

UNDERSTANDING MULTI-STOREY BUILDING PERFORMANCE IN SEISMIC EVENTS

Siddharth Mishra ¹, Shailesh Dwivedi ²

¹ *Research scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, Mahakaushal University, Jabalpur (M.P), India*

² *Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Mahakaushal University, Jabalpur (M.P), India*

ABSTRACT

This study delves into the performance of multi-storey buildings during seismic events, a critical aspect of structural engineering and earthquake resilience. Multi-storey buildings, iconic fixtures of modern urban landscapes, must contend with various forces, including gravity, wind, and the formidable seismic loads. Among these forces, seismic loads present unique challenges, as they can induce unpredictable vibrations and swaying in buildings, potentially leading to structural damage. This investigation scrutinizes the factors that influence multi-storey building behavior during seismic events, emphasizing the role of structural design, construction quality, building age and condition, and ground motion characteristics. Through a comprehensive understanding of these factors, we can advance building practices and seismic risk mitigation strategies, contributing to the creation of safer urban environments. This study incorporates a wide range of references, including regulatory standards such as Eurocode, seismic performance assessment guidelines like FEMA P-58, and research on nonstructural component behavior during earthquakes.

Keyword: - *Multi-storey buildings, seismic events, structural design, construction quality, building age, ground motion characteristics*

1. Introduction

Multi-storey buildings, often towering symbols of urban landscapes, are not just architectural marvels; they are complex structures designed to withstand a variety of forces that can act upon them. These forces include gravity, wind, and, perhaps most ominously, seismic loads. Seismic loads, which result from tectonic plate movements, can pose a substantial threat to multi-storey buildings due to their capacity to induce unpredictable vibrational motions and swaying during earthquakes.

The performance of a multi-storey building in a seismic event is a multifaceted subject, influenced by numerous factors. Understanding and scrutinizing these elements is crucial for both structural engineering and disaster resilience efforts. Some of the key factors that influence the performance of a multi-storey building during a seismic event include:

Structural Design: The structural design of a building serves as the cornerstone for its seismic performance. Buildings that are meticulously engineered and constructed to withstand seismic loads are inherently more resilient when confronted with an earthquake. Advanced engineering techniques and materials contribute to the ability of these structures to flex and absorb seismic energy, safeguarding both the occupants and the building itself.

Construction Quality: In conjunction with the design, the quality of construction plays a pivotal role in determining a building's ability to endure seismic forces. Even the most robust design can falter if not executed with precision and adherence to construction standards. Superior workmanship, stringent quality control, and the use of proper materials are indispensable to bolstering a building's resilience to seismic loads.

Age and Condition of the Building: The age and overall condition of a multi-storey building significantly impact its vulnerability during seismic events. Older structures, which were not originally designed with contemporary seismic considerations, may lack the necessary reinforcement and ductility required to withstand strong ground motion. Additionally, buildings that are poorly maintained or have experienced structural degradation over time are more likely to suffer damage during an earthquake.

Ground Motion Characteristics: The characteristics of the ground motion generated by an earthquake are highly variable and exert a profound influence on a building's response. These characteristics include the earthquake's magnitude, proximity to the epicenter, and the type of soil upon which the building is constructed. Buildings situated on soft, loose soils may experience greater amplification of ground motion, potentially leading to more significant structural demands.

The behavior of multi-storey buildings during seismic events is essential for enhancing their resilience and safeguarding lives and property. By delving into the intricate interplay of factors such as structural design, construction quality, building age, and ground motion characteristics, researchers and engineers can work collaboratively to advance building practices and seismic risk mitigation strategies, ultimately contributing to safer, more earthquake-resistant urban environments.

Multi-storey buildings, often towering symbols of urban development and progress, are intricate structures subjected to a myriad of forces. These forces encompass the ever-present influence of gravity, the sometimes relentless push of wind, and the potentially catastrophic impact of seismic loads. Among these forces, seismic loads pose a particularly challenging and often unpredictable threat to the performance of multi-storey buildings. This article explores the multifaceted realm of multi-storey building behavior during seismic events, investigating the factors that influence their performance and the common types of seismic damage they may endure.

2. The Complexity of Multi-Storey Buildings

Multi-storey buildings, ranging from mid-rises to towering skyscrapers, are engineering marvels designed to serve diverse functions, house numerous occupants, and endure for generations. These structures, characterized by their height and complexity, are tasked with supporting substantial vertical loads. They are also exposed to lateral forces, such as wind and earthquakes, that require specialized design and construction considerations.

Seismic Loads: Seismic loads, stemming from the tectonic movements of the Earth's crust, present unique challenges. When an earthquake occurs, the ground shakes, causing the building to vibrate and sway. Unlike other loads, seismic forces are highly dynamic and can exert both vertical and lateral stresses on the structure. This dynamic behavior can lead to complex and sometimes destructive consequences for multi-storey buildings. The performance of a multi-storey building in a seismic event is contingent upon several key factors:

2.1 Structural Design

The paramount factor influencing a building's response to seismic events is its structural design. Buildings that are meticulously engineered to withstand seismic loads are inherently more resilient when confronted with an earthquake. Innovative engineering techniques, such as base isolators and dampers, can significantly enhance a building's ability to absorb and dissipate seismic energy, protecting both the structure and its occupants. The choice of materials, their strength, and the overall configuration of the structural system all play pivotal roles in the building's seismic performance.

2.2. Construction Quality:

The quality of construction is equally instrumental in determining a building's ability to endure seismic forces. A well-designed structure may falter if not executed with precision and adherence to construction standards. Meticulous workmanship, stringent quality control, and the utilization of appropriate materials are indispensable to fortifying a building's resistance to seismic loads. Inferior construction practices can introduce vulnerabilities, potentially compromising the building's integrity during an earthquake.

2.3. Age and Condition of the Building:

The age and general condition of a multi-storey building significantly impact its vulnerability during seismic events. Older structures, often designed without the seismic considerations of contemporary buildings, may lack the reinforcement and ductility needed to withstand strong ground motion. Additionally, buildings that have experienced a lack of maintenance, structural degradation, or other forms of neglect over time are more likely to suffer damage during an earthquake. Regular maintenance and structural assessments are essential for preserving the earthquake resilience of multi-storey buildings.

2.4. Ground Motion Characteristics:

The characteristics of the ground motion generated by an earthquake are highly variable and profoundly influence a building's response. These characteristics include the earthquake's magnitude, proximity to the epicenter, and the type of soil upon which the building is constructed. Buildings situated on soft, loose soils may experience greater amplification of ground motion, potentially leading to more significant structural demands. The design and assessment of multi-storey buildings in seismic zones should consider these ground motion characteristics to ensure adequate earthquake resistance.

Understanding these factors requires a multidisciplinary approach that combines structural engineering, materials science, geophysics, and architectural expertise. Collaboration among experts from these fields is crucial to enhancing building practices and seismic risk mitigation strategies, ultimately contributing to the creation of safer, more earthquake-resistant urban environments.

3. Common Types of Seismic Damage

When a multi-storey building is subjected to seismic forces, it can experience various forms of damage, which can be categorized into two main types:

3.1 Structural Collapse:

Structural collapse is the most severe and devastating type of seismic damage. It occurs when the building's structural system is overloaded and can no longer support the applied forces. This often results in the complete or partial destruction of the building, posing a significant risk to the lives of occupants and the safety of surrounding areas. Structural collapse is a catastrophic event that highlights the importance of robust structural design and construction quality.

3.2 Nonstructural Damage:

Nonstructural damage is less severe than structural collapse but can still lead to significant harm to the building and its contents. This type of damage typically includes the impairment or failure of non-load-bearing elements, such as architectural features, partitions, and the mechanical, electrical, and plumbing (MEP) systems. Nonstructural

damage can disrupt the functionality of the building, create safety hazards, and incur substantial repair costs. Properly securing nonstructural components is essential to minimizing this form of damage during seismic events.

The behavior of multi-storey buildings during seismic events is of paramount importance for enhancing their resilience and ensuring the safety of occupants and surrounding areas. The intricacies of structural design, construction quality, building age and condition, and the characteristics of ground motion all contribute to a comprehensive understanding of seismic performance. By addressing these factors and implementing innovative engineering solutions, we can work towards safer, more resilient multi-storey buildings that can withstand the challenges posed by seismic loads, ultimately creating more earthquake-resistant urban environments.

Table 1.1 Comparative Study

Author Name	Research Gap	Finding Suggestion
ATC (Applied Technology Council). 2012	Investigate seismic performance assessment methods	Propose improved assessment techniques
Black, R.G., W.A. Wenger, and E.P. Popov. 1980.	Explore inelastic buckling of steel struts under cyclic...	Develop strategies to enhance steel strut buckling resistance
CEN (Comité Européen de Normalisation/European	Assess the basis of structural design for seismic resistance	Recommend enhancements to structural design principles
CEN (Comité Européen de Normalisation/European Committee	Investigate general rules, seismic actions, and rules...	Suggest improvements to Eurocode 8 for earthquake resistance
Charney, F.A. 2008. Unintended consequences of modeling	Analyze unintended consequences of modeling damping...	Propose strategies to mitigate unintended consequences
CSI (Computers and Structures Inc.) 2013.	Explore SAP2000 software for structural analysis	Advise on utilizing SAP2000 for seismic structural analysis
Dhakar, R.P. 2010. Damage to non-structural components	Investigate damage to non-structural components in...	Offer recommendations for safeguarding non-structural elements
Fierro, E.A., E. Miranda, and C.L. Perry. 2011.	Examine the behavior of nonstructural components in...	Suggest ways to enhance the performance of nonstructural elements
Miranda, E., G. Mosqueda, R. Retamales, and G. Pekcan.	Investigate the performance of nonstructural components...	Propose measures to improve nonstructural component resilience
PEER (Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center).	Analyze the PEER NGA-WEST 2 ground motion database	Recommend applications of the PEER database for research
PEER (Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center).	Explore OpenSees software for seismic analysis	Advise on utilizing OpenSees for advanced seismic analysis
Solomos, G., A. Pinto, and S. Dimova. 2008.	Review seismic hazard zonation in national building codes...	Suggest updates to building codes for seismic hazard assessment
Taghavi, S., and E. Miranda. 2003.	Investigate the response assessment of nonstructural...	Recommend improved methodologies for nonstructural assessment
Uriz, P., F.C. Filippou, and S.A. Mahin. 2008.	Develop a model for cyclic inelastic buckling of steel...	Propose enhancements to the model for improved steel brace design

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the performance of multi-storey buildings during seismic events is a multifaceted subject with profound implications for the safety of urban populations and the preservation of valuable assets. This study has underscored the pivotal role of various factors in determining a building's behavior during seismic events. Structural design emerges as the cornerstone of seismic resilience, with meticulous engineering and innovative techniques contributing to a building's ability to withstand seismic loads. The choice of materials, their strength, and the overall configuration of the structural system play instrumental roles in this regard. Construction quality is equally critical, as even a well-designed structure can falter if not executed with precision and adherence to construction standards.

The consequences of subpar construction practices can introduce vulnerabilities, potentially compromising a building's integrity during an earthquake.

The age and overall condition of a building significantly impact its vulnerability during seismic events. Older structures, which were not originally designed with contemporary seismic considerations, may lack the necessary reinforcement and ductility required to withstand strong ground motion. Regular maintenance and structural assessments are essential for preserving the earthquake resilience of multi-storey buildings. Ground motion characteristics are highly variable and profoundly influence a building's response during an earthquake. These characteristics include the earthquake's magnitude, proximity to the epicenter, and the type of soil upon which the building is constructed. Proper consideration of these factors is vital to ensuring adequate earthquake resistance.

By addressing these factors, collaborating across various disciplines, and adhering to international standards and guidelines, we can work towards the creation of safer, more resilient multi-storey buildings that can withstand the challenges posed by seismic loads. This endeavor is essential for safeguarding lives and property in regions prone to seismic activity, ultimately contributing to more earthquake-resistant urban environments.

5. References

- [1] ATC (Applied Technology Council). 2012. FEMA P-58 seismic performance assessment of buildings. Washington, DC: Federal Emergency Management Agency.
- [2] Black, R.G., W.A. Wenger, and E.P. Popov. 1980. Inelastic buckling of steel struts under cyclic load reversal. Berkeley: University of California Berkeley.
- [3] CEN (Comité Européen de Normalisation/European Committee for Standardization). 2010. Eurocode—basis of structural design. Brussels: European Committee for Standardization.
- [4] CEN (Comité Européen de Normalisation/European Committee for Standardization). 2013. Eurocode 8—Design of structures for earthquake resistance—Part 1: General rules, seismic actions and rules for buildings. Brussels: European Committee for Standardization.
- [5] Charney, F.A. 2008. Unintended consequences of modeling damping in structures. *Journal of Structural Engineering* 134(4): 581–592.
- [6] CSI (Computers and Structures Inc.) 2013. SAP2000 V15.2.1. Berkeley: Computers and Structures Inc.
- [7] Dhakal, R.P. 2010. Damage to non-structural components and contents in 2010 Darfield earthquake. *Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering* 43(4): 404–411.
- [8] Fierro, E.A., E. Miranda, and C.L. Perry. 2011. Behavior of nonstructural components in recent earthquakes. In *Architectural Engineering Conference (AEI) 2011*, 369–377. Oakland: American Society of Civil Engineers.
- [9] Miranda, E., G. Mosqueda, R. Retamales, and G. Pekcan. 2012. Performance of nonstructural components during the 27 February 2010 Chile earthquake. *Earthquake Spectra* 28(S1): 453–471.
- [10] PEER (Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center). 2013. PEER NGA-WEST 2 ground motion database. [Online]. Available: <http://ngawest2.berkeley.edu/site>. Accessed Sept 2016.
- [11] PEER (Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center). 2015. OpenSees V2.4.6. Berkeley: University of California Berkeley.

[12] Solomos, G., A. Pinto, and S. Dimova. 2008. A review of the seismic hazard zonation in national building codes in the context of Eurocode 8. European Commission Joint Research Centre Scientific and Technical Reports. [Online]. Available: <http://eurocodes.jrc.ec.europa.eu/doc/EUR23563EN.pdf>. Accessed Sept 2016.

[13] Taghavi, S., and E. Miranda. 2003. Response assessment of nonstructural building elements. Berkeley: Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center.

[14] Uriz, P., F.C. Filippou, and S.A. Mahin. 2008. Model for cyclic inelastic buckling of steel braces. *Journal of Structural Engineering* 134(4): 619–628.

