rules to their advantage, corporations and individuals can shift the tax burden onto other taxpayers, potentially eroding public trust in the tax system and government institutions [21].

There are several benefits from tax avoidance activities, one of the primaries being the savings on taxes that would otherwise be paid to the state. This results in greater profits for the company, which can then be utilized for various purposes such as reinvestment, expansion, or distribution to shareholders [15]. Additionally, these increased profits provide benefits for managers both directly and indirectly. Directly, managers may receive performance-based compensation or bonuses from the company's owners or shareholders, which are often tied to the profitability of the company. Indirectly, successful tax avoidance can enhance the company's overall financial health and stability, leading to long-term job security and career growth opportunities for managers. This interplay of financial benefits underscores the strategic importance of tax avoidance for maximizing corporate wealth and rewarding managerial efficiency [22], [23].

Apart from the advantages of tax avoidance, there are also significant disadvantages associated with this practice. One major drawback is the potential for penalties or fines imposed by tax authorities. These consequences arise when tax avoidance strategies are detected through tax audits conducted by the tax authority. Such penalties can have a substantial impact on the company's cash flow, potentially diverting funds that could have been used for investment or other productive purposes. Additionally, the detection of tax avoidance can severely damage the company's reputation, eroding trust among investors, customers, and other stakeholders [16], [17], [18]. This reputational damage can lead to longer-term financial consequences, including a decrease in stock value and difficulties in securing future financing.

3. METHOD

To analyze tax avoidance practices and their implications for corporate taxpayers, a comprehensive review of relevant legal frameworks is necessary. This includes national and international tax laws, such as the Income Tax Act, tax treaties, and OECD guidelines, focusing on anti-avoidance rules, transfer pricing regulations, and disclosure requirements. Additionally, examining judicial precedents and regulatory guidelines from tax authorities provides insights into acceptable tax planning practices and the boundaries of tax avoidance.

The subsequent phase entails a comprehensive analysis of prevalent tax avoidance methodologies, such as transfer pricing and profit shifting, aimed at assessing the legal principles and loopholes they exploit. This examination necessitates evaluating the extent of adherence to tax regulations and appraising the ramifications of recent legislative amendments targeting the reduction of tax avoidance. Additionally, it is imperative to scrutinize regulatory reactions to tax avoidance, encompassing audit procedures, investigative methodologies, penalties, and enforcement measures. This evaluation serves to elucidate the potential financial and reputational hazards faced by corporate entities, while also considering strategic imperatives in navigating the complexities of tax planning vis-à-vis regulatory compliance and ethical standards.

4. RESULTS

The analysis of tax avoidance practices reveals a complex interplay between legal strategies and regulatory frameworks. Companies frequently utilize sophisticated techniques such as transfer pricing, profit shifting, and the exploitation of tax havens to reduce their tax liabilities. The study found that while these methods are legally permissible, they often push the boundaries of tax regulations.

For instance, in the specific case of PT Coca-Cola Indonesia (CCI), the Directorate General of Taxes (DJP) conducted an audit and uncovered substantial discrepancies in the reported taxable income. CCI had reported taxable income amounting to IDR 492.59 billion for a given period, whereas the DJP's own calculation determined the taxable income to be IDR 603.48 billion. This discrepancy of IDR 110.89 billion indicated a significant underreporting of taxable income by CCI. As a consequence of this underreporting, the Indonesian government faced a substantial revenue shortfall. The DJP estimated that the discrepancy resulted in potential tax losses amounting to IDR 49.24 billion in foreign exchange equivalents. These figures underscore the tangible financial impact of tax avoidance practices on public revenue. They illustrate how corporations engaging in aggressive tax planning can reduce their tax liabilities, thereby depriving the government of funds that could otherwise be used for public services, infrastructure development, and socio-economic welfare programs.

Moreover, the case of CCI highlights the challenges encountered by tax authorities in detecting and addressing sophisticated tax avoidance schemes. These challenges include the need for enhanced auditing capabilities, the interpretation of complex tax laws and international agreements, and the deployment of resources to investigate and rectify instances of non-compliance. The findings underscore the critical role of robust tax enforcement measures and international cooperation frameworks, such as those promoted by the OECD's BEPS initiative, in combating cross-border tax avoidance effectively. Overall, the CCI case serves as a poignant example of how discrepancies in reported taxable income can result in significant revenue losses for governments, underscoring the imperative for stringent regulatory oversight and transparent tax reporting practices. It also emphasizes the ongoing efforts needed to strengthen tax administration frameworks globally and mitigate the adverse effects of tax avoidance on both public finances and societal trust in taxation systems.

The results indicate that while tax avoidance can enhance a company's financial performance by reducing tax burdens, it poses substantial risks and ethical dilemmas. The financial benefits, such as increased net profits and higher firm value, are clear. Managers often receive direct and indirect benefits through performance-based compensation linked to company profitability, which can incentivize aggressive tax planning.

However, the potential drawbacks associated with tax avoidance practices are extensive and encompass multiple dimensions that can have profound impacts on corporations and their stakeholders. When tax authorities uncover instances of tax avoidance, they frequently levy penalties and fines. These financial repercussions can disrupt company cash flows, resulting in substantial financial losses that undermine liquidity management, impede investment planning, and jeopardize overall financial health. The imposition of penalties not only affects immediate operational capabilities but also strains resources allocated for strategic growth, innovation, and sustainability initiatives within the organization.

Furthermore, the financial sanctions imposed as a consequence of detected tax avoidance can impose long-term constraints on a company's ability to pursue expansion opportunities and strategic investments. This situation is exacerbated by the potential for increased borrowing costs and diminished access to capital markets due to tarnished creditworthiness and investor confidence. Moreover, the diversion of financial resources towards resolving tax disputes and mitigating regulatory risks diverts attention and resources away from core business activities, hindering operational efficiency and competitive advantage.

In summary, while tax avoidance strategies may initially appear financially advantageous, the associated risks—including regulatory penalties, financial losses, and impaired operational capabilities—underscore the importance of prudent tax planning strategies that prioritize compliance and ethical considerations. Proactive engagement with tax authorities, adherence to evolving regulatory requirements, and a commitment to transparent reporting practices are essential to safeguarding corporate financial stability and long-term sustainability amidst the complexities of global tax landscapes.

Moreover, the reputational fallout from being implicated in tax avoidance practices is profound. Beyond financial penalties, the public scrutiny and negative media coverage associated with tax avoidance scandals can severely damage a company's reputation. Investor confidence may falter, as stakeholders question the company's commitment to ethical standards and corporate responsibility. This erosion of trust can result in decreased market valuation, increased borrowing costs, and difficulties in attracting and retaining top talent.

Ethically, aggressive tax avoidance strategies raise significant concerns. While technically legal, these practices exploit legal loopholes and inconsistencies in tax regulations, potentially undermining the fairness and equity of tax systems. By shifting the tax burden onto other taxpayers and creating perceptions of inequity, aggressive tax planning can contribute to public discontent and societal mistrust in government institutions. It challenges the fundamental principle that all taxpayers should contribute fairly according to their means, thereby posing a threat to the social contract between businesses, governments, and citizens.

In response to these challenges, recent legal reforms and international initiatives have been introduced to strengthen tax regulations and enforcement mechanisms. The OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) project, for example, aims to close loopholes and ensure that profits are taxed where economic activities generate value. These reforms emphasize transparency, compliance, and accountability, aiming to restore public confidence in tax systems and deter aggressive tax avoidance practices. Additionally, regulatory bodies are increasingly focusing on enhancing corporate governance frameworks to promote responsible tax practices and ethical behavior among corporations.

By advocating for a level playing field and cultivating a culture of tax compliance, these measures aim to reinforce the integrity of tax systems and alleviate the adverse effects of tax avoidance on public finances and societal trust.

This approach involves not only tightening regulatory frameworks but also fostering transparency and accountability among corporations in their tax practices. Ensuring equitable tax treatment across all entities promotes fairness and reinforces public confidence in the tax system's ability to distribute burdens equitably.

Looking ahead, sustained dialogue and collaboration among governments, businesses, and civil society are pivotal in addressing the intricate challenges posed by tax avoidance in the global economy. Continuous monitoring and adaptation of regulatory frameworks are crucial to stay ahead of evolving tax avoidance strategies and technological advancements that facilitate them. Such proactive measures can enhance the effectiveness of enforcement efforts and ensure that tax laws remain robust and responsive to changing economic realities.

In conclusion, while tax avoidance may yield concrete financial advantages for corporations, it also entails significant risks and ethical considerations. Effective regulatory oversight is indispensable in mitigating these risks, encompassing rigorous enforcement of tax laws and penalties for non-compliance. Furthermore, ongoing research and policy development are essential to strike a balance between the legitimate interests of corporate taxpayers and the broader societal imperative for fair and effective tax regimes. By doing so, governments can uphold fiscal sustainability while fostering an environment conducive to economic growth and social equity.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Tax avoidance practices present a multifaceted challenge that encompasses legal, financial, and ethical dimensions. While these practices can offer immediate financial benefits to corporations by reducing their tax liabilities, they also carry significant risks and adverse consequences. Penalties and fines from tax authorities, as well as reputational damage, can disrupt company operations, affect cash flows, and erode investor confidence. The ethical implications of tax avoidance further complicate the issue, as these practices can undermine the perceived fairness and integrity of tax systems, shifting the tax burden unfairly onto other taxpayers.

Recent legal reforms and international initiatives, such as the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) project, aim to address these challenges by closing legal loopholes and ensuring that profits are taxed where economic activities generate value. These measures promote transparency, accountability, and compliance, which are crucial in restoring public trust in tax systems and deterring aggressive tax planning strategies.

Moving forward, continuous dialogue and collaboration among governments, businesses, and civil society are essential. Ongoing monitoring and adaptation of regulatory frameworks will help address the evolving complexities of tax avoidance in the global economy. It is imperative that regulatory oversight remains robust and enforcement mechanisms are effective to mitigate the negative impacts of tax avoidance on public revenues and societal trust.

While tax avoidance can provide financial benefits to corporations, it also poses substantial risks and ethical dilemmas. Balancing corporate interests with the broader societal need for equitable and effective tax regimes requires diligent regulatory oversight, transparent corporate practices, and a commitment to ethical standards. Further research and policy development are necessary to ensure that tax systems can effectively respond to the challenges posed by tax avoidance, fostering a fair and sustainable economic environment.

6. REFERENCES

[1] Sugiharti and N. M. Machdar, “Transfer Pricing Dan Tax Avoidance: Tinjauan Literatur Tentang Perspektif Global,” *J. Audit dan Perpajak.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 26–33, 2023, [Online]. Available: https://jurnal.itscience.org/index.php/jap/article/view/2982

[2] A. Shafirah and R. Ridarmelli, “Pengaruh Tax Planning Dan Tax Avoidance Terhadap Nilai perusahaan (Studi Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur),” *Semin. Nas. Perbana Inst.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 267–275, 2021, [Online]. Available: https://journal.perbanas.id/index.php/psn/article/view/416%0Ahttps://journal.perbanas.id/index.php/psn/article/download/416/242

[3] H. Yusuf and J. Isgiyarta, “Analisis Praktik Penghindaran Pajak di Bidang Impor pada Kepailitan Perusahaan Ekspor Impor,” *J. Badan Pendidik. dan Pelatih. Keuang. Kementeri. Keuang. Republik Indones.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 20–30, 2018, [Online]. Available: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/4ed8/97213ce5050ced93f3fd76305cc9ab5196b9.pdf

[4] P. K. W. Laksmi, I. G. N. O. Ariwangsa, N. W. Lasmi, and N. K. A. Sritania, “Pengaruh Tax Avoidance Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Dengan Transparansi Sebagai Variabel Moderasi,” *J. Akunt. Manad.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2023, doi: 10.53682/jaim.vi.5845.

[5] A. Christians, “Avoidance, Evasion, and Taxpayer Morality.,” *Washingt. Univ. J. Law Policy*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 39–59, 2014, [Online]. Available: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=lft&AN=95612845&site=ehost-live

[6] M. C. Juliartha Nugraha and P. Ery Setiawan, “Pengaruh Penghindaran Pajak (Tax Avoidance) Pada Nilai Perusahaan Dengan Transparansi Sebagai Variabel Pemoderasi,” *E-Jurnal Akunt.*, vol. 26, no. 398, p. 398, 2019, doi: 10.24843/eja.2019.v26.i01.p15.

[7] A. Tarihoran, “Pengaruh Penghindaran Pajak dan Leverage Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan dengan Transparansi Perusahaan Sebagai Variabel Moderasi,” *J. Wira Ekon. Mikroskil*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 149–164, 2017, doi: 10.55601/jwem.v6i2.346.

[8] A. D. Nurrahmi and S. Rahayu, “Pengaruh Strategi Bisnis, Transfer Pricing, dan Koneksi Politik Terhadap Tax Avoidance,” *JAE J. Akunt. dan Ekon.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 48–57, 2020.

[9] Y. Ferdiawan and A. Firmansyah, “Pengaruh Political Connection, Foreign Activity, dan Real Earnings Management terhadap Tax Avoidance,” *J. Ris. Akunt. Dan Keuang.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 1601–1624, 2017.

[10] M. Mulyanto, F. Robiyanto, and M. Firdaus, “Sosialisasi Pentingnya Menabung Dalam Rangka Peningkatan Kesejahteraan Masyarakat Melalui Organisasi Keagamaan Di Kabupaten Kudus,” *JBE (Jurnal Bingkai Ekon.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 18–24, 2020, doi: 10.54066/jbe.v6i1.91.

[11] I. P. P. Wiguna and I. K. Jati, “Pengaruh corporate social responsibility, preferensi risiko eksekutif, dan capital intensity pada penghindaran pajak,” *E-Jurnal Akunt. Univ. Udayana*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 418–446, 2017, [Online]. Available: https://ojs.unud.ac.id/index.php/akuntansi/article/view/33248

[12] J. Freedman, “Defining Taxpayer Responsibility: In Support of a General Anti-Avoidance Principle,” *BTR*, vol. 2003, no. 4, pp. 332–357, 2004.

[13] C. Kogler and E. Kirchler, “Taxpayers’ Subjective Concepts of Taxes, Tax Evasion, and Tax Avoidance,” *Ethics Tax.*, no. January, pp. 1–412, 2019, doi: 10.1007/978-981-15-0089-3.

[14] D. Tarmidi, P. N. Sari, and R. Handayani, “Tax Avoidance: Impact of Financial and Non-Financial Factors,” *Int. J. Acad. Res. Accounting, Financ. Manag. Sci.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 1–8, 2020, doi: 10.6007/ijarafms/v10-i2/7238.

[15] R. Merslythalia and M. S. Lasmana, “Pengaruh Kompetensi Eksekutif, Ukuran Perusahaan, Komisaris Independen, dan Kepemilikan Institusional Terhadap Tax Avoidance,” *J. Ilm. Akunt. dan Bisnis*, vol. 11, no. 2, p. 117, 2017, doi: 10.24843/jiab.2016.v11.i02.p07.

[16] Y. Murni, E. Sudarmaji, and E. Sugihyanti, “The Role of Institutional Ownership, Board of Independent Commisioner and Leverage: Corporate Tax Avoidance in Indonesia (Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di BEI Periode 2010-2014),” *IOSR J. Bus. Manag.*, vol. Vol.18, no. (IOSR-JBM) e-ISSN: 2278-478X, p-ISSN: 2319-7668, Issue 11 ver 1 (November,2016), p. PP 79-85, 2016, [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Eka-Sudarmaji/publication/310468470_The_Role_of_Institutional_Ownerships_Board_of_Independent_Commissioner_and_Leverage_Corporate_Tax_Avoidance_in_Indonesia/links/582f1a7908ae102f072f2cf2/The-Role-of-Institutional-Owne

[17] R. Oktaviyani and A. Munandar, “Effect of Solvency, Sales Growth, and Institutional Ownership on Tax Avoidance with Profitability as Moderating Variables in Indonesian Property and Real Estate Companies,” *Binus Bus. Rev.*, vol. 8, no. 3, p. 183, 2017, doi: 10.21512/bbr.v8i3.3622.

[18] V. Pattiasina, M. H. Tammubua, A. Numberi, A. Patiran, and S. Temalagi, “Capital Intensity and tax avoidance : A Case in Indonesia,” *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Humanit.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 58–71, 2018, [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331803837_Capital_Intensity_and_tax_avoidance

[19] C. Rantelangi and N. Majid, “Factors that Influence the Taxpayers’ Perception on the Tax Evasion,” *Adv. Econ. Bus. Manag. Res.*, vol. 35, no. Miceb 2017, pp. 219–225, 2018, doi: 10.2991/miceb-17.2018.34.

[20] J. F. Adebisi and D. O. Gbegi, “Effect of Tax Avoidance and Tax Evasion on Personal Income Tax Administration in Nigeria,” *Am. J. Humanit. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 125–134, 2013, doi: 10.11634/232907811301328.

[21] T. Dalu, V. G. Maposa, S. Pabwaungana, and T. Dalu, “The impact of tax evasion and avoidance on the economy: a case of Harare, Zimbabwe,” *African J. Econ. Sustain. Dev.*, vol. 1, no. 3, p. 284, 2012, doi: 10.1504/ajesd.2012.049291.

[22] S. R. Puspita and P. Harto, “Pengaruh Tata Kelola Perusahaan Terhadap Penghindaran Pajak,” *Diponegoro J. Account.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 1–13, 2014, [Online]. Available: http://ejournal-s1.undip.ac.id/index.php/accounting

[23] B. D. Kiabel and N. G. Nwokah, “Curbing tax evasion and avoidance in personal income tax administration: A study of the south-south states of Nigeria,” *Eur. J. Econ. Financ. Adm. Sci.*, vol. 15, no. 15, pp. 51–61, 2009.