# "FIRE SIGHT: HARNESSING MACHINE LEARNING MODELS TO ANTICIPATE WILD FIRES"

M Kranthi<sup>1</sup>, Dr K Ramesh babu<sup>2</sup>, Vaka Preethi Reddy<sup>3</sup>, Pavuluri Sunil Kumar<sup>4</sup>, Basireddy Abhinay Kumar Reddy<sup>5</sup>, Yepuri Peda Tirupathirao<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Asst.Prof, CSE Department, GVR&S CET, Andhra Pradesh, India <sup>2</sup>Professor, CSE Department, GVR&S CET, Andhra Pradesh, India <sup>3,4,5,6</sup> Research Scholar, CSE Department, GVR&S CET, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### ABSTRACT

Fighting forest fires requires the use of crucial tools, such as the Fire in the Forest Prediction. Due to the depletion of water supplies, this serious environmental issue exacerbates water pollution and global warming. One of the most important components of averting disastrous events is fire detection. It is anticipated that predicting forest fires will lessen the damage that they inflict in the future. In this research, presented a machine learning-based forest fire prediction system, The goal is to create a precise and trustworthy model that takes into account a variety of environmental elements in order to predict the likelihood of forest fires. The crucial components, such as temperature, humidity, wind speed, vegetation indices, and past fire trends, are then extracted from this data through processing and analysis. With the use of machine learning algorithms and this data, able to train a predictive model to comprehend the complex relationships that exist between the input variables and the frequency of forest fires. In order to support effective forest management and environmental preservation, the study's findings can be utilized to develop real-time systems for predicted forest fires.now two methods were identified and used to anticipate wild fires which decision tree, random forest.in accuracy aspect random forest is results gave 71.42 than decision tree.

Keywords : Forest fire prediction, Machine learning, Random Forest, Decision Tree etc.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Forest fires are a major threat to both natural ecosystems and human societies on a global scale. Devastating effects of these fires could include dangers to human health and safety, biodiversity loss, habitat degradation, and air pollution. It is necessary to forecast forest fires in order to prepare for them, allocate resources, and take prompt action to mitigate their impacts. Stronger predictions of forest fires have been achievable in recent years because to developments in machine learning techniques. The introduction to forest fire prediction typically covers the importance of and challenges associated with forecasting such incidents. It highlights the significance of accurate and timely forecasts for effective management of natural resources, preparation of evacuation routes, and battling fires. The introduction may also cover the factors that contribute to forest fires, such as topography, vegetation type, weather, and human activity. It may also cover more traditional methods of predicting forest fires, such as empirical models based on expert knowledge and historical data. However, these methods usually lack precision and scalability, especially when addressing the more complicated environmental conditions brought on by climate change. The introduction, on the other hand, would emphasize how machine learning techniques, particularly the Random Forest algorithm, have the potential to improve the forest fire forecast. Large and diverse datasets can be analyzed by these algorithms, which can also capture complex relationships between many environmental parameters and the incidence of fires. The next sections of the paper introduce and lay the groundwork for what follows by examining the methodology, data sources, model construction, assessment metrics, and practical applications of machine learning for forest fire prediction. The

ultimate goal is to develop reliable prediction models that will aid in the early identification, prevention, and control of forest fires, safeguarding livelihoods, ecosystems, and public safety.

The forest fire dataset utilized in this study was procured from Kaggle. A few characterization AI calculations can be used to group the Forest Fire Prediction. A thorough examination of the procedure for fine-tuning models employing an unbalanced data set beyond a single saturation point constitutes the research's primary contribution. Segment 2 covers the overview of writing. This work employed a few models in an iterative fashion, acknowledging the impact of each significant completion of the informative collection on enhancing execution. The outcome and model progression section goes into great detail about this, including statistics and findings. The ends are included in the last section.

#### 2.LITERATURE REVIEW

In the [1] authorized by Dieu Tien Bui, Hung Van le, Nhat-Duc Hoang. The goal of this work is to present a novel hybrid training approach for Differential Flower Pollination (DFP) and mini-match backpropagation (MNBP), based on Artificial Neural Networks (Ann), for the purpose of spatially predicting the hazard of forest fires. This new machine learning technique is called DFP-MNBPANN. In the [2] authorized by Haoyuan Hong, Paraskevas Tsangaratos, Ioanna Ilia, Junzhi Liu, A-Xing Zhu, Chong Xu. In order to create the best possible combination of characteristics connected to forest fires, they use genetic algorithms. Additionally, they map the vulnerability of several forest fire types using data mining approaches. They take into account factors like height, slope angle, aspect, etc. that are associated with forest fires. In the [3] authorized by Jiayun Angela Yao, Sean M Raffuse, Michael Brauer, Grant James Williamson, David M. J. S. Bowman, Fay Johnston, Sarah B Henderson. In this paper, they forecasted the lowest height of the smoke layer as recorded by CALIPSO using data on neighboring fire activity, geographic location, and meteorological conditions. In the [4] authorized by Pijush Samui. The main objective of this work was to propose new techniques for data mining that may be used to analyze and predict regional patterns of forest fire threat. The study area's Geographic Information System database had ten contributing elements, such as slope, aspect, elevation, land use, and distance to a road. In the [5] authorized by Dedi Rosadii, Widyastuti Andrivani, Deasy Arisanty, Dina Agustina. This research examines the Decision Tree (DT), Logistic Regression (logistic regression), k-Nearest Neighborhood (KNN), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Naïve Bayes (NB). We examine the strategy utilizing meteorological and topographical data from South Kalimantan Province in order to demonstrate it. In the [6] authorized by Preeti T, Suvarna Kanaki, Aishwarya Belai, Sumalatha Malai, Aishwarya Sudi. In their study, they demonstrate how they used machine learning to anticipate the forest fire. The random forest regression serves as the foundation for the forest fire risk forecasting method. The program has demonstrated its capacity to accurately evaluate the risk of fire by using metrological data. In the [7] authorized by Kanda Naveen Babu, Rahul Gour, Kurian Ayushi, Narayanan Ayyappan, Narayanaswamy Parthasarathy. In this study, they used remote sensing, geographic information systems, and machine learning approaches to predict the fire susceptibility in a human-dominated terrain in the central Western Ghats of India and evaluate the variables causing forest fires. In the [8] authorized Harishchandra Anandaram, Nagalakshmi M, Ricardo Fernando Cosio bv Borda, Kiruthika K, Yogadinesh S. This study presented a method for the quantitative assessment of the effectiveness of fire safety management in universities using the DEA approach. the DEA model yields the same results regardless of the metrics used. In the [9] authorized by Ramez Alkhatib, Wahab Sahwan, Anas Alkhatib, Brigitta Schutt. Numerous algorithms, including SVM, CNN, and the Navie-Bayes techniques, were applied in this study. this study examined a number of studies that suggested incorporating machine learning methods into the study of forest fires. In the [10] authorized by Soumik Saha, Biswajit Bera, Parvat Kumar Shit, Sumana Bhattacharjee, Nairita Sengupta. In this scientific study, they have applied Random Forest (RF), Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines (MARS), and Deep Learning Neural Network (DLNN).

#### SUMMARY OF LITERATURE SURVEY

Studying all of the aforementioned publications revealed gaps in knowledge, limits in current methods, and lower accuracy when compared to one another. Their obtained results show a lack of accuracy. Furthermore, some work

encounters difficulties with regional variability due to various disease prediction algorithms. The dataset may have an impact on the accuracy. Support vector machines and logistic regression are employed in this work. The accuracy of the two methods differs significantly.

The parameters that the study works inside are specified by the delimitations. For example, the delimitations in a study on Random Forest-based Forest fire prediction might comprise it's frequently necessary to combine domain expertise, data preprocessing methods, model tuning, and continual assessment and improvement of the predictive system to address these delimitations.

#### **4.PROPOSED METHOD**

A few Machines Learning Algorithms, including Random Forest and Decision Tree, are used in the suggested system. Our web application is constructed using the Flask Framework, and the NumPy and Pandas modules are imported to access and manipulate sets. We use multiple data sets and weather variables as input to train the machine to anticipate forest fires. Our goal with this technology is to give the public access to an application that can accurately forecast forest fires. Architects and developers can use architectural diagrams to show the high-level, overall structure of their system or application and ensure that it meets the needs of its users. They can also be used to describe the design's recurrent patterns. It works much like a template that a group may quickly consult while discussing, improving, and following.



Fig 4.1: Frame work for wildfire

# 4.1 Methodology

**Data collection:** Clearly identify the problem—forest fire prediction—that you are attempting to resolve. Gather relevant data from sources including historical weather records, satellite photos, different types of land cover, land elevation, slope, and other geographic information.

**Preparing data:** Either remove incomplete entries or infer missing values to cope with missing data. Sort the data into training, validation, and test sets.

**Choosing Features:** Temperature, humidity, wind direction, vegetation cover, distance from roads or bodies of water, and other variables can all be considered. Consider using subject expertise when developing relevant features. **Model Training:** Utilizing the training dataset, train the Random Forest model. The model gains the ability to map input features to the target variable—in this example, the presence or absence of forest fires—during training. Use the validation set to ensure that the models are not overfitting.

**Model Deployment and Monitoring:** Use the Random Forest model in the suggested system to forecast forest fires in real-world situations when it performs well enough. Monitor the model's performance closely and retrain it with new data from time to time to ensure accuracy.

**Reporting and Documentation:** Keep an eye on the functioning of the deployed model at all times, and make necessary updates using fresh information or advancements in machine learning methods. As time goes on, make sure the prediction system remains accurate and dependable by providing regular maintenance and support.

# 4.2 Dataset Description

1) Date: (DD/MM/YYYY) Day, month ('June' to 'September'), year (2012)

- 2) Temp: temperature noon (temperature max) in Celsius degrees: 22 to 42
- 3) RH: Relative Humidity in %: 21 to 90
- 4) WS: Wind speed in km/h: 6 to 29
- 5) Rain: total day in mm: 0 to 16.8FWI Components
- 6) Fine Fuel Moisture Code (FFMC) index from the FWI system: 28.6 to 92.5
- 7) Duff Moisture Code (DMC) index from the FWI system: 1.1 to 65.9
- 8) Drought Code (DC) index from the FWI system: 7 to 220.4
- 9) Initial Spread Index (ISI) index from the FWI system: 0 to 18.5
- 10) Buildup Index (BUI) index from the FWI system: 1.1 to 68
- 11) Fire Weather Index (FWI) Index: 0 to 31.1
- 12) Classes: two classes, namely Fire and not Fire

**4.3 Algorithms:**Classification algorithms and regression algorithms are the two primary categories of algorithm models used in machine learning.

**Classification Methodologies** :Based on previous observations, classification algorithms are used to forecast categorical labels or classes for future data items. Learning a mapping from input information to predetermined categories is the aim.

**1.Random Forest:** A supervised learning approach called random forest is used for regression as well as classification. But even so, it's mostly applied to classification issues. Since trees are what make up a forest, as we all know, a forest with more trees will be more robust. Similar to this, the random forest method builds decision trees using samples of data, extracts predictions from each one, and consequently decides via voting which solution is the best. Because it uses an ensemble approach rather than a single decision tree, it minimizes over-fitting by averaging the data.



#### Fig 4.2: Random Forest

**1.Decision Tree:** Decision trees are a type of supervised learning technique with a pre-established goal variable that are typically used in classification problems. Both continuous and categorical input and output variables are supported. This technique creates two or more homogeneous groups—also referred to as sub-populations—out of the sample or population. based on the most significant splitter or differentiator of the input variables. To determine whether to divide a node into two or more sub-nodes, decision trees employ a variety of techniques. The homogeneity of the resulting sub-nodes is increased by sub-node creation. Stated differently, we might assert that the node's purity rises in relation to the target variable. A decision tree divides the nodes based on every variable that is accessible, then chooses the split that produces the greatest number of homogeneous sub-nodes.



Fig 4.3: Decision Tree

#### 4.4 System Requirements

#### 4.4.1 Software Requirements

**Software:**Python-version:3.8 Any IDLE ShellNumPy,matplotlib, pandas, OpenCV, Jupyter Notebook **Operating system:** windows, Linux.

#### 4.4.2 Hardware Requirements

#### Processor: Intel core I5 ,Ram: 8GB,Hard Disk :500GB or More

#### 4.5 Advantages

By using predictive models to identify possible fire outbreaks before they happen, authorities are able to take preventative action and receive early warning.

Predictive models can evaluate the likelihood of a fire occurring in a certain location by examining environmental characteristics including geography, vegetation type, and weather. This information can be used to allocate resources for both prevention and fighting fires.

By pinpointing high-risk areas where assistance is most necessary, predictive algorithms help in the effective distribution of firefighting resources. This maximizes the use of available resources and raises the efficacy of firefighting operations.

Predictive models aid in the early discovery and prompt reaction, which reduces the amount of property damage, human casualties, and environmental harm that forest fires can inflict.

Predictive models reduce or eliminate the spread of fires, which lowers the cost of battling fires, restoring damaged property, restoring the environment, and paying for medical care.

By lessening the effects of forest fires on wildlife habitats and natural landscapes, predictive models help to conserve biodiversity and ecosystems.

#### **5.RESULTS**

here compared the efficiency of the previously discussed strategies and their simulation images observed from 5.1-5.6 & Table 5.1 displays a comparison of the calculated accuracy for the "fire" and "no fire" classes.here found that the Random Forest classifier performed better in forecasting forest fires than the other machine learning methods, with an accuracy of 71.42% compared to decision Tree's 69.38%.

Model	Accuracy (%)
Decision Tree	69.38
Random Forest	71.42

Table 5.1 Comparison of Machine Learning Algorithms

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1		😫 🔞 🔲 😂 Hone x 🧧 Foret, File, Prediction x 😫 NAVDRIL, PRODUCT, D' X 🔍 quillot - Search x 🖄 Paraphrasisg Tool - C	o x   + - d
ay	month	year	Temperati RH	Ws	Ra	ain F	EMC	DMC II		+ C Q () localhost 5888 inotebooku/Forest. Fire, Prediction Japab A <sup>A</sup> (2)	0 4 6 8 .
	1	6 201	2 29	57	18	0	65.7	3.4	7.6	JUDYTEF Forest_Fire_Prediction Last Checkpoint: 2 days ago	4
	2	6 201	2 29	61	13	1.3	64.4	4 1	7.0	File Edit Wew Run Kernel Settings Help	Trusted
	3	6 201	12 26	82	22	13.1	47.1	2.5	7.0		1 6 Nation 3 (Bilkenie) (
	4	6 20:	12 25	89	13	25	28.6	1.3	6.0	[22]: ditast.hesd()	
	5	6 203	12 27	77	16	0	64.8	2.5	14.2	(A) Temperature RH Kam HMC DNC DC ISI Region	
	6	6 20	12 31	67	14	0	92.6	50	14.2	1 29 61 13 644 41 75 10 0	
	7	6 20	12 33	54	13	0	99.2	0.0	22.2	2 26 62 13.1 47.1 25 7.1 0.3 0	
	8	6 20	12 30	73	15	0	00.2	9.9	30.5	3 25 89 25 286 13 69 00 0	
	9	6 20	12 25	88	12	0.2	52.0	7.0	38.3	4 27 77 0.0 64.8 3.0 14.2 1.2 0	
	10	6 20	12 23	70	13	0.2	72.9	7.9	38.8	[29]: dstaset.tail()	
	11	6 20	12 20	65	14	0	73.2	9.5	40.3	[29] Temperature RH Rain FFMC DMC DC ISI Region	
	12	6 20	12 31	03	14	0	84.5	12.5	54.3	238 30 65 0.0 854 160 445 45 1	
	12	6 20	112 20	01	19	12	84	13.8	61.4	239 28 67 44 41.1 65 80 0.1 1	
	10	6 20	12 27	70	21	1.2	50	6.7	1/	240 27 87 05 459 35 79 04 1	
	14	0 20	30	/8	20	0.5	59	4.6	7.8	241 24 34 01 199 43 152 17 1 242 24 64 02 673 38 165 12 1	
		Fi	g 5.1: For	est Fire	Data	Colle	ection			Fig 5.2: Dataset of Head & Tail	
	260	C Home	x Provent Fire Prediction X	NANDINI, PROJECT_DC >	c quilbot - Se	arch x Ô P	araphrasing Tool - O: X	+ - 0	9 X	🛔 🔞 🗖 💭 Home 🛛 x 🧧 Foret File Predictor: x 🔒 NAKOW (RGECT): x 🔍 guiltor-Search 🛛 x 🖨 Preschooling Tool-O: x 🚽	- 0 X
		() lacelhart 5003	instalands Cased See Dediction in	ah a			m A A	A 6 00		6. 0 braker 1998 notebook Forst ins Indefinition in the	A G G 🐧
		K () Nedimarcooo	nineponstrutes("re"Freactionaly	len.			ψ	r= m			
	💭 jupyt	er Forest_Fire_Pred	iction Last Checkpoint 2 days ago					¢	٩	JUDYTEY Forest_Fire_Prediction Last Onecopoint 2 days ago	<u>ଟ</u> ି ସ
	File Edit V	rlew Run Kernel Settin	gs Help					Trusted		Rie Edit View Run Kemel Settings Help	Trusted 🧳
	B + X	6 Å ▶ ■ C »	Code v				JupyterLab 📑 🐧	Python 3 (ipykernel) (	2	B + K 0 D + # C +> Code -> kp/mida C + P	ython 3 (pykene)
		49 <sub>*</sub> )							• • • • • •	Accuracy: 1.8	
	[10]: f	rom sklearn.tree import rom sklearn.metrics imp	DecisionTreeClassifier art accuracy_score						1 1	<ul> <li>[11]: y grad-dir predictiv, text)</li> </ul>	24
	[19]: d	tr-DecisionTreeClassifi	er()							print("kcuracy", accuracy)	5
	4	<pre>tr.fit(x_train,y_train)</pre>							•	Accuracy: 0.6098775518084802	
	[19]: . D	DecisionTreeClassif	ier • •						0	[14] from silearn.ensemble import RandomForestilassifier	*
		and dradta pradict/v	*min)						. //	<ul> <li>(2) drodusteretllassifier(n_estimators(M) dro.fit(t_train_t_train)</li> </ul>	
	[21]: 4	couracy = accuracy_score	e(y_train, y_pred_dtr)							[15] • RandosforentClassifier 0 0	
	7	rint("Accuracy:", accur ccuracy: 1.0	ecy)						.*	RandomForestClassifier()	7
	[22]: )	_predidtr.predict(x_tes	t)							[10]: y_predit_predict(x_test)	
	[23]:	couracy = accuracy_scon rint("Accuracy:", accur	e(y_test, y_pred) ecy)							(II): from sillarm.metrics input accuracy.com accuracy = accuracy.com/g text, g,grev) enter("accuracy", accuracy)	
	1	ccuracy: 0.693877551020	4882							Accurrect: 0.714/0857143	~
	[34]: <b>f</b>	rom sklearn.ensemble im	port RandomForestClassifier						. 8		* ĝ
					1 in		ENS .	2251		🔮 📲 Q 📕 🕸 👰 🖬 🖉 🖬 🖉 💆 🖬 🖉 🖉 🔺 👘 🕫	1 🗈 2245 🖡 🚺
					<b>v</b> v s	9		9 QI D 29-04-2004			
	Fig 5.3: Accuracy of Decision Tree									Fig 5.4: Accuracy of Random Fores	t
1											

😩 🔞 🗖 📔 😂 Home 🛛 🖉 Forest_Fire_Prediction 🗙 📄 NANDINI_PROJECT_D 🗙   🔍 quillbot-	😩 🔞 🗖 📔 😂 Home 🛛 🗶 🧧 Forest_Fire_Prediction 🗙 🤮			
C Q O localhost:8888/notebooks/Forest_Fire_Prediction.jpynb	C Q O localhost:8888/notebooks/Forest_Fire_Prediction.jpynb			
JUDYTET Forest_Fire_Prediction Last Checkpoint: 2 days ago	JUDYLET Forest_Fire_Prediction Last Checkpoint: 2 days ago File Edit View Run Kernel Settings Help			
File Edit View Run Kernel Settings Help				
B + X □ □ > = C >> Code ~	A + X □ □ ► ■ C ► Code ∨ sitier was fitted with feature names			
<b>240</b> 27 87 0.5 45.9 3.5 7.9 0.4 1	warnings.warn(			
<b>241</b> 24 54 0.1 79.7 4.3 15.2 1.7 1	[32]: Temperature = 24			
<b>242</b> 24 64 0.2 67.3 3.8 16.5 1.2 1	RH = 64 Rain = 0.2 FFMC = 67.3			
<pre>[30]: def fonest_fire(Temperature, RH, Rain, FFMC, DMC, DC, ISI): input_data = np.array([[Temperature, RH, Rain, FFMC, DMC, DC, ISI]]) prediction = dtr.predict(input_data) return prediction[0]</pre>	DMC = 3.8 DC = 16.5 ISI = 1.2 predicted_crop = forest_fire(Temperature, RH, Rain, FFMC, DM			
[33]: Temperature = 26 RM = 82 Rain = 13.1 FMC = 47.1 DMC = 2.5 DC = 7.1	<pre>print("Chance of fire genetation is: (predicted_crop)") chance of fire genetation is: 1 C:\Users\vakap\AppData\Koaming\Python\Python3ll\site-package sifier was fitted with feature names warnings.warn( ''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''</pre>			
<pre>ISI = 0.3 predicted_crop = forest_fire(Temperature, RH, Rain, FFMC, DMC, DC, ISI) print(ff-chance of fire genetation is : (predicted_crop)")</pre>	( ). [ ]:			
chance of fire genetaion is : 0				
C:\Users\vakap\apData\Roaming\Python\Python311\site-packages\sklearn\base.py:493: UserWarnin sifier was fitted with feature names warnings.warn(				
[32]: Temperature = 24	🛫 👘 🔁 📰 😰 💽 🛛			
🛫 🔢 Q 💷 🖻 💇 🖬 🧭 💆 🧏				
Fig 5.5: Prediction of no fire generation	Fig 5.6: Prediction of Fire generation			

## 6.CONCLUSION

The need of early detection and forecasting forest fires to prevent their spread and lessen the amount of damage they do is highlighted by this study. Machine learning methods have become attractive instruments for predicting forest fires. Forest fire modelling is a difficult task since numerous elements, including geography, human activity, vegetation, and climate, can influence the spread of forest fires. As a result, in terms of success rate, the Random Forest Algorithm ensemble scored highest for Accuracy, with Decision Tree coming in second. The study of the area of the eco forest that has been damaged and the predicted fire is improved by the use of algorithms to assess the amount of the forest that has been injured by the fire. The work's findings provide valuable new information for developing advanced machine learning-based forest fire forecasting and detection systems that protect both people and forests.now two methods were identified and used to anticipate wild fires which decision tree ,random forest.in accuracy aspect random forest is results gave 71.42 than decision tree.

#### 7.FUTURE SCOPE

The Random Forest approach examines several factors, such as temperature, humidity, wind speed, and vegetation cover, to determine the likelihood of a forest fire occurring in a given area. By leveraging previous data on environmental conditions and forest fires, the system may be trained to generate accurate predictions based on new input data. Generally speaking, government organizations can take proactive measures to mitigate the consequences of wildfires, protect ecosystems, and ensure the safety of communities living near wooded areas by using the Random Forest algorithm to forecast forest fires.

#### 8.REFERENCE

[1] W. Ma, Z. Feng, Z. Cheng, S. Chen, and F. Wang, "Identifying Forest Fire Driving Factors and Related Impacts in China Using Random Forest Algorithm," Forests, vol. 11, p. 507, 2020.
[2] S. Sachdeva, T. Bhatia, and A. K. Verma, "GIS-based evolutionary optimized Gradient Boosted Decision Trees for forest fire susceptibility mapping," Nat. Hazards, vol. 92, pp. 1399-1418, 2018.

[3] L. K. Sharma, R. Gupta, and N. Fatima, "Assessing the predictive efficacy of six machine learning algorithms for the susceptibility of Indian forests to fire," in International Journal of Wildland Fire, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 735-758, 2022, Doi: 10.1071/WF22016.

[4] M. Naderpour, H. M. Rizeei, and F. Ramezani, "Forest fire risk prediction: A spatial deep neural network-based framework," Remote Sensing, vol. 13, no. 13, p. 2513, 2021, Doi: 10.3390/rs13132513.

[5] C. Lai et al., "Forest fire prediction with imbalanced data using a deep neural network method," in ProcVancouver, Canada, 2022, pp. 1129-1134. doi:10.3390/f13071129.

[6] F. Abid and N. Izeboudjen, "Predicting Forest fire in Algeria using data mining techniques: Case study of the decision tree algorithm," in Advanced Intelligent Systems for Sustainable Development (AI2SD'2019) Volume 4-Advanced Intelligent Systems for Applied Computing Sciences, 2020, pp. 363-370: Springer.

[7] B. Mahesh, "Machine learning algorithms-a review," International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR). [Internet], vol. 9, pp. 381-386, 2020.

[8] S. Ray, "A quick review of machine learning algorithms," in 2019 International conference on machine learning, big data, cloud and parallel computing (COMITCon), 2019, pp. 35-39: IEEE.

[9] A. Hooda, A. Asthana, and V. Patkar, "A Comprehensive Review on Prediction and Detection of Forest Fires Using Machine Learning Algorithms," EasyChair Preprint, no. 6334, 2021.

[10] K. Avazov, A. E. Hyun, A. A. Sami S, A. Khaitov, A. B. Abdusalomov, and Y. I. Cho, "Forest Fire Detection and Notification Method Based on AI and IoT Approaches," Future Internet, vol. 15, no. 2, p. 61, 2023.

[11] V. Bharathi and C. PeddaReddy, "Comparison of Forest Fire Prediction System using Machine Learning Algorithms," 2023 3rd International Conference on Advance Computing and Innovative Technologies in Engineering (ICACITE), Greater Noida, India, 2023, pp. 1539-1542.

[12] M. Singh, C. Sharma, T. Agarwal and M. S. Pal, "Forest Fire Prediction for NASA Satellite Dataset Using Machine Learning," 2022 10th International Conference on Reliability, Infocom Technologies and Optimization (Trends and Future Directions) (ICRITO), Noida, India, 2022, pp. 1-5.

[13] Prathimesh, Kunal, G. Shingari and D. Sharma, "An Approach to Mine Data for Predicting Forest Fires Using Support Vector Machines and Gini Index for Feature Selection," 2023 International Conference on Circuit Power and Computing Technologies (ICCPCT), Kollam, India, 2023, pp. 1457-1462.

[14] F. Ahmad, Z. Waseem, M. Ahmad and M. Z. Ansari, "Forest Fire Prediction Using Machine Learning Techniques," 2023 International Conference on Recent Advances in Electrical, Electronics & Digital Healthcare Technologies (REEDCON), New Delhi, India, 2023, pp. 705-708.

[15] S. Pawar, K. Pandit, R. Prabhu, R. Samaga and Geethalaxmi, "A Machine Learning Approach to Forest Fire Prediction Through Environment Parameters," 2022 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Data Engineering (AIDE), Karkala, India, 2022, pp. 1-7.

[16] T. Preeti, S. Kanakaraddi, A. Beelagi, S. Malagi and A. Sudi, "Forest Fire Prediction Using Machine Learning Techniques," 2021 International Conference on Intelligent Technologies (CONIT), Hubli, India, 2021, pp. 1-6.

[17] N. K. Pahuja and M. H. Rivero, "Predicting the Impact of Wildfire Using Machine Learning Techniques to Assist Effective Deployment of Resources," 2022 International Conference on Computational Science and Computational Intelligence (CSCI), Las Vegas, NV, USA, 2022, pp. 201-205.

[18] S. Suklabaidya and I. Das, "Processing IoT Sensor Fire Dataset Using Machine Learning Techniques," 2023 International Conference on Intelligent Systems, Advanced Computing and Communication (ISACC), Silchar, India, 2023, pp. 1-7.

[19] E. B. R. Nagaeswara, M. Aadhithya and A. Malini, "An Analysis of Machine Learning Techniques for Forest Cover Type Classification," 2023 6th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I), Gautam Buddha Nagar, India, 2023, pp. 489-494.

[20] E. B. R. Nagaesvara, M. Aadhithya and A. Malini, "An Analysis of Machine Learning Techniques for Forest Cover Type Classification," 2023 6th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I), Gautam Buddha Nagar, India, 2023, pp. 489-494.

[21]http://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR1806578.pdf

#### 9.BIOGRAPHIES



# IJARIE