

THE ROLE OF SOCIAL MEDIA IN DIPLOMACY AND SOFT POWER IN THE 21ST CENTURY

Dr. PETETI PREMANANDAM*

Head of the Department
Department Of Political Science and Public Administration
Andhra University, Visakhapatnam
Email : petetip@gmail.com

RAJESH KANDALA**

Research Scholar,
Department of Political Science and Public Administration
Andhra University, Visakhapatnam
E-mail:rajeshkandala17@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper examines the growing role of social media as a strategic tool in diplomacy and soft power building in the 21st century. With the rise of digital diplomacy, states increasingly rely on platforms such as X, Instagram, Facebook and YouTube to communicate foreign policy objectives, manage crises and influence global public opinion. The study draws on Joseph Nye's Soft Power Theory and Manuel Castells' Network Society Theory to explain how digital communication networks reshape diplomatic engagement and international influence. Using a qualitative approach, the paper analyses the diplomatic functions of social media, including real-time communication, crisis diplomacy, image management and the promotion of national narratives. It also highlights how states strengthen soft power through cultural projection, nation branding, tourism campaigns, education promotion and leadership representation. However, the paper also identifies major limitations such as misinformation, propaganda, algorithmic influence, cyber threats and credibility challenges. The findings suggest that while social media has expanded the reach and accessibility of diplomacy, it has also created new risks that demand ethical communication practices and strategic regulation. The paper concludes by recommending digital diplomacy training, transparency, cybersecurity measures and responsible messaging to ensure effective diplomatic engagement in the digital era.

Keywords: Digital Diplomacy, Soft Power, Social Media Diplomacy, Public Diplomacy, Nation Branding, International Relations, Online Influence, Global Perception, Strategic Communication, Network Society

Introduction

Diplomacy in the modern world refers to the process through which states and international actors manage relationships, negotiate interests and resolve conflicts through communication and cooperation rather than force. Traditionally, diplomacy was conducted through formal channels such as embassies, bilateral meetings, treaties and closed-door negotiations. However, globalization and technological advancement have significantly transformed diplomatic practices, making communication faster, more visible and increasingly interactive. As international relations become more complex, diplomacy today also involves engaging with foreign publics, shaping international opinion and projecting national identity across global platforms.

The rapid growth of the internet and digital technologies has contributed to the emergence of digital diplomacy, which involves the use of online tools and communication networks to support foreign policy goals. Governments and diplomatic institutions now use digital platforms to share official statements, respond to crises and influence public discussions. Digital diplomacy has expanded the scope of traditional diplomacy by enabling real-time communication and direct interaction with citizens, media organizations and global audiences. In this context, social media platforms such as X (Twitter), Facebook, Instagram and YouTube have become important diplomatic spaces where international narratives are constructed, contested and circulated.

The concept of soft power, introduced by Joseph Nye, is central to understanding this shift. Soft power refers to a country's ability to influence others through attraction rather than coercion. Unlike hard power, which relies on

military strength or economic pressure, soft power operates through cultural appeal, political values, diplomacy and credibility. In the digital era, communication becomes a major source of influence, as nations attempt to shape their image, promote cultural identity and gain legitimacy through persuasive storytelling. Social media strengthens soft power by allowing states to project national branding, engage audiences emotionally and create global visibility.

The increasing relevance of social media in international relations raises important concerns. Diplomatic influence is no longer limited to official negotiations; instead, it extends into public spaces where opinions are shaped rapidly. Social media has become a strategic tool for promoting national interests, managing international crises and building alliances through public diplomacy. At the same time, challenges such as misinformation, propaganda, cyber threats and diplomatic miscommunication complicate its use.

...social media can be an effective communication tool in cases where direct contact is problematic. Social media lowers the barriers to entry for individuals and groups seeking to communicate and increases the speed and spread of information... (Rachmawati, 2023)

This study aims to examine how social media is used in diplomacy, analyse its role as a tool of soft power, explore its impact on international perception and evaluate the limitations and risks associated with social media-based diplomacy in the 21st century.

Literature Review

Scholarly discussions on digital diplomacy emphasize that diplomacy in the 21st century is increasingly shaped by technological communication networks. Researchers argue that digital diplomacy has expanded the traditional functions of foreign policy by enabling faster information exchange, real-time crisis communication and direct interaction with global audiences. Many political science scholars highlight that diplomacy is no longer restricted to closed negotiations but has entered the public sphere through digital platforms. Studies on digital diplomacy also point out that online engagement allows states to shape narratives and influence public opinion beyond official diplomatic channels.

Several researchers examine how governments and international institutions actively use social media platforms such as X, Facebook, Instagram and YouTube to communicate policy messages and promote national interests. Twitter is often identified as the most significant platform for official diplomatic statements and rapid responses to international events. Facebook and Instagram are commonly used for cultural projection, public outreach and strengthening national image, while YouTube is used for long-form messaging, documentary-style storytelling and showcasing national achievements. These platforms collectively function as tools for diplomatic visibility and international branding.

Soft power and public diplomacy studies strongly connect digital communication with influence-building. Scholars drawing on Joseph Nye's concept of soft power argue that cultural attraction, political values and credibility are increasingly mediated through digital spaces. Research highlights that nation branding relies on strategic narratives, symbolic representation and identity-building practices that appeal to international audiences. Social media has therefore become a key site where states promote cultural diplomacy and construct global perceptions.

However, a major research gap remains in understanding the deeper dynamics of audience engagement and reception. Existing studies often overlook how online audiences interpret diplomatic messages and how engagement patterns shape influence. Additionally, misinformation, propaganda strategies and algorithm-driven visibility raise serious concerns that require further analysis. The role of platform algorithms in shaping diplomatic reach and the issue of credibility in online diplomacy remain underexplored in current academic debates.

Theoretical Framework and Methodology

This study is guided by two major theoretical perspectives: Joseph Nye's Soft Power Theory and Manuel Castells' Network Society Theory. Soft Power Theory explains how states influence global actors not through coercion or military dominance, but through attraction, credibility and cultural appeal. Nye argues that a nation's values, political legitimacy, cultural exports and diplomatic reputation shape its ability to persuade others. In the context of social media diplomacy, this theory is useful for analysing how countries build international influence through online communication, cultural representation and strategic messaging. Social media platforms enable governments to circulate narratives that promote national identity, project positive global images and generate emotional connections with foreign publics. Therefore, soft power theory provides a strong framework to understand how digital communication becomes an instrument of international influence.

Castells' Network Society Theory highlights how power is increasingly organized through digital networks rather than traditional institutions alone. According to Castells, communication technologies shape political and social relations by controlling the flow of information and influencing public perception. This theory is relevant to social media diplomacy because it explains how online networks allow states to reach audiences directly, bypassing traditional media gatekeepers. Diplomatic influence today depends not only on official policies but also on visibility, engagement and the ability to shape narratives within global digital networks. Together, these theories help explain why social media has become central to modern diplomacy and how it functions as a strategic space for soft power competition.

The methodology adopted in this research is qualitative in nature, focusing on interpretive analysis rather than statistical measurement. A content analysis approach is used to examine the language, themes and symbolic representations present in official diplomatic social media communication. This method helps identify patterns such as narrative framing, cultural projection, political messaging and crisis responses. Along with content analysis, the study adopts a case study method by selecting two to three relevant examples of state-level digital diplomacy practices. These case studies provide practical evidence of how social media is used for diplomatic engagement and influence-building.

The primary data sources include posts and public statements from X accounts of political leaders and foreign ministries, official embassy social media pages and verified government communication channels on platforms such as Facebook, Instagram and YouTube. Secondary sources include reports on digital diplomacy, global communication statistics and scholarly publications on international relations and public diplomacy. These sources collectively provide a reliable foundation to evaluate the effectiveness and limitations of social media as a diplomatic tool in the 21st century.

Social Media as a Diplomatic Tool

Social media has become a major diplomatic tool in the 21st century, transforming how states communicate with foreign governments, international organizations and global publics. Unlike traditional diplomacy, which depended heavily on closed negotiations and formal diplomatic correspondence, digital diplomacy uses interactive online platforms to influence public opinion, build national reputation and respond quickly to global developments. Different platforms serve different diplomatic purposes depending on their format, audience and communication style.

Among the most widely used platforms, X is considered the primary space for official diplomatic statements. Foreign ministries, embassies and political leaders use it to issue policy updates, respond to international crises, announce meetings and communicate foreign policy positions in real time. Its short and direct format allows governments to deliver quick messages and gain media attention. Instagram, on the other hand, plays a significant role in cultural projection and image-building. Governments use it to showcase cultural heritage, tourism, national celebrations and diplomatic visits through visual content, thereby strengthening emotional appeal and soft power. YouTube supports national storytelling through longer videos, speeches, documentaries and promotional campaigns. It is often used to highlight achievements in development, national history and international cooperation. Facebook remains important for mass outreach because of its wide global user base. It is used to connect with large audiences, share announcements, livestream events and promote diplomatic campaigns.

Another key component of digital diplomacy is how other governments respond to and criticize rivals' soft power activities online. The fight for influence in the digital domain is about controlling confusing and conflicting narratives as well as portraying a favorable

image. Countries such as China, Russia, and the United States have all participated in crafting their own digital narratives, in order to improve their international status. (Ahmed, 2025, para 17)

States have all participated in improve their international status. (Ahmed,

Social media performs several key diplomatic functions. Real-time communication allows diplomats and governments to respond instantly to global events, reducing delays associated with traditional communication channels. It also enhances transparency by making diplomatic messaging visible to the public. Crisis diplomacy is another important function, as social media helps states manage emergencies such as conflicts, natural disasters, or health crises by providing updates, issuing warnings and coordinating international support. International image management is closely linked with soft power, since states use online platforms to maintain credibility, project positive narratives and protect their reputation in the global sphere. Furthermore, social media encourages public engagement and influence, allowing states to communicate directly with citizens and foreign publics rather than relying solely on journalists or diplomatic intermediaries. Finally, it plays a major role in promoting national narratives, where states frame global issues according to their political interests, highlight achievements and justify foreign policy decisions.

Several real-world examples demonstrate how states use social media strategically. The US State Department has been a leading actor in digital diplomacy, using Twitter and other platforms to promote democratic values, highlight international partnerships and respond to global issues such as terrorism, human rights concern and humanitarian crises. Through digital campaigns and public messaging, the US has attempted to strengthen its global influence and maintain its soft power appeal.

India's Ministry of External Affairs (MEA) has effectively used social media for outreach and international communication. The MEA regularly posts updates about foreign visits, diplomatic meetings, cultural events and diaspora engagement. India's digital diplomacy also focuses on strengthening global perception through cultural diplomacy, including yoga diplomacy and heritage promotion.

Another prominent model is China's digital diplomacy, often described as assertive and strategic. Chinese diplomats and embassies use social media to defend national policies, counter criticism and promote China's development model. This approach reflects a strong effort to shape international narratives and manage global perception.

A powerful example of crisis-driven digital diplomacy is Ukraine's communication during the Russia-Ukraine war, where social media has been used to gain international sympathy, mobilize support and maintain global attention. Through continuous updates and emotional storytelling, Ukraine has effectively used digital platforms to strengthen diplomatic alliances and attract humanitarian assistance. These examples confirm that social media has become a central space for modern diplomacy, combining communication, persuasion and strategic influence.

Social Media and Soft Power Building

Social media has emerged as a powerful mechanism for soft power building in the 21st century by enabling nations to construct persuasive digital narratives that appeal to global audiences. Soft power is largely dependent on attraction, cultural influence and credibility and digital platforms provide a fast and interactive space where such influence can be developed. Countries increasingly use social media to project their culture through music, cinema, cuisine, traditions and heritage celebrations. By circulating cultural content, states create emotional connections with international audiences and generate positive global interest. Alongside culture, ideology and political values are also promoted through online communication. Governments often highlight democratic principles, development models, human rights narratives, or stability claims in order to gain legitimacy and influence foreign perceptions.

Tourism promotion has become another major aspect of digital soft power. Nations use visually engaging posts, travel campaigns and cultural storytelling to market their landscapes, monuments and festivals. Similarly, education branding plays a significant role, as countries promote scholarships, international universities and academic collaborations to attract foreign students. Educational attraction not only enhances global reputation but also strengthens long-term diplomatic ties. In addition, leadership image has become central in digital diplomacy. Leaders use social media to project charisma, confidence and approachability, shaping how international public's view a nation's political authority and global role.

Nation branding strategies are strongly visible through hashtags, campaigns and global digital events. Governments launch organized hashtag diplomacy to promote national initiatives, global summits, or cultural programs. Hashtags such as those used for international tourism promotion, climate initiatives, or national celebrations allow countries to increase visibility and generate global participation. Cultural festivals, global sports events and national achievements are often presented through storytelling formats that enhance pride and admiration. Digital platforms also help countries promote achievements in technology, space exploration, public health programs and infrastructure development, strengthening international respect and attraction.

The alternate methods of soft power include information and gathering data. For instance, social media is a great source of data and gathering information. Countries can achieve their objectives by attracting and persuading others to adopt their values and interests, rather than through coercion or force. Soft power is the ability to influence others through attraction and persuasion, rather than through threats or payment. (Kaleem 2023)

Social media also influences global public opinion by shaping how international audiences interpret political events, conflicts, or diplomatic relations. Through repeated messaging and visual framing, states can highlight their perspective and influence how foreign publics understand global issues. This has shifted diplomacy from a traditional “government-to-government” model toward a “government-to-people” approach, where engaging citizens, diaspora communities and international publics becomes a strategic diplomatic goal. Public diplomacy today depends on audience attention, online engagement and emotional resonance rather than formal diplomatic statements alone.

Social media diplomacy also faces serious challenges and limitations. Misinformation and fake news can distort international perception and damage diplomatic relations. Propaganda and cyber manipulation may be used to influence elections or polarize societies. Algorithmic bias often controls visibility, meaning diplomatic messages may reach only limited groups or become trapped in echo chambers. Diplomatic miscommunication is another risk, as short posts may create misunderstandings. Security threats such as hacking and data leaks further weaken trust. Finally, the spread of conflicting narratives can reduce credibility, making it difficult for states to maintain authentic soft power influence.

Findings

The findings of this study highlight that social media has become an essential instrument in modern diplomacy, reshaping how states project influence and manage international relations. One of the major observations is that social media significantly strengthens public diplomacy by enabling governments to communicate directly with international audiences. Unlike traditional diplomatic communication, which is often mediated through official channels and mainstream media, social media allows foreign ministries, embassies and political leaders to engage instantly with global publics. This direct access increases diplomatic visibility and allows states to clarify their positions without relying on external interpretation.

Another key finding is that soft power is increasingly built through engagement, storytelling and online presence. Digital narratives circulated through platforms like Twitter/X, Instagram and YouTube allow states to promote culture, values and national achievements. Soft power in the digital era is not limited to cultural exports alone but also depends on how effectively a country frames its global identity and communicates credibility. Through hashtags, campaigns and interactive content, nations can influence public opinion, attract global support and strengthen their reputation in international politics.

At the same time, the study finds that social media has democratized diplomacy by expanding participation beyond political elites and government officials. Citizens, diaspora communities, activists and influencers can now contribute to shaping diplomatic narratives. This has increased the role of public opinion in foreign policy and created a more participatory diplomatic environment. However, this democratization also brings risks, as diplomatic messaging becomes vulnerable to misinformation, propaganda, cyber manipulation and hostile narrative wars. The fast-paced nature of online platforms can also lead to diplomatic miscommunication, where a single post may trigger misunderstandings or controversies.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusion, social media plays a decisive role in shaping global relations in the 21st century. It has transformed diplomacy into a public and interactive process, where influence depends on communication strategies and global perception. Diplomacy is no longer confined to closed negotiations between governments; instead, it increasingly operates in digital spaces where audiences actively interpret and respond to diplomatic messages. As a result, international relations today are shaped not only by policy decisions but also by digital reputation, narrative control and online credibility.

Based on these findings, several recommendations can be made. First, governments should invest in digital diplomacy training for diplomats and foreign policy professionals to improve online communication skills and crisis response management. Second, ethical communication policies should be ensured, preventing the use of misleading narratives or aggressive propaganda that may damage credibility. Third, fact-checking and transparency mechanisms must be strengthened to counter misinformation and maintain trust among global audiences. Fourth, diplomatic social media accounts should balance national interest with global sensitivity, ensuring that messaging is responsible, culturally aware and strategically framed. Finally, cybersecurity measures should be enhanced to protect official accounts from hacking and manipulation.

The scope for future research remains wide. Further studies can examine the role of artificial intelligence in diplomacy, including automated communication and AI-driven policy influence. Research on deepfakes and digital misinformation is also crucial, as such technologies can destabilize diplomatic relations. Additionally, future work may explore the effectiveness of social media in crisis management and conflict diplomacy. Finally, the growing role of influencers and digital celebrities in shaping foreign policy narratives offers an important area for deeper political analysis.

References

- Rachmawati, I., Kuncoro, H. R., Sari, D. L., & Tahalea, S. P. (2023). *Digital diplomacy in the 21st century: The transformative role of social media platforms in public engagement*. In B. Sobirov et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Advanced Research in Social and Economic Science (ICARSE 2023)* (Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, Vol. 842). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-247-7_57
- Ahmed, S. (2025, March 7). *The role of soft power in the digital age*. *The SAIS Review of International Affairs*. <https://saisreview.sais.jhu.edu/the-role-of-soft-power-in-the-digital-age/>
- Awad, M. J., Alkaabi, A. M., Almejalli, H. A., & Jaradat, Z. A. (2025). The future of sustainability education: Evolving trends and strategies. In *Legal frameworks and educational strategies for sustainable development* (pp. 209–232). IGI Global.
- Akpobome, O., Adepetun, A., Badmus, O., & Iwalehin, O. (2018). The role of digital technologies in the development of legal empowerment services in the United States of America. *International Journal of Engineering Inventions*, 7(6), 81–86. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385740863>
- Lee, J. T. (2015). Soft power and cultural diplomacy: Emerging education hubs in Asia. *Comparative Education*, 51(3), 353–374. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03050068.2015.1037551>
- Thomas, J. R., Liang, L., Sonoda, S., & Xie, Y. (2025). The soft power cost of COVID-19 in OECD countries: A lose–lose outcome for China and the United States. *International Political Science Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01925121241304720>
- Lahrenn, O., & Bilgin, K. U. (2023). Importance of soft power in digital diplomacy. *Third Sector Social Economic Review*, 58(2), 1467–1493.
- Møller, A. H. K., Adler-Nissen, R., Golovchenko, Y., & Eggeling, K. A. (2024). The social aesthetics of digital diplomacy. *International Political Sociology*, 18(3), olae027. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ips/olae027>
- Chen, J. V., Pham, D. T., & Tran, S. T. T. (2025). Building consumer engagement in live streaming on social media: A comparison of Facebook and Instagram live. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, 41(2), 1119–1139. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2024.2313276>

- Bonina, C., Koskinen, K., Eaton, B., & Gawer, A. (2021). Digital platforms for development: Foundations and research agenda. *Information Systems Journal*, 31(6), 869–902. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12326>
- Jin, C., Xu, A., Zhu, Y., & Li, J. (2023). Technology growth in the digital age: Evidence from China. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 187, 122221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.122221>
- Carmi, L., Zohar, M., & Riva, G. M. (2023). The European General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in mHealth: Theoretical and practical aspects for practitioners' use. *Medicine, Science and the Law*, 63(1), 61–68.
- Mubarak, S., & Candra, R. (2023). Google, Facebook, and China: Internet supremacy and digital sovereignty. *Dauliyah: Journal of Islam and International Affairs*, 8(1), 64–77. <https://ejournal.unida.gontor.ac.id/index.php/dauliyah/article/view/9479>
- Williams, R. D., & Tsai China Center. (2020). *Beyond Huawei and TikTok: Untangling US concerns over Chinese tech companies and digital security*. University of Pennsylvania. https://bpb-us-w2.wpmucdn.com/web.sas.upenn.edu/dist/b/732/files/2021/04/Robert-Williams_Beyond-Huawei-and-TikTok_Updated.pdf
- Fuchs, C. (2023). A Marxist-humanist perspective on Stuart Hall's communication theory. *Theory and Society*, 52(6), 995–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11186-023-09524-5>
- Fornäs, J. (2024). "Stuart Hall Encoding and decoding". *Classics in media theory* (pp. 151–165). Routledge. <https://share.google/UKZTdF86VLYjjHoy9>
- Hlihor, E. (2024). *Public diplomacy in the era of artificial intelligence: Opportunities and challenges in promoting security in international politics*. https://en.gmr.mapn.ro/webroot/fileslib/upload/files/arihiva%20reviste/RMT/2024/4%202024/HLI_HOR.pdf
- Kaleem, M. (2023). The impact of the use of soft power in social media on public diplomacy for international co-existence. *International Journal of Membrane Science and Technology*, 10, 372–388. <https://doi.org/10.15379/ijmst.v10i3.1541>

